

ARASH M. GHORBANALIZADEH

## ON STANCU TYPE GENERALIZATION OF THE SZASZ OPERATOR IN COMPLEX DOMAIN

### Abstract

*The convergence of generalization Szasz operators of Stancu type in complex domain is studied in this paper.*

**Introduction and preliminaries.** Otto Szasz [3] gave a generalization of the well-known Bernstein polynomials to the infinite interval as

$$S_n(x, f) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad n > 0. \tag{1}$$

He proved that if  $f \in C[0, \infty)$  and  $f(x) = O(x^A)$  (or more generally, if  $f(x) = O(e^{Ax})$  [Favard 11]) where  $A$  is a positive constant, then  $S_n(x, f) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on any finite interval  $[0, a]$ . There have been many studies of Bernstein type polynomials in the infinite interval and we refer to the papers [5, 7, 8, 9].

The other generalization of Bernstein polynomials was given by Stancu [1] in the form

$$B_{n,\alpha,\beta}(x, f) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ .

Note that this type generalization of Bernstein-Chlodowsky polynomials was studied in [6]. In 1963 J.J.Geregen, F.G.Dressel and H. Purcell studied the convergence of classical Szasz operators (1) in some parabolic set  $p(d) = \{z : |z| < x + 2d^2\}$ , where  $d$  is a positive number in complex domain and  $x = \operatorname{Re} z$ . In this work, we consider the Szasz operators of Stancu type

$$S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) = e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \tag{3}$$

in complex domain.

**Definition.** A function  $f(z)$  defined on  $p(d)$  is said posses property  $B$  in  $p(d)$  if for each  $b, 0 < b < d$ , there exists a positive number  $B(b)$  such that, for every  $z \in p(b)$ ,

$$|f(z)| < B(b) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2}x - |x|^{1/2} \left[ b^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|z| - x) \right]^{1/2} \right]. \tag{4}$$

Note that property (4) were introduced by Otto Szasz and Nelson Yeardley ([10]) which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for representation of an analytic function by general Laguerre series.

[A.M.Ghorbanalizadeh]

As usual the functions  $\{f_k(z)\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$  defined on  $p(d)$  are said to have the property  $B$  uniformly in  $p(d)$  if for each  $b$ ,  $0 < b < d$ , the constant  $B(b)$  in (4) does not depend on  $f_k(z)$ .

### Main results.

The following two theorems are our main results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be analytic and has property  $B$  in  $p(d)$  and  $e_{n,\beta} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2(n+\beta)}\right]$ . Then the function  $\{S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z/e_{n,\beta}; f)\}$  has property  $B$  uniformly on  $p(d)$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be analytic and has property  $B$  on  $p(d)$ . Then*

1.  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)$  is an entire function for every  $n$  and every fixed  $\alpha, \beta$ ;
2.  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) \rightarrow f(z)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $p(d)$  for every fixed  $\alpha, \beta$ ;
3. The convergence in  $b$  is uniform on each compact subset of  $p(d)$ .

### Auxiliary lemmas to prove the main results.

We assume that  $z$  is an arbitrary complex number and  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $f(z)$  is a polynomial, then  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)$  is a polynomial of degree equal to the degree of  $f(z)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f(z) = z^m$ , where  $m$  is a natural number. Then

$$e^{-z} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^\lambda}{\lambda!} \lambda^n = e^{-z} \left(z \frac{d}{dz}\right)^n e^z = \sum_{j=0}^n C_j^{(n)} z^j, \quad (5)$$

where  $C_j^{(n)}$  are constants. Now by using (5) for  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) &= e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} (k+\alpha)^m = \frac{e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \sum_{v=0}^m k^v \alpha^{m-v} \binom{m}{v} = \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} \alpha^{m-v} \frac{e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} k^v = \binom{m}{0} \frac{\alpha^m e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} + \\ &+ \binom{m}{1} \frac{\alpha^{m-1} e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} k + \binom{m}{2} \frac{\alpha^{m-2} e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} k^2 + \dots + \\ &+ \binom{m}{m} \frac{e^{-nz}}{(n+\beta)^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} k^m \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand,

