

BALA A. ISKENDEROV, JAHID Yu. MAMEDOV

**BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION OF THE CAUCHY
PROBLEM FOR
BARENBLATT-ZHELTOV-KOCHINA TYPE
EQUATION AT GREAT VALUES OF TIME**

Abstract

In the paper we obtain the estimation of the Cauchy problem solution for Barenblatt-ZheltoV-Kochina type equation at great values of time.

By studying liquid filtration in cracked rocks with porosity G.I. Barenblatt, Yu.P. Zheltov and I.N. Kochina in [1] obtained an equation unsolved with respect to time derivative of the form

$$(\eta\Delta - 1) D_t u(x, t) + \chi\Delta u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in R_3, \tag{I}$$

were Δ is a Laplace operator with respect to $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R_3$, R_3 is a three-dimensional Euclidean space, η is a permeability coefficient, χ is a piezoconductivity coefficient. Different boundary value problems for this equation in a bounded domain, mainly in one-dimensional, three-dimensional spaces were stated in the paper [1] and expression for pressure difference in the both sides of the break surface was obtained.

The mixed problem for equation (I) in a multivariate cylindrical domain was studied in the paper [2]. In this paper we obtain the estimation of the Cauchy problem solution for Barenblatt-ZheltoV-Kochina type equation at great values of time. In $R_{m+n} \times (0, \infty)$ we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$(\sigma^2\Delta_{m,n} - \beta^2) D_t u(x, t) + \omega^2\Delta_m u(x, t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$u(x, t)|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \tag{2}$$

here $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n})$,

$$\Delta_{m,n} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{m+1}^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{m+n}^2},$$

$$\Delta_m = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2},$$

σ, β, ω are positive constants having physical sense. We'll assume that the function $u(x, t)$ for each t with respect to x is a distribution over $D(R_{m+n})$ ([3], p.40) continuous with respect to t , and we'll understand the solution of problem (1)–(2) in the sense of distributions ([3], p. 124 – 178), where the space of finite infinitely differentiable function in R_{m+n} is denoted by $D(R_{m+n})$. Notice that equation (1) belongs to Sobolev-Galperin class of equations. Solvability problems of the Cauchy problem for this class of equations in the class of distributions was studied by A.G. Kostyuchenko and G.I. Eskin in [4].

Representation of solution of the Cauchy problem (1)–(2).

Assuming $u(x, t)$ as a distribution and performing Fourier transformation on problem (1) – (2) we get the duality problem

$$\sigma^2 \left(|s|^2 + \beta^2 \right) V_t(s, t) + \omega^2 |\bar{s}|^2 V(s, t) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$V(s, t)|_{t=0} = \tilde{\varphi}(s), \quad (4)$$

where the sign \sim denotes Fourier transformation with respect to x , $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m+n})$ is a duality variable to x with respect to Fourier transformation

$$\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m), \quad \overline{\bar{s}} = (s_{m+1}, s_{m+2}, \dots, s_{m+n}), \quad |s|^2 = |\bar{s}|^2 = |\overline{\bar{s}}|^2 \equiv r^2.$$

Having solved problem (3) – (4) we get.

$$V(s, t) = e^{-\frac{\omega^2 |\bar{s}|^2 t}{\sigma^2 |s|^2 + \beta^2}} \tilde{\varphi}(s).$$

Hence, performing the Fourier inverse transformation on $V(s, t)$ for the solution of Cauchy problem (1) – (2) we get

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m+n}} \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int e^{-\frac{\omega^2 |\bar{s}|^2 t}{\sigma^2 |s|^2 + \beta^2}} \tilde{\varphi}(s) e^{-i(x,s)} ds = G(x, t) * \varphi(x),$$

where

$$G(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m+n}} \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int e^{-\frac{\omega^2 |\bar{s}|^2 t}{\sigma^2 |s|^2 + \beta^2}} e^{-i(x,s)} ds.$$

The integral in the expression $G(x, t)$ doesn't converge in the ordinary sense. Therefore, taking into account

