

Mahammad-Rza I. ARAZM, Vafa A. MAMEDOVA

ON REMOVABLE SETS OF SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

Abstract

In this paper we consider a nondivergent elliptic equation of second order whose leading coefficients are from some weight space. The sufficient condition of removability of a compact with respect to this equation in the weight space of Hölder functions was found.

Let D be a bounded domain situated in n -dimensional Euclidean space E_n of the points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 3$, ∂D be its boundary. Consider in D the following elliptic equation

$$\mathcal{L}u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) u_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) u_i + c(x) u = 0, \tag{1}$$

in supposition that $\|a_{ij}(x)\|$ is a real symmetric matrix, moreover $\omega(x)$ is a positive measurable function satisfying the doubling condition: for concentric balls B_R^x or R and $2R$ radius, there exists such a constant γ

$$\omega(B_R^x) \geq \gamma \omega(B_{2R}^x),$$

where for the measurable sets E $\int_E \omega(y) dy$ means

$$\gamma |\xi|^2 \omega(x) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \gamma^{-1} \omega(x) |\xi|^2; \quad \xi \in E_n, \quad x \in D, \tag{2}$$

$$a_{ij}(x) \in C_\omega^1(\overline{D}); \quad i, j, 1, \dots, n, \tag{3}$$

$$|b_i(x)| \leq b_0; \quad -b_0 \leq c(x) \leq 0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad x \in D. \tag{4}$$

Here $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$; $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $b_0 \geq 0$ are constants. Besides we'll suppose that the lower coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L} are measurable functions in D . Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ be a number. Denote by $C^{0,\lambda}(D)$ a Banach space of the functions $u(x)$ defined in D with the finite norm.

$$\|u\|_{C_\omega^\lambda(D)} = \sup_{x \in D} \omega(x) |u(x)| + \sup_{\substack{x,y \in D \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)| \omega}{|x - y|^\lambda}.$$

The compact $E \subset \overline{D}$ is called removable with respect to the equation (1) in the space $C_\omega^\lambda(D)$ if from

$$\mathcal{L}u = 0, \quad x \in D \setminus E; \quad u|_{\partial D \setminus E} = 0; \quad u(x) \in C_\omega^\lambda(D) \tag{5}$$

it follows that $u(x) \equiv 0$ in D .

The aim of the given paper is finding sufficient condition of removability of a compact with respect to the equation (1) in the space $C_\omega^\lambda(D)$. This problem have been investigated by many researchers. For the Laplace equation the corresponding result was found by L. Carleson [1]. Concerning the second order elliptic equations of divergent structure, we show in this direction the papers [2], [3]. For a class of non-divergent elliptic equations of the second order with discontinuous coefficients the removability condition for a compact in the space $C^\lambda(D)$ was found in [4]. Mention also papers [5-7] in which the conditions of removability for a compact in the space of continuous functions have been obtained. The removable sets of solutions of the second order elliptic and parabolic equations in nondivergent form were considered in [10]-[12]. In [13], T. Kilpelainen and X. Zhong have studied the divergent quasilinear equation without minor members, proved the removability of a compact. Removable sets for pointwise solutions of elliptic partial differential equations were found by J. Diederich [14]. Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations were considered in R. Harvey, J. Polking paper [15]. Removable sets at the boundary for subharmonic functions have been investigated by B. Dahlberg [16].

Denote by $B_R(z)$ and $S_R(z)$ the ball $\{x : |x - z| < R\}$ and the sphere $\{x : |x - z| = R\}$ of radius R with the center at the point $z \in E_n$ respectively. We'll need the following generalization of mean value theorem belonging to E.M. Landis and M.L. Gerver [8] in weight case.