$$e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dz} e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k-1}}{k!} k \Rightarrow z \frac{d}{dz} e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 ze^z &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} k \Rightarrow (1+z)e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k-1}}{k!} k^2 \Rightarrow (z+z^2)e^z = \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} k^2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow p(z)e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} k^n, \\
 p(z) &= e^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} k^n, \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  and we can easily see by induction that the coefficient of  $z^n$  in  $p(z)$  is one. Now by considering (7) in (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) &= \binom{m}{0} \frac{\alpha^m}{(n+\beta)^m} + \binom{m}{1} \frac{\alpha^{m-1}(nz)}{(n+\beta)^m} + \\
 &+ \binom{m}{2} \frac{\alpha^{m-2}((nz)^2 + (nz))}{(n+\beta)^m} + \dots + \\
 &+ \binom{m}{m} \frac{[(nz)^m + a_1(nz)^{m-1} + \dots + a_{m-1}]}{(n+\beta)^m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all the terms of the above sum except the last summand tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the last summand tends to  $z^m$ . Then it follows that  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow z^m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $z$  and the convergence is uniform in every compact set.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z) = S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, L_m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be the polynomials where  $L_m$  is the  $m$ -th Laguerre polynomial of order 0, then

$$\left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z) \right| \leq (e_{n,\beta})^\alpha \exp(-nx + n|z|e_{n,\beta}) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z) \omega^m = \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}}}{1-\omega} \exp \left\{ -nz + nz \exp \left[ \frac{-\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)} \right] \right\}. \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** Using the known inequality

$$|L_n(x)| \leq \exp \left( \frac{1}{2}x \right) \quad 0 \leq x, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{10}$$

(See [4 -p.164]), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z) \right| &= \left| e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} L_m \left( \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right) \right| \leq |e^{-nz}| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \right| e^{\frac{(k+\alpha)}{2(n+\beta)}} = \\
 &= e^{\frac{\alpha}{2(n+\beta)}} |e^{-nz}| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(n|z|)^k}{k!} \right| \left( e^{\frac{1}{2(n+\beta)}} \right)^k = (e_{n,\beta})^\alpha \exp(-nx + n|z|e_{n,\beta})
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the inequality (8).

To prove (9) we use equality (see [4 - p. 110])

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n(z) \omega^n = \frac{1}{1-\omega} \exp\left(\frac{-z\omega}{\omega-1}\right), \quad |\omega| < 1, \quad (11)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} L_m\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \omega^m &= \frac{e^{-nz}}{1-\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \exp\left(\frac{-\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\omega}{1-\omega}\right) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}} \cdot e^{-nz}}{1-\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \exp\left(\frac{-k\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)}\right) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}} \cdot e^{-nz}}{1-\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(nz \exp\left(\frac{-\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)}\right)\right)^k}{k!} = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}}}{1-\omega} \exp\left\{-nz + nz \exp\left(\frac{-\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)}\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for fixed  $z, n, \omega, |\omega| < 1$ , the left double series is absolutely convergent. By changing the order of summation, we can get (9).

**Lemma 3.** *Let*

$$K_n(z, \omega) = \Re \left\{ -nz + nz \exp\left(\frac{-\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)}\right) \right\}$$

then

$$K_n(z, \omega) \leq vr \frac{(|z| - rx)}{1 - r^2}, \quad |\omega| = r < 1, \quad (12)$$

where  $v = v(r, n) = \exp\left\{\frac{r}{(n+\beta)(r+1)}\right\}$ .

**Proof.** The left side of inequality (12) for cases  $z = 0$  and  $\omega = 0$  is zero i.e.

$$K_n(0, \omega) = K_n(z, 0) = 0.$$

We suppose then  $|z|, |\omega|, n$  fixed such that  $z \neq 0, 0 < r = |\omega| < 1$ . we consider

$$z = |z| e^{i\phi}, \rho = \frac{r}{1-r^2}, \quad e^{i\theta} = \frac{\omega(1-\bar{\omega})}{r(1-\omega)}, \quad a = \frac{1}{k+\beta}, \quad \Phi = \phi - a\rho \sin \theta.$$

Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\theta} = \frac{\omega(1-\bar{\omega})}{r(1-\omega)} &\Rightarrow r + e^{i\theta} = r + \frac{\omega(1-\bar{\omega})}{r(1-\omega)} = \frac{r^2(1-\omega) + \omega - \omega\bar{\omega}}{r(1-\omega)} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow r + e^{i\theta} = \frac{\omega(1-r^2)}{r(1-\omega)} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{r}{1-r^2}\right) (r + e^{i\theta}) = \\ &= \frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \Rightarrow \rho (r + e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \end{aligned}$$

then for

$$K_n(z, \omega) \leq \nu r \frac{(|z| - rx)}{1 - r^2}$$

or

$$\Re \left\{ -nz + nz \exp \left( \frac{-\omega}{(n + \beta)(1 - \omega)} \right) \right\} \leq \frac{\nu r (|z| - rx)}{1 - r^2}. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} -nz + nz \exp \left[ \frac{-\omega}{(n + \beta)(1 - \omega)} \right] &= -n |z| e^{i\phi} + n |z| e^{i\phi} e^{-a\rho(r + e^{i\theta})} = \\ &= -n |z| e^{i\phi} + n |z| e^{i\phi} e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta + i \sin \theta)} = \\ &= -n |z| e^{i\phi} + n |z| e^{i\phi} e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} e^{-ia\rho \sin \theta} = \\ &= -n |z| e^{i\phi} + n |z| e^{i(\phi - a\rho \sin \theta)} e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \\ \Rightarrow \Re \left\{ -n |z| e^{i\phi} + n |z| e^{i(\phi - a\rho \sin \theta)} e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \right\} &= \\ = -n |z| \cos \phi + n |z| \cos (\phi - a\rho \sin \theta) e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} &= \\ = n |z| \left( -\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \right). & \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$(13), (14) \Rightarrow n |z| \left( -\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \right) \leq \nu \rho (|z| - rx)$$

$$-\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \nu \rho (|z| - rx)$$

$$x + iy = z = |z| e^{i\phi} = |z| \cos \phi + i |z| \sin \phi \Rightarrow \frac{x}{|z|} = \cos \phi$$

$$\Rightarrow -\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq \frac{a\nu\rho}{1 - a\beta} (1 - r \cos \phi)$$

$$-\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq \frac{a\nu\rho}{1 - a\beta} - \frac{a\nu\rho r \cos \phi}{1 - a\beta}$$

$$\frac{a\nu\rho r \cos \phi}{1 - a\beta} - \cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq \frac{a\nu\rho}{1 - a\beta}$$

$$a\nu\rho r \cos \phi + (1 - a\beta) \left( -\cos \phi + \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \right) \leq a\nu\rho$$

$$\cos \phi (a\nu\rho r - (1 - a\beta)) + (1 - a\beta) \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq a\nu\rho \quad (15)$$

It is enough to show that (15) holds for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $|\phi| \leq \pi$ .

For this purpose we study the inequality

$$T(\theta, \phi) = (a\nu\rho r - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi + (1 - a\beta) \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq a\nu\rho$$

in  $R : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $|\phi| \leq \pi$   $((\theta, \phi) \in R)$ .

Suppose that  $a\nu\rho r \geq 1$ , (we will use the fact  $e^t \leq 1 + te^t$ )

$$T(\theta, \phi) = (a\nu\rho r - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi + (1 - a\beta) \cos \Phi e^{-a\rho(r + \cos \theta)} \leq$$

[A.M.Ghorbanalizadeh]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) + (1 - a\beta) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} = \\
&= avr\rho - 1 + a\beta + (1 - a\beta)(1 - a\rho(r-1)) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} = \\
&= avr\rho - 1 + a\beta + 1 - a\rho(r-1) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} - a\beta + a\beta a\rho(r-1) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} = \\
&= avr\rho - a\rho(r-1) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} (1 - a\beta) = avr\rho + a\rho(1-r) e^{-a\frac{r(r-1)}{1-r^2}} (1 - a\beta) = \\
&= avr\rho + a\rho(1-r) e^{\frac{ar}{1+r}} (1 - a\beta) = avr\rho + (a\rho v - a\rho vr) (1 - a\beta) = \\
&= avr\rho + a\rho v - a\rho vr - a\rho va\beta + a\rho vra\beta = a\rho v - a\rho va\beta(1-r) \leq a\rho v
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose then that  $avr\rho \leq 1$ . Let  $(\theta, \phi)$  is maximum point for  $T$ - in  $R$ . We discuss three cases

1)  $\theta = 0$  2)  $\theta = \pi$  3)  $0 < \theta < \pi$ .