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s) = (-1)^\mu \left(1 + |s|^2\right)^{-m} \widetilde{(1 - \Delta_{m,n})^\mu} \varphi(s)$$

we represent the solution of Cauchy problem (1) – (2) $u(x, t)$ in the form

$$u(x, t) = G_1(x, t) * (1 - \Delta_{m+n})^\mu \varphi(s),$$

where

$$G_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m+n}} \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \left(1 + |\bar{s}|^2\right)^{-\mu} e^{-\frac{\omega^2 |\bar{s}|^2 t}{\sigma^2 |s|^2 + \beta^2}} e^{-i(x,s)} ds. \quad (5)$$

We choose the number μ so that the integral in (5) converges absolutely. To this end we assume

$$2\mu = \begin{cases} m + n + 1, & \text{if } m + n \text{ is odd} \\ m + n + 2, & \text{if } m + n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Now we get the estimation of $G_1(x, t)$ at great values of time.

Asymptotic estimation of the function $G_1(x, t)$ at great values of time.

In (5) pass to spherical coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_1 &= r \cos \varphi_1 \\
 s_2 &= r \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 \\
 s_3 &= r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 s_{m-1} &= r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \dots \sin \varphi_{m-2} \cos \varphi_{m-1} \\
 s_m &= r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \dots \sin \varphi_{m-1} \cos \varphi_m \\
 s_{m+1} &= r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \dots \sin \varphi_m \cos \varphi_{m+1} \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 s_{m+n} &= r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \dots \sin \varphi_{m+n-2} \sin \varphi_{m+n-1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_1^2 + s_2^2 + \dots + s_m^2 &= r^2 \cos^2 \varphi_1 + \dots + r^2 \sin^2 \varphi_1 \sin^2 \varphi_2 \dots \cos^2 \varphi_m = \\
 &= r^2 (1 - \sin^2 \varphi_1 \dots \sin^2 \varphi_m) \equiv r^2 T(\bar{\varphi}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq \pi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m+n-2; \quad 0 \leq \varphi_{m+n-1} \leq 2\pi, \\
 \bar{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), \quad \bar{\bar{\varphi}} = (\varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{m+2}, \dots, \varphi_{m+n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and (7) and passing in (5) to polar coordinates we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m+n}} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{m+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^\mu} \times \\
 &\times \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{m+1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{m+2} \dots \sin \varphi_{m+n-2} d\varphi_{m+1} \dots d\varphi_{m+n-2} \times \\
 &\times \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \sin \varphi_1^{m+n-2} \sin \varphi_2^{m+n-3} \dots \sin^{n-1} \varphi_m e^{-\frac{\omega^2 r^2 t T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2 (r^2 + \beta^2)}} e^{i(x, r\delta(\varphi))} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_m,
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $s = r\delta(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m+n-1})$.

In (8) denote the internal integral by $J(x, r, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}, t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(x, r, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}, t) &= \int_{K_m} \dots \int \sin^{m+n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{m+n-3} \varphi_2 \dots \sin^{n-1} \varphi_m \times \\
 &\times e^{-\frac{\omega^2 r^2 t T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2 (r^2 + \beta^2)}} e^{i(x, r\delta(\varphi))} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_m,
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$K_m = [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi] \times \dots \times [0, \pi]$$

is m -dimensional cube. By K_0 denote m -dimensional cube

$$K_0 = \underbrace{\left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon \right] \times \dots \times \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon \right]}_{m \text{ times}},$$

and by $O_3 \equiv K_0^* \cup K_1^*$ a finite covering of the cube K_m and write appropriate expansion of the unit

$$1 \equiv \sum_{v=0}^1 \psi_v(\bar{\varphi}),$$

where $\psi_v(\bar{\varphi})$ are finite infinitely differentiable functions with support in K_v^* .

