

Valeh H. HAJIYEV

ON GENERALIZED n -TH ORDER DERIVATIVE FUNCTIONS SQUARE SUMMABLE ON HILBERT SPACE WITH GAUSS MEASURE

Abstract

The concept of generalization of the n -th order derivative for of square-summable functions on Hilbert space with Gauss measure is considered. The necessary and sufficient condition for existence of generalization of derivative for some class of square summable functions is obtained.

Let X be a Hilbert space with scalar product (x, y) , $x, y \in X$, \mathfrak{S} be \mathfrak{S} σ - algebra of Borel sets from X , μ be Gauss measure on \mathfrak{S} with characteristic functional $\varphi_0(z) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(Bz, z)\}$ where B is a correlation operator. By $L_2(X, \mu)$ we denote a space of functions on X square summable. Fourier transformation of the function $f(x) \in L_2(x, \mu)$ is defined as follows: $\varphi(z) = \int e^{i(z, x)} f(x) \mu(dx)$. By \overline{X} we denote complex extension of X whose elements are the formal sums $x + iy$, $x, y \in X$, with a scalar product defined by the relation:

$$(x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2)_k = [(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)] + i[(y_1, x_2) - (x_1, y_2)]$$

Thus \overline{X} is linear space. The linear space \overline{X} with such a scalar product will be a complex Hilbert space and X will be its subspace ([1]) and $(x, y)_k = (x, y)$, $(x_1 + \lambda y_1, x)_k = (x_1, x) + \lambda(y_1, x)$, $x_1, y_1, x \in X$, λ is a complex number.

Then the function $\varphi(x_1 + \lambda y_1) = \int e^{i(x_1 + \lambda y_1, x)_k} f(x) \mu(dx)$ is an entire analytic function with respect to λ for any fixed $x_1, y_1 \in X$, and for real λ this function we have

$$\varphi(x_1 + \xi y_1) = \int e^{i(x_1 + \xi y_1, x)_k} f(x) \mu(dx) = \int e^{i(x_1, x) + i\xi(y_1, x)_k} f(x) \mu(dx),$$

where ξ is real and integral at the right side is an analytical function with respect to ξ . Consequently, $\varphi_0(z)$ and $\varphi(z)$ are continuable on \overline{X} and for complex λ functions $\varphi_0(x + \lambda y)$, $\varphi(x + \lambda y)$ are entire analytical functions with respect to λ for any fixed $x, y \in X$, and at each point $x \in X$, both functions have Freshet derivatives, $\varphi_0^{(k)}(x; y_1, \dots, y_k)$ and $\varphi^{(k)}(x; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ are k -linear forms.

In the paper we find necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f(x) \in L_2(X, \mu)$ for existence of generalized derivative $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ in any directions $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in BX$.

1. To each polynomial function $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}(x, e_{i_1}) \dots (x, e_{i_k})$ where $n \geq 1$, c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} are numbers, $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in X$, we associate the differential operator

$$P_n \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=0}^n c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_k}).$$

[V.H.Hajiyev]

Let's consider a derivative of $P_n(x)$ in the direction $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in X$ and differential operator $P_{n,a_1,\dots,a_m}^{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)$ corresponding to it.

First we study an action of one addend of the polynomial $P_n(x)$. Denote it in the form ${}^k P(x) = (x, e_1) \dots (x, e_k) \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$.

The differential operator ${}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) \varphi(z) = \varphi^k(z; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k)$ corresponds to it. It is easy to see that ${}^k P_{a_1}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{i_1=1}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i_1}^k (x, e_j)$.

Consequently taking derivatives with respect to a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m we get

$${}^k P_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{\substack{i_{11} - i_m = 1 \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots = i_m}}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) (a_2, e_{i_2}) \dots (a_m, e_{i_m}) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i_1, \dots, i_m}}^k (x, e_j).$$

For $m > k$ ${}^k P_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^{(m)}(x) = 0$.

Then we have

$${}^k P_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) \varphi(z) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^k (a_{1i_1} e_{i_1}) \dots (a_{mi_m} e_{i_m}) \varphi^{(k-m)}(z; e_{i_{m+1}} \dots e_{i_k})$$

which corresponds to the polynomial ${}^k P_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}^{(m)}(x)$.