Lemma. *Let the domain G be situated between the spheres $S_R(0)$ and $S_{2R}(0)$, moreover the intersection $\partial G \cap \{x : R < |x| < 2R\}$ be a smooth surface. Further, let in \bar{G} the uniformly positive definite matrix $\|a_{ij}(x)\|$; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and the function $u(x) \in C^2(G) \cap C_\omega^1(\bar{G})$ be given. Then there exists the piece-wise smooth surface Σ dividing in G the spheres $S_R(0)$ and $S_{2R}(0)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \omega \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| ds \leq K_{osc} \cdot \frac{\omega(G)}{R^2}.$$

Here $K > 0$ is a constant depending only on the matrix $\|a_{ij}(x)\|$ and n , and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ is a derivative by a conormal determined by the equality

$$\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \cos(\bar{n}, x_j)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\cos(\bar{n}, x_j)$; $j = 1, \dots, n$ are direction cosines of a unit external normal vector to Σ .

Denote by $W_{2,\omega}^1(D)$ the Banach space of the functions $u(x)$ defined in D with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\omega}^1(D)} = \left(\int_D \omega \left(u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and let $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\omega}^1(D)$ be a completion of $C_0^\infty(D)$ by the norm of the space $W_{2,\omega}^1(D)$.

By $m_H^s(A)$ we'll denote the Hausdorff measure of the set A of order $s > 0$. Further everywhere the notation $C(\dots)$ means, that the positive constant C depends only on the content of brackets.

Theorem 1. *Let D be a bounded domain in E_n , $E \subset \overline{D}$ be a compact. If with respect to the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L} the conditions (2)-(4) are fulfilled, then for removability of the compact E with respect to the equation (1) in the space $C_\omega^\lambda(D)$ it suffices that*

$$m_H^{n-2+\lambda}(E) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Proof. At first we show that without loss of generality we can suppose the condition $\partial D \in C^1$ is fulfilled. Suppose, that the condition (6) provides the removability of the compact E for the domains, whose boundary is the surface of the class C^1 , but $\partial D \in C^1$ and by fulfilling (6) the compact E is not removable. Then the problem (5) has non-trivial solution $u(x)$, moreover $u|_E = f(x)$ and $f(x) \neq 0$. We always can suppose the lowest coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L} are infinitely differentiable in D . Moreover, without loss of generality, we'll suppose that the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L} are extended to a ball $B \supset \overline{D}$ with saving the conditions (2)-(4). Let $f^+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$, $f^-(x) = \min\{f(x), 0\}$, and $u^\pm(x)$ be generalized by Wiener (see [8]) solutions of the boundary value problems

$$\mathcal{L}u^\pm = 0, x \in D \setminus E; u^\pm|_{\partial D \setminus E} = 0; u^\pm|_E = f^\pm.$$

Evidently, by $u(x) = u^+(x) + u^-(x)$. Further, let D' be such a domain, that $\partial D' \in C^1$, $\overline{D} \subset D'$, $\overline{D'} \subset B$, and $\vartheta^\pm(x)$ be solutions of the problems

$$\mathcal{L}\vartheta^\pm = 0, x \in D' \setminus E; \vartheta^\pm|_{\partial D'} = 0; \vartheta^\pm|_E = f^\pm; \vartheta^\pm(x) \in C_\omega^\lambda(D').$$

By the maximum principle for $x \in D$

$$0 \leq u^+(x) \leq \vartheta^+(x), \vartheta^-(x) \leq u^-(x) \leq 0.$$

But according to our supposition $\vartheta^+(x) \equiv \vartheta^-(x) \equiv 0$. Hence, it follows, that $u(x) \equiv 0$. So, we'll suppose that $\partial D \in C^1$. Now, let $u(x)$ be a solution of the problem (5), and the condition (6) be fulfilled. Give an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a sufficiently small positive number δ and a system of the balls $\{B_{r_k}(x^k)\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $r_k < \delta$, $E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_{r_k}(x^k)$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty r_k^{n-2+\lambda} < \varepsilon. \tag{7}$$

Consider a system of the spheres $\{B_{2r_k}(x^k)\}$, and let $D_k = D \cap B_{2r_k}(x^k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that the cover $\{B_{2r_k}(x^k)\}$ has

a finite multiplicity $a_0(n)$. By the Landis-Gerver theorem for every k there exists a piece-wise smooth surface Σ_k dividing in D_k the spheres $S_{r_k}(x^k)$ and $S_{2r_k}(x^k)$, such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} \omega \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| ds \leq K \underset{D_k}{\text{oscu}} \frac{\omega(D_k)}{r_k^2}. \quad (8)$$