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} J_v(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t) &= \\ &= \int_{K_v^*} \dots \int \sin^{m+n-2} \varphi_1 \dots \sin^{n-1} \varphi_m e^{-\frac{\omega^2 r^2 t T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2 (r^2 + \beta^2)}} \psi_v(\bar{\varphi}) e^{i(x, r\delta(\varphi))} d\bar{\varphi}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The point $\varphi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \dots, \varphi_m = \frac{\pi}{2}$ is a simple saddle point of the function $T(\bar{\varphi})$. Really,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_j} T(\bar{\varphi}) = -2 \sin \varphi_j \cos \varphi_j \sin^2 \varphi_1 \dots \sin^2 \varphi_{j-1} \sin^2 \varphi_{j+1} \sin^2 \varphi_m. \quad (10')$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi_j^2} T(\bar{\varphi}) \Big|_{\bar{\varphi}=\bar{\varphi}_0} = -2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi_\mu \partial \varphi_j} T(\bar{\varphi}) \Big|_{\bar{\varphi}=\bar{\varphi}_0} = 0, \quad \mu \neq j,$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi}_0 = \left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \dots, \frac{\pi}{2} \right].$$

Consequently

$$\det \left\| \frac{\partial^2 T(\bar{\varphi}_0)}{\partial \varphi_\mu \partial \varphi_j} \right\| = (-2)^m \neq 0,$$

i.e. the point $\bar{\varphi}_0$ is non-degenerate saddle point of the function $T(\bar{\varphi})$. Applying the saddle point method ([5], p. 418) to the integral $J_0(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$J_0(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t) = 2^{-n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{m}{2}+n\right)} t^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{i(x, r\delta\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\bar{\varphi}\right))} + O\left(t^{-\frac{m}{2}-1}\right). \quad (11)$$

The domain K_1^* doesn't contain the point $\varphi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ with some neighborhood. Therefore, there exist the constants C_1, c_1 such that for $\bar{\varphi} \in K_1^*$

$$0 < c_1 \leq T(\bar{\varphi}) \leq C_1 < 1. \quad (12)$$

For $G_1(x, t)$ it holds the representation

$$G_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m+n}} \sum_{v=0}^1 \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} B_v(x, \bar{\varphi}, t) \times \\ \times \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{m+1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{m+2} \dots \sin \varphi_{m+n-2} d\bar{\varphi} \equiv G_1^{(0)}(x, t) + G_1^{(1)}(x, t),$$

where

$$B_v(x, \bar{\varphi}, t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{m+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^\mu} J_v(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t) dr, \quad v = 0, 1. \quad (13)$$

Let's consider $B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)$. Changing integration order in (13) we get

$$B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t) = \int_{K_1^*} \dots \int \sin^{m+n-2} \varphi_1 \dots \sin^{n-1} \varphi_m \times \psi_1(\bar{\varphi}) \times \\ \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{m+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^\mu} e^{-\frac{\omega^2 r^2 t T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2(r^2 + \beta^2)}} e^{i(x, r\delta(\varphi))} dr \right\} d\bar{\varphi}. \quad (14)$$

We represent the internal integral in (14) in the form

$$W(x, \varphi, t) = \left\{ \int_0^a + \int_a^\infty \right\} \frac{r^{m+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^\mu} e^{-\frac{\omega^2 r^2 t T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2(r^2 + \beta^2)}} e^{i(x, r\delta(\varphi))} dr \equiv \\ \equiv W^{(I)}(x, \varphi, t) + W^{(II)}(x, \varphi, t), \quad (15)$$

where $a > 0$ is a sufficiently small number. In order to reduce $W^{(I)}(x, \varphi, t)$ to the form wherein the Watson lemma is applicable, we make substitution

$$\frac{\omega^2 r^2 T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2 r^2 + \beta^2} = \tau^2.$$

Hence

$$r = \frac{\beta \tau}{\left(\frac{\omega^2}{\sigma^2} T(\bar{\varphi}) - \tau^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) in expression $W^{(I)}(x, \varphi, t)$ we get

$$W^{(I)}(x, \varphi, t) = \\ = \frac{\omega^2}{\sigma^2} \beta^{m+n-1} T(\bar{\varphi}) \int_0^{\frac{\omega}{\sigma} \frac{a T^{1/2}(\bar{\varphi})}{(a^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}}} \frac{\tau^{m+n-1} e^{i\left(x, \frac{\beta \tau \delta(\varphi)}{\left(\frac{\omega^2}{\sigma^2} T(\bar{\varphi}) - \tau^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)} e^{-\tau^2 t}}{\left(\frac{\omega^2}{\sigma^2} T(\bar{\varphi}) - \tau^2\right)^{\frac{m+n}{2} + 1 - \mu}} d\tau. \quad (17)$$