If  $\theta = 0$  then,

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi + (1 - a\beta) \left( \cos \phi e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) = \\
&= \cos \phi \left( avr\rho - (1 - a\beta) + (1 - a\beta) e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) = \\
&= \cos \phi \left( avr\rho + (1 - a\beta) \left( -1 + e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For this we have two cases;

i)  $avr\rho + (1 - a\beta) \left( -1 + e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
T &< avr\rho + (1 - a\beta) \left( -1 + e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) = \\
&= avr\rho + (1 - a\beta) \left( -1 + e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \right) < avr\rho < av\rho
\end{aligned}$$

ii)  $avr\rho + (1 - a\beta) \left( -1 + e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
T &\leq \left( 1 - e^{-a\rho(r+1)} \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho = \left( 1 - e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \\
&\leq - \left( e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} - 1 \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \left( e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} - 1 \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \\
&\leq e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{ar}{r-1} e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} - 1 \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \\
&\leq e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \left( \frac{ar}{r-1} e^{\frac{ar}{r-1}} \right) (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \\
&\leq \frac{ar}{r-1} (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \frac{ar}{r-1} (1 - a\beta) - avr\rho \leq \\
&\leq \frac{ar}{r-1} avr\rho < avr\rho < av\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

2) If  $\theta = \pi$  then

$$T = (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi + (1 - a\beta) \cos \phi e^{-a\rho(r-1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \left[ avr\rho - (1 - a\beta) + (1 - a\beta) e^{-a\rho(r-1)} \right] \cos \phi \\
 T &= \left[ \left( avr\rho - (1 - a\beta) + (1 - a\beta) e^{\frac{ar}{1+r}} \right) \right] \cos \phi = \\
 &= [avr\rho + (1 - a\beta)(-1 + v)] \cos \phi \leq \\
 &\leq avr\rho + (-1 + v)(1 - a\beta) \leq avr\rho - 1 + v = avr\rho - 1 + e^{\frac{ar}{1+r}} = \\
 &= avr\rho + \frac{ar}{1+r} e^{\frac{ar}{1+r}} = avr\rho + \frac{arv(1-r)}{(1+r)(1-r)} = \\
 &= avr\rho + a\rho v(1-r) = avr\rho + a\rho v - a\rho r v = a\rho v
 \end{aligned}$$

for completing the proof it remains to consider the case  $0 < \theta < \pi$ . Both of the first partial derivatives of  $T$  at point  $(\theta, \phi)$  are zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_\theta &= e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} (a\rho \sin \theta) \cos(\phi - a\rho \sin \theta) (1 - a\beta) + \\
 &+ a\rho \cos \theta \sin \Phi e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} (1 - a\beta) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$T_\theta = e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} a\rho (1 - a\beta) [\sin \theta \cos \Phi + \cos \theta \sin \Phi] = 0 \Rightarrow \sin(\theta + \Phi) = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_\phi &= -(avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \sin \phi - (1 - a\beta) \sin \Phi e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} = 0 \\
 (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \sin \phi + (1 - a\beta) \sin \Phi e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} &= 0 \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

from definition of  $T$  and (16), (17) relations we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \sin \theta &= (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi \sin \theta + (1 - a\beta) \left( e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} \cos \Phi \sin \theta \right) = \\
 &= (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi \sin \theta - (1 - a\beta) e^{-a\rho(r+\cos\theta)} \cos \theta \sin \Phi = \\
 &= (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \cos \phi \sin \theta + (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \sin \phi \cos \theta = \\
 &= (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \sin(\theta + \phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

from (16) one can write

$$\sin(\theta + \Phi) = 0 \Rightarrow \theta + \Phi = n\pi, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Thus

$$\theta + \phi = \theta + \Phi + a\rho \sin \theta = n\pi + a\rho \sin \theta,$$

and

$$T \sin \theta = (avr\rho - (1 - a\beta)) \sin(n\pi + a\rho \sin \theta). \quad (18)$$

By (18) and  $a\rho r < 1$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , we have

$$T \sin \theta \leq (1 - a\beta - avr\rho) a\rho \sin \theta \leq a\rho \sin \theta,$$

$$T \leq a\rho v.$$

[A.M.Ghorbanalizadeh]

For completing of the proof Theorems 1 and 2, we will use the following lemmas proved in [2].