Since $u(x) \in C_\omega^\lambda(D)$, there exists a constant $H_1 > 0$ depending only on the function $u(x)$ such that

$$\underset{D_k}{\text{oscu}} \omega \leq H_1 (2r_k)^\lambda. \quad (9)$$

Besides,

$$\omega(D_k) \leq \text{mes}_n B_{2r_k}(x^k) = \Omega_n 2^n r_k^n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

where $\Omega_n = \text{mes}_n B_1(0)$. Using (9)-(10) in (8), we get

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} \omega \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| ds \leq C_1 r_k^{n-2+\lambda}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $C_1 = KH_1 2^{n+\lambda}$.

Let D_Σ be an open set situated in $D \setminus E$ whose boundary consists of unification of Σ and Γ , where $\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Sigma_k$, $\Gamma = \partial D \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k^+$, D_k^+ is a part of D_k remaining after the removing of points situated between Σ and $S_{2r_k}(x^k)$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Denote by D'_Σ the arbitrary connected component D_Σ , and by \mathcal{M} we denote the elliptic operator of divergent structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

According to Green formula for any functions $z(x)$ and $W(x)$ belonging to the intersection $C^2(D'_\Sigma) \cap C^1(\overline{D'_\Sigma})$, we have

$$\int_{D'_\Sigma} (z\mathcal{M}\beta - \beta\mathcal{M}z) dx = \int_{\partial D'_\Sigma} \left(z \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \nu} - \beta \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} \right) ds. \quad (12)$$

Since $\partial D \in C^1$, then $u(x) \in C^1(D'_\Sigma) \cap C^1(\overline{D'_\Sigma})$ ($x \in C^1(\overline{D_{\Sigma'}})$) (see [9]). From (12) choosing the functions $z = 1$, $\beta = \omega u^2$ we have

$$\int_{D'_\Sigma} \mathcal{M}(\omega u^2) dx = 2 \int_{\partial D'_\Sigma} \omega u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} ds + \int_{\partial D_\Sigma} \omega_{x_i} u^2 ds.$$

But $|u(x)| \leq M < \infty$ for $x \in \overline{D}$. Let's put the condition:

$$\omega_{x_i} < c\omega. \quad (*)$$

By virtue of condition (*) and $\int_{\partial D_\Sigma} \omega u^2 ds < C_3 M \varepsilon$, subject to (11) and (7) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D'_\Sigma} \mathcal{M}(\omega u^2) dx &\leq 2Ma_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma_k} \omega \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| ds + \int_{D'_\Sigma} \omega u^2 dx \leq \\ &\leq 2Ma_0 C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^{n-2+\alpha} + \varepsilon M c_2 < C_3 \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $C_3 = 2Ma_0 C_1$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\omega u^2) &= 6u\omega \mathcal{M}(u) + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega a_{ij} u_i u_j + (2u+1) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_j} \omega_{x_i} + \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} u \omega_{x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u \omega_{x_i x_j} \end{aligned}$$

and besides,

$$\mathcal{M}u = \mathcal{L}u + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x) u_i - c(x) u,$$