Taking into account estimation (12) and sufficient smallness of a from (17) we deduce that integrand has no singularities in integration interval. Applying Watson lemma ([5], p. 58) to the integral in (17) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$W^{(I)}(x, \varphi, t) = \frac{1}{2} \beta^{m+n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right) \times$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\omega^2 T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\mu - \frac{m+n}{2}} t^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left(1 + |x| O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right). \quad (18)$$

Estimating by modulus $W^{(II)}(x, \varphi, t)$ and considering that the function $\frac{r^2}{r^2 + \beta^2}$ monotonically increases, and $T(\bar{\varphi}) \geq c_1 > 0$, we get

$$\left| W^{(II)}(x, \varphi, t) \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{\omega^2 a^2 T(\bar{\varphi}) t}{\sigma^2(a^2 + \beta^2)}}. \quad (19)$$

It follows from (15), (18) and (19) that as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$W(x, \varphi, t) = \frac{\beta^{m+n-1}}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\omega^2 T(\bar{\varphi})}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\mu - \frac{m+n}{2}} t^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left(1 + |x| O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right). \quad (20)$$

Now, let's consider $B_0(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)$. Substituting the expression $J_0(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ from (11) in (13) for $v > 0$ we get

$$B_0(x, \bar{\varphi}, t) = 2^{-n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{m}{2} + n\right)} t^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{m+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^\mu} e^{i(x, r\delta\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\bar{\varphi}\right))} dr \left(1 + O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right).$$

Substituting the expression $B_0(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ in $G_1^{(0)}(x, t)$ and estimating by modulus we get

$$\left| G_1^{(0)}(x, t) \right| \leq G(m, n) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (21)$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in R_{m+n}$.

Further, substituting asymptotics $W(x, \varphi, t)$ from (20) in the expression $B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ from (14) we get

$$B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t) = C_1(\beta, \omega, \sigma, m, n) t^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left(1 + x O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right)$$

uniformly with respect to $\bar{\varphi} \in K_{n-1} = \underbrace{[0, \pi] \times \dots \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}$, where

$$C_1(\beta, \omega, \sigma, m, n) = \frac{\beta^{m+n-1}}{2} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\mu - \frac{m+n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right) \times \\ \times \int_{K_1^*} \dots \int \sin^{m+n-2} \varphi_1 \dots \sin^{n-1} \varphi_m T^{\mu - \frac{m+n}{2}}(\bar{\varphi}) d\bar{\varphi}. \quad (22)$$

From (13) and (22) it follows that as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\left| G_1^{(1)}(x, t) \right| \leq C_1(\beta, \omega, \sigma, m, n) t^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \quad (23)$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in R_{m+n}$.

From (13), (21) and (23) it follows that as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\left| G_1(x, t) \right| \leq C(m, n, \beta, \sigma) t^{-\frac{m}{2}} \left(1 + |x| t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in R_{m+n}$.

From the above said one and (5) it follows the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The Green function $G(x, t)$ of problem (1) – (2) is generalized function on the space $D(R_{m+n})$ of singularity order μ , for it it holds the representation*

$$G(x, t) = (1 - \Delta_{m,n})^\mu G_1(x, t),$$

where $G_1(x, t)$ is a continuous function with respect to (x, t) and as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for it estimation (24) holds.

Let's introduce the following space. We denote by $H^\theta(\rho(x), R_{m+n})$ ($\theta \geq 1$) a sub-space of Sobolev-Slobodetskii space $H^\theta(R_{m+n})$ (see [6], p. 131) for whose elements

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_{H^\theta(\rho(x), R_{m+n})} = \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \rho^2(x) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \theta} |D^\alpha \varphi(x)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} < +\infty,$$

where $\rho(x)$ is some measurable function increasing at infinity in a power way.

Theorem 2. *Let $D_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \varphi(x) \in H^{2\mu}(\rho(x), R_{m+n})$. Then for the solution of the Cauchy problem (1) – (2) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ it holds the estimation*

$$\left| D_t^\alpha D_{x_j}^{\beta_j} u(x, t) \right| \leq C(m, n) t^{-\frac{m}{2} - 2\alpha} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| D_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \varphi(\xi) \right\|_{H^{2\mu}(\rho(x), R_{m+n})},$$

where $\rho(x) = (1 + |x|)^{m+n+3}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 2$.