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$  be positive constant numbers such that  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$  and define  $U(t) = \frac{4\alpha_1^2}{t} + \frac{t\beta_1^2}{4+t}$ , then following inequality is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} I(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left[-U(t) - \frac{4\gamma_1^2}{t}\right] dt \leq \\ &\leq M_1(\gamma) \exp(\alpha_1^2 - 2\alpha_1\beta_1), \\ M_1(\gamma) &= \frac{e\left[2 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16\alpha_1^2}\right]}{e-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.** If  $0 < b < c$  and

$$J(b, c, z) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{4c^2}{t} + \frac{2e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{1-e^{-t}}(|z| - xe^{\frac{t}{2}})\right] dt$$

then the following inequality is satisfied

$$J(b, c, z) \leq M_2(b, c) \exp\left\{x - 2|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[b^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|z| - x)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\},$$

where  $z \in p(b)$  and  $M_2(b, c) = M_1\left((c^2 - b^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $0 < b < c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left|Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z/e_{n,\beta})\right|^2 \exp(-4c\sqrt{m}) \leq \\ &\leq M_3(b, c) \exp\left\{x - 2|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[b^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|z| - x)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \in p(b)$ , such that  $M_3(b, c) = (2c\sqrt{\pi})M_2(b, c)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Cr, 0 < r < 1$  is a circle with radius  $r$  in the  $\omega$ -plane. By lemma 2 and lemma 3 and the classical integral formula we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left|Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z)\right|^2 r^{2m} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C_r} \frac{\left|e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}}\right|^2}{|1-\omega|^2} \left|\exp\left\{-nz + nz \exp\left(\frac{-\omega}{(n+\beta)(1-\omega)}\right)\right\}\right|^2 |d\omega| = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C_r} \frac{\left|e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega}{n+\beta}}\right|^2}{|1-\omega|^2} \exp[2K_n(z, \omega)] |d\omega| \leq \end{aligned}$$



by lemma 3 we can use this fact  $K_n(z, \omega) \leq e_{n,\beta} \frac{r(|z|-rx)}{1-r^2}$  then

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C_r} \frac{1}{|1-\omega|^2} \exp \left[ 2e_{n,\beta} r \left( \frac{|z|-rx}{1-r^2} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{1-r^2} \exp \left[ 2e_{n,\beta} r \left( \frac{|z|-rx}{1-r^2} \right) \right].$$

If  $t > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z/e_{n,\beta}) \right|^2 e^{-mt} \leq \left[ \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \right] \exp \left\{ 2e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left( \frac{|z|-xe^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{1-e^{-t}} \right) \right\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\exp(-4c\sqrt{m}) = \left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left( -mt - \frac{4c^2}{t} \right) dt.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z/e_{n,\beta}) \right|^2 \exp(-4c\sqrt{m}) = \\ & = \left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z/e_{n,\beta}) \right|^2 \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left( -mt - \frac{4c^2}{t} \right) dt = \\ & = \left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{4c^2}{t} \right) \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z/e_{n,\beta}) \right|^2 \exp(-mt) \right] dt \leq \\ & \leq \left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{1-e^{-t}} \exp \left[ -\frac{4c^2}{t} + \frac{2e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{1-e^{-t}} (|z|-xe^{-\frac{t}{2}}) \right] dt \leq \end{aligned}$$

and by applying lemma 5,

$$\leq \left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) M_2(b, c) \exp \left\{ x - 2|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ b^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|z|-x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

for  $z \in p(d)$ .

### Proof of Theorems

#### Proof of Theorem 1.

The conditions of Theorem allows us to write Lagurre series in  $p(d)$ . Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m L_m(z), \quad z \in p(d); \quad a_m = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} L_m(x) f(x) dx \quad (19)$$

Since series (19) is convergent, we follow

$$|a_m| \leq A_\varepsilon \exp [2m(-d + \varepsilon)] \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (20)$$

[A.M.Ghorbanalizadeh]

For an arbitrary positive  $\varepsilon$  and a suitably choose constant  $A_\varepsilon$ .

From (20) we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_m| < \infty, \quad M(c, f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_m|^2 \exp(4c\sqrt{m}) < \infty \quad (21)$$

such that  $0 < c < d$ .

Now consider  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) &= e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m L_m \left( \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \left[ e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} L_m \left( \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right) \right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By (10) and the first inequality in (21) we see that  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)$  converges absolutely for arbitrary  $z, n, 0 < n$ .

From (22) we obtain

$$|S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_m|^2 \exp(4c\sqrt{m}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| Q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(m)}(z) \right|^2 \exp(-4c\sqrt{m})$$

then, by applying Lemma 6, if  $0 < b < c < d$ , we have

$$|S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z/e_{n,\beta}, f)|^2 \leq M(c, f) M_3(b, c) \exp \left\{ x - 2|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ b^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|z| - x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

for  $z \in p(b)$ . For fixed  $b, 0 < b < d$  and by putting

$$B(b) = [M(c, f) M_3(b, c)]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c = \frac{1}{2}(b + d)$$

the proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Note that the hypothesis of Theorem allows writing the inequality  $|f(x)| < Ae^{\frac{x}{2}}$ ,  $0 \leq x$  for some positive constant  $A$ . Then the series

$$S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) = e^{-nz} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nz)^k}{k!} f \left( \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right), \quad 0 < n$$

for arbitrary  $z, n$  converges, therefore a) holds.