where

$$d_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_j} - b_i(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is evident that by virtue of conditions (3)-(4) $|d_i(x)| \leq d_0 < \infty$; $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, from (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &6 \int_{D'_\Sigma} u \omega \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x) u_i dx - 6 \int_{D'_\Sigma} u^2 c(x) dx + 2 \int_{D'_\Sigma} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega(x) a_{ij} u_i u_j dx + \\ &+ (2u+1) \int_{D'_\Sigma} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j \omega_{x_i} dx + \int_{D'_\Sigma} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j} u \omega_{x_i} dx + |\nabla u|^2 dx + \\ &+ \int_{D'_\Sigma} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u \omega_{x_i x_j} dx < C_3 \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $\alpha > 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma \int_{D'_\Sigma} \omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &< 6d_0 \int_{D'_\Sigma} \omega |u| |u_i| dx + 6 \int_{D'_\Sigma} u^2 \omega(x) + (2u+1) \int_{D'_\Sigma} a_{ij} u_j \omega_{x_i} dx + \\ &+ d_0 \int_{D'_\Sigma} u \omega_{x_i}^2 dx + \int_{D'_\Sigma} a_{ij} u \omega_{x_i x_j} + C_3 \varepsilon \leq 6 \frac{d_0}{\varepsilon} \int_{D'_\Sigma} |u|^2 dx + 6 \frac{d_0 \varepsilon}{2} \int_{D'_\Sigma} \omega^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (2n + 1) \int_{D'_\Sigma} u_j \omega dx + d_0 \int_{D'_\Sigma} u \omega dx + \gamma C_4 \varepsilon \leq 6 \frac{d_0}{\varepsilon} M m e s_n D + \\
& + \frac{(2M + 1) \gamma}{\varepsilon} m e s_n D + d_0 M \omega(D) + \gamma C_4 M \omega(D) + C_3 \varepsilon. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

If we'll take into account that

$$|\omega_{x_i x_j}| < C_4 \omega(x),$$

then from here we have that

$$\int_{D'_\Sigma} \omega^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C_5,$$

where $C_5 = (6d_0 + (2M + 1)) M m e s_n D + (d_0 M + \gamma C_4 M) \omega(D) + \frac{C_3}{\gamma}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Hence we have

$$\int_D \omega^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C_6.$$

Thus $u(x) \in W_{2,\omega}^1(D)$. From the boundary condition and $m e s_{n-1}(\partial D \cap E) = 0$ we get $u(x) \in \dot{W}_{2,\omega}^1(D)$. Now, let $\sigma \geq 2$ be a number which will be chosen later, $D_\Sigma^+ = \{x : x \in D'_\Sigma, u(x) > 0\}$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that the set D_Σ^+ isn't empty. Supposing in (12) $z = 1$, $\beta = \omega u^\sigma$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_\Sigma^+} \mathcal{M}(\omega u^\sigma) dx = \sigma \int_{\partial D_\Sigma^+} \left(\omega_\nu u^\sigma + \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) ds \leq \\
& \leq M^\sigma \int_{\partial D_\Sigma^+} \omega ds + \sigma M^{\sigma-1} \int_{\partial D_\Sigma^+} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| ds \leq C_5(a_0, M, \sigma, C_1) \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

But, on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(u^\sigma) & = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial \omega u^\sigma}{\partial x_j} \right) = \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \omega \left(\sigma u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \omega_{x_i} \frac{\partial u^\sigma}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \omega \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \omega_{x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) = \\
& = \sigma \omega u^{\sigma-1} \mathcal{M}(u) + \sigma \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \beta =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sigma \omega u^{\sigma-1} \mathcal{M}(u) + \sigma \omega u^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sigma \omega a_{ij} u_{x_j} (\sigma - 1) u^{\sigma-2} u_{x_i} + \\
 &\quad + \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \omega_{x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \beta = \\
 &= 3\sigma \omega u^{\sigma-1} M(u) + \sigma(\sigma - 1) a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u^{\sigma-2} \omega + \sigma u^{\sigma-1} \omega_{x_i} a_{ij} u_{x_j} + \beta = \\
 &= \sigma \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} d_i(x) u_{x_i} u \omega dx - \sigma(\sigma - 1) \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} u^{\sigma} \omega(x) c(x) dx + \\
 &+ \sigma(\sigma - 1) \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u^{\sigma-2} \omega(x) a_{ij} u_i u_j dx + (2u + 1) \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j \omega_{x_j} u^{\sigma-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(\sigma - 1) \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\leq d_0 \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} u^{\sigma-1} \omega u_i dx \leq \\
 &\leq d_0 \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} u^{\sigma-1} \omega u_i dx \leq \frac{d_0 \varepsilon}{2} \int_{D_{\Sigma}^+} u^{\sigma} dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let $D^+ = \{x : x \in D, u(x) > 0\}$, D_1^+ be an arbitrary connected component of D^+ . Subject to the arbitrariness of ε from (15) we get

$$(\sigma - 1) \gamma \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq d_0 \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| dx.$$