Proof. Estimate $u(x, t)$ from relation (5). Applying Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality to this relation preliminarily multiplying and dividing integrand expression into $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+3}{4}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \frac{|G_1(x - \xi, t)|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+3}{2}}} d\xi \right\}^{1/2} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+3}{2}} |(1 - \Delta)^\mu \varphi(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{1/2} = \\ &= \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \frac{|G_1(x - \xi, t)|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+3}{2}}} d\xi \right\}^{1/2} \|(1 - \Delta)^\mu \varphi(\xi)\|_{L_2(\rho(x), R_{m+n})}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Estimate the first multiplier in (25) denoting it by $I(x, t)$. Using asymptotic estimation (24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, t) &\leq Ct^{-\frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \frac{1 + |x - \xi|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+1}{2}}} d\xi \right\}^{1/2} \leq Ct^{-\frac{m}{2}} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{R_{m+n}} \dots \int \frac{|\xi|^2 d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m+n+3}{2}}} \right\}^{1/2} = C_1 t^{-\frac{m}{2}} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

From (25) and (26) we get

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C_1(m, n) t^{-\frac{m}{2}} \|(1 - \Delta_{m,n})^\mu \varphi(\xi)\|_{L_2(\rho(x), R_{m+n})} (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Asymptotics $D_t u(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is studied in the same way as the asymptotics $u(x, t)$ with a difference that the integrand in the expression $G_1(x, t)$ from (8) is multiplied by $T(\bar{\varphi})$, by differentiating with respect to t , that increases order of zero of this function at the point $\bar{\varphi} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \dots, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ for two units. Taking this into account as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$D_t J_0(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t) = C(m, n) t^{-\frac{m}{2}-2} (1 + O(t^{-1})) \quad (27)$$

uniformly with respect to $x, r, \bar{\varphi}$.

By differentiating $u(x, t)$ with respect to x_j by the convolution differentiation property we throw the derivative over the initial function $\varphi(x)$. Further, using estimation (25) and acting as in the estimation of $u(x, t)$ we get

$$\left| D_t^\alpha D_{x_j}^{\beta_j} u(x, t) \right| \leq C(m, n) t^{-\frac{m}{2}-2\alpha} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| D_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \varphi(\xi) \right\|_{H^{2\mu}(\rho(x), R_{m+n})}. \quad (28)$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark. For $\beta = 0$ the asymptotics $J_0(x, r, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ doesn't change, and the estimation $B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)$ from (14) gives

$$|B_1(x, \bar{\varphi}, t)| \leq C e^{-c_1 t}.$$

Therefore the first addend in the expression $G_1(x, t)$ from (13) makes the basic contribution to the asymptotics of the solution of problem (1) – (2) and consequently, asymptotic estimation (27) for great values of time of the solution of Cauchy problem (1) – (2) doesn't change.

References

- [1]. Barenblatt G.I., Zheltov Yu.P., Kochina I.N. *On principal representation of theory of filtration of liquids in cracked rocks*. Prikladnaya matematika i mekhanika. 1960, Vol. 24, Issue 5, pp. 852-864 (Russian).
- [2]. Huseynov F.B., Iskenderov B.A. *On a mixed problem for Barenblatt-Zheltov-Kochina equation in domain cylindrical by space variables*. Uspeki mat. Nauk, 2006, Vol. 61, Issue 2, pp. 165-166 (Russian).
- [3]. Gelfand I.M., Shilov G.E. *Some problems of the theory of differential equations*. Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1958, 274 p. (Russian).
- [4]. Kostyuchenko A.G., Eskin G.I. *The Cauchy problem for Sobolev-Galperin equations*. Trudy Moskovskogo math. obshestva. 1961, Vol 10. pp. 273-284.
- [5]. Federyuk M.V. *Asymptotics, integrals and series*. Moscow. "Nauka", 1987, 544p.
- [6]. Mikhailov V.P. *Partial differential equations*. Moscow. "Nauka", 1983, 424p.

Bala A. Iskenderov, Jahid Yu. Mamedov

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan.

9, F. Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 510 14 91 (off.).

Received September 4, 2006; Revised December 7, 2006.