For completing the proof it is sufficient to show that, if  $S$  be compact subset of  $p(d)$  then  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z)$  uniformly convergence on  $S$ .

For  $b > 0, x_0 > 0$  let  $U(b, x_0) = \{z \mid |z| < x + 2d^2, x < x_0\}$ . Choose  $b_1, b_2, b_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$  such that  $0 < b_1 < b_2 < b_3 < d, 0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3$  and  $S \subset U(b_1, x_1)$ , by theorem1 there exists a constant  $M^*$  such that

$$|S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z/e_{n,\beta}, f)| \leq M^*, \quad z \in U(b_3, x_3).$$

Choose  $n_0 = \max \left\{ \left[ 4 \cdot Ln \left( \frac{b_3}{b_2} \right) \right]^{-1}, \left[ 2 \cdot Ln \left( \frac{x_3}{x_2} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\}$ . Then for a sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $n_0 < n$  and for  $z \in U(b_2, x_2)$  we have  $ze_{n,\beta} \in U(b_3, x_3)$ . Therefore

$$|S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)| = |S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(ze_{n,\beta}/e_{n,\beta}, f)| \leq M^* n_0 < n, z \in U(b_2, x_2).$$

Also by Korovkin's theorem [12] we can write  $S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(x, f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  for  $0 < x < x_2$  and by Vitali's theorem,  $\{S_{n,\alpha,\beta}(z, f)\}$  converges uniformly on  $U(b_1, x_1)$  to a function  $F(z)$  that analytic on  $U(b_1, x_1)$ . On the other hand  $f(z)$  is analytic on  $U(b_1, x_1)$  and  $F(x) = f(x)$  for  $0 < x < x_1$ , it follows  $F(z) = f(z)$  throughout  $U(b_1, x_1)$  and the theorem has been proved.

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to academicians A.D.Gadjiev for the problem statement and for useful discussions.

### References

- [1] D.D. Stancu, D.D., Approximation of function by a new class of polynomial operators, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., 1968, 13 (8), pp.1173-1194.
- [2] J.J. Gergen, F.G. Dressel, W.H. Purcell, convergence of Extended Bernstein polynomial the complex plane Pacific J.Math. 1963, vol. 13, No 4, pp.1171-1180
- [3] Otto Szasz, Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval Journal of Research of National Bureau of Standards, 1950, 45, pp.239-245
- [4] Gabor Szego, orthogonal polynomials, Amer.Math.Soc.Colloq, Publ. 1939, 23, Fourth edition, 1975.
- [5] Gadjiev, A.D. Efendiev, R.O. and Ibikli, E. Generalized Bernstein Chlodowsky polynomials, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. 1998, 28, 4.
- [6] Ibikli, E., On Stancu Type Generalization of Bernstein –Chlodowsky Polynomials, Mathematica (Balcanica), 2000, 42 (65):1, pp.37-43.
- [7] A. Jakimovski and D.Leviatan, Generalized Szasz operators for the approximation in the infinite interval, Mathematica(Cluj), 1969, 34, pp.97-103.
- [8] M.Lesniewicz and L. Rempulska, Approximation by some operators of the Szasz-Mirakjan type in exponential weight spaces, Glasnik Matematicki, 1997, 32 (52), pp.57-69.
- [9] Alexandra Ciupa, Approximation by a Generalized Szasz Type Operator, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. No.4, 2003.
- [10] Otto Szasz and Nelson Yeardeley, The Representation of an Analytic function by general Laguerre Series, Pacific J. Math. 1958, 8, pp.621-633.
- [11] Jean Favard, Sur les multiplicateurs d'interpolation, Journal de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees, 1944, 23 (series 9), pp.219-247
- [12] Korovkin, P.P., Linear Operators and Theory of Approximation. Hindustan Publ. Corp., Delhi. 1960.

**Arash M. Ghorbanalizadeh**

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan.

9, F. Agayev str., AZ-1141, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel: (99412) 439 47 20 (off.)

e-mail: gurbanalizade@gmail.com

Received May 24, 2007; Revised September 20, 2007.