Thus, for any $\mu > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma - 1) \gamma \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\leq \frac{d_0 \mu}{2} \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| \right)^2 dx + \frac{d_0}{2\mu} \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma} dx \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{d_0 \mu n}{2} \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{d_0}{2\mu} \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma} dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

But, on the other hand

$$I = -\sigma \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D_1^+} x_i \omega u^{\sigma-1} u_i dx = -\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D_1^+} x_i \omega (u^{\sigma})_i dx = n \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^{\sigma} dx,$$

and besides, for any $\beta > 0$

$$I = \frac{\sigma \beta}{2} \int_{D_1^+} r^2 \omega u^{\sigma} dx + \frac{\sigma}{2\beta} \int_{D_1^+} u^{\sigma-2} \omega^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

[M.-R.I.Arazm, V.A.Mamedova]

Then

$$I \leq \frac{\sigma\beta}{2} \int_{D_1^+} r^2 \omega u^\sigma dx + \frac{\sigma}{2\beta} \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 |\nabla u|^2 u^{\sigma-2} dx,$$

where $r = |x|$. Denote by $k(D)$ the quantity $\sup_{x \in D} |x|$. Without loss of generality we'll suppose, that $k(D) = 1$. Then

$$I \leq \frac{\sigma}{2\beta} \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^\sigma dx + \frac{\sigma}{2\beta} \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Thus,

$$\left(n - \frac{\sigma\beta}{2}\right) \int_{D_1^+} \omega u^\sigma dx + \frac{\sigma}{2\beta} \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Now, choosing $\beta = \frac{n}{\sigma}$, we finally obtain

$$\int_{D_1^+} \omega u^\sigma dx \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (17)$$

Subject to (17) in (16), we conclude

$$(\sigma - 1) \gamma \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{d_0 \varepsilon n}{2} + \frac{d_0 \sigma^2}{2 \varepsilon n^2}\right) \int_{D_1^+} \omega^2 u^{\sigma-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (18)$$

Now choose μ such that

$$(\sigma - 1) \gamma > \frac{d_0 \mu n}{2} + \frac{d_0 \sigma^2}{2 \mu n^2}. \quad (19)$$

Then from (17)-(19) it will follow that $u(x) \equiv 0$ in D_1^+ , and thus $u(x) \equiv 0$ in D . Suppose that $\mu = \frac{(\sigma - 1) \gamma}{d_0 n}$. Then (19) is equivalent to the condition

$$n > \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d_0}{\gamma}\right)^2. \quad (20)$$

At first, suppose that

$$n > \left(\frac{d_0}{\gamma}\right)^2. \quad (21)$$

Let's choose and fix such a big $\sigma \geq 2$ that by fulfilling (21) the inequality (20) was true. Thus, the theorem is proved, if with respect to n the condition (21) is fulfilled. Show that it is true for any $n \geq 3$. For that, at first, note that if $k(D) \neq 1$, then condition (21) will take the form

$$n > \left(\frac{d_0 k(D)}{\gamma}\right)^2.$$

Now, let the condition (21) be not fulfilled. Denote by k the least natural number for which

$$n + k > \left(\frac{d_0}{\gamma}\right)^2. \tag{22}$$

Consider $(n + k)$ -dimensional semi-cylinder $D' = D \times (-\delta_0, \delta_0) \times \dots \times (-\delta_0, \delta_0)$, where the number $\delta_0 > 0$ will be chosen later. Since $\omega(D) = 1$, then $\omega(D') \leq 1 + \delta_0\sqrt{k}$. Let's choose and fix δ_0 so small that along with the condition (22) the condition

$$n + k > \left(\frac{d_0\omega(D')}{\gamma}\right)^2 \tag{23}$$

was fulfilled too.

Let

$$y = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k}), E' = \underbrace{E \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times \dots \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0]}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

Consider on the domain D' the equation

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\vartheta} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \vartheta_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial^2 \vartheta}{\partial x_{n+i}^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \vartheta_i + c(x) \vartheta = 0. \tag{24}$$

It is easy to see that the function $\vartheta(y) = u(x)$ is a solution of the equation (24) in $D' \setminus E'$. Besides, $m_H^{n+k-2+\lambda}(E') = (2\delta_0)^k m_H^{n-2+\lambda}(E) = 0$, the function $\vartheta(y)$ vanishes on $\left(\partial D \times \underbrace{[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times \dots \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0]}_{k \text{ times}}\right) \setminus E'$ and $\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \nu'} = 0$ at $x_{n+i} = \pm\delta_0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu'}$ is a derivative by the conormal generated by the operator \mathcal{L}' . Noting that $\gamma(\mathcal{L}') = \gamma(\mathcal{L})$, $d_0(\mathcal{L}') = d_0(\mathcal{L})$ and subject to the condition (23), from the proved above we conclude that $\vartheta(y) \equiv 0$, i.e. D' . The theorem is proved.

Remark. As is seen from the proof, the assertion of the theorem remains valid if instead of the condition (3) it is required that the coefficients $a_{ij}(x)$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) have to satisfy in domain D the uniform Lipschitz condition with weight.

References

[1]. Carleson L. *Selected problems on exceptional sets*. D. Van. Nostrand company, Toronto-London-Melbourne, 1967, 126p.
 [2]. Moiseev E.I. *On Neumann problems in piecewise smooth domains*. Diff. Uravneniya, 1971, v. VII, No 9, pp.1655-1656 (Russian).
 [3]. Moiseev E.I. *On existence and non-existence boundary sets of Neumann problem*. Diff. Uravneniya, 1973, v. IX, No 5, pp.901-911 (Russian).

[M.-R.I.Arazm, V.A.Mamedova]

[4]. Landis E.M. To question on uniqueness of solution of the first boundary value problem for elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order. *Uspekhi mat. nauk*, 1978, v.33, No 3, 151 p. (Russian).

[5]. Kondratyev V.A., Landis E.M. *Qualitative theory of linear partial differential equations of second order*. Itogi nauki i tekhniki. Ser. "Modern problems of mathematics". Fundamental directions. Partial differential equations, v.3, M., VINITI, 1988, pp.99-212. (Russian)

[6]. Mamedov I.T. *On exceptional sets of solutions of Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations of second order with discontinuous coefficients*. Trudy IMM AN Azerb., 1998, v.III (XVI), pp.137-149. (Russian)

[7]. Gerver M.L., Landis E.M. *One generalization of a theorem on mean value for multivariable functions*. DAN SSSR, 1962, v.146, No 4, pp.761-764 (Russian).

[8]. Landis E.M. Second order equations of elliptic and parabolic types. M., "Nauka", 1971, 288 p. (Russian)

[9]. Gilbarg D., Trudinger N.S. *Elliptic partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag, 1977, 401p.

[10]. Kayzer V., Muller B. *Removable sets for heat conduction*. Vestnik Moscow University. 1973, No 5, pp.26-32. (Russian).

[11]. Mamedova V.A. *On removable sets of solutions of boundary value problems for elliptic equations of second order*. Trans. of NAS of Azerb., 2005, v.XXV, No 1, pp.101-106.

[12]. Gadjeiev T.S., Mamedova V.A. *On removable sets of solutions of second order elliptic and parabolic equations in nondivergent form*. *Ukr. Math. Journ.*, 2009, v.61, No11, pp.1485-1496.

[13]. Kilpelainen T., Zhong X., *Removable sets for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2002, 130, No 6, pp.1681-1688 (electronic).

[14]. Diederich J. *Removable sets for pointwise solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1972, 165, pp.333-352.

[15]. Harvey R., Polking J. *Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations*. Acta Math., 1970, 125, pp.39-56.

[16]. Dahlberg B.E.J. *On exceptional sets at the boundary for subharmonic functions*. Arkiv mat., 1977, v.15, No 2, pp.305-312.

Mahammad-Rza I. Arazm, Vafa A. Mamedova

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan

9, F.Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan

Tel.: (99412) 439 47 20 (off.)

Received September 07, 2009; Revised November 23, 2009.