Summation is taken for all collection of indices

$$(i_1 \dots i_m) \cup (i_{m+1} i_k) = (1, 2, \dots, k), \quad i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_m, \quad i_{m+1} < i_{m+2} < \dots < i_k.$$

Hence

$${}^k P_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}^{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) \varphi(z) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum (a_1 e_{i_1}) \dots (a_m e_{i_m}) \varphi^{(k-m)}(0; e_{i_{m+1}} \dots e_{i_k}). \quad (1)$$

Now let's see the action of the operator on $(a_1, z) (a_2, z) \dots (a_m, z) \varphi(z)$.

First we consider the case $(a_1, z) \varphi(z)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1, z) \varphi(z)] &= \sum_{i=1}^k (a_1, e_i) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_1, \dots, e_{i-1} e_{i+1}, \dots, e_k) + \\ &+ (a_1, z) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let's prove (2) by induction. Denote $\Psi_1(z) = (a_1, z) \varphi(z)$. Relation (2) is true for $k = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1 P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_{11} z) \varphi(z)] &= \Psi_1(1)(z; e_1) = \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_1(z + te_1) \Big|_{t=0} = \\ &= (a_1, e_1) \varphi(z) + (a_1, z) \varphi^{(1)}(z; e_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2 P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1, z) \varphi(z)] &= \Psi_1^2(z; e_1, e_2) = (a_1, e_1) \varphi^{(1)}(z; e_2) + (a_1, e_2) \varphi^{(1)}(z; e_1) + \\ &+ (a, z) \varphi^2(z; e_1, e_2) \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Let relation (2) is true for k , show that it is true for $k+1$ as well.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}^{(k+1)}P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1, z) \varphi(z)] = \\
 & = \Psi_1^{(k+1)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k, e_{k+1}) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\Psi_1^{(k)}(z + te_{k+1}; e_1, \dots, e_k) \right]_{t=0} = \\
 & = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (a_1, e_i) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z + te_{k+1}; e_1 \dots e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_k) + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (a_1, z + te_{k+1}) \varphi^{(k)}(z + te_{k+1}; e_1, \dots, e_k) \right]_{t=0} = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^k (a_1, e_i) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1 e_2 \dots e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) + (a_1, e_{k+1}) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k) + \\
 & + (a_1, z) \varphi^{(k+1)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k, e_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (a_1, e_i) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_{k+1}) + \\
 & \quad + (a, z) \varphi^{(k+1)}(z; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, relation (2) has proved.

Consider $(a_1, z) (a_2, z) \varphi(z)$. Denote $\Psi_2(z) = (a_2, z) \varphi(z)$. Using (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1, z) \Psi_2(z)] &= \sum_{i_1=1}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) \Psi_2^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2}, e_{i_3}, \dots, e_{i_k}) + \\
 & + (a_1 z) \Psi_2^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let ${}^{(k-1)}P(x) = (x, e_1) \dots (x, e_{i-1}) (x, e_{i+1}) \dots (x, e_k)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_2^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2}, e_{i_3} \dots e_{i_k}) &= {}^{(k-1)}P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_2, z) \varphi(z)] = \\
 \sum_{i_2=1}^{k-1} (a_2, e_{i_2}) \varphi^{(k-2)}(z; e_{i_3} \dots e_{i_k}) &+ (a_2 z) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2} e_{i_3} \dots e_{i_k}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_2^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k) &= {}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_2, z) \varphi(z)] = \\
 = \sum_{i_1=1}^k (a_2, e_{i_1}) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_k}) &+ (a_2, z) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Substituting (4) and (5) into (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1 z) (a_2 z) \varphi(z)] = {}^k P\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right) [(a_1 z) \Psi_2(z)] = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[(a_1 e_{i_1}) \sum_{i_2=1}^{k-1} (a_2, e_{i_2}) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_3} \dots e_{i_n}) + (a_2, z) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k}) \right] + \\
 & + (a_1 z) \left[\sum (a_2, e_{i_1}) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k}) + (a_2, z) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1 e_2 \dots e_k) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

[V.H.Hajiyev]

Opening parenthesis, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k P \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) [(a_1, z) (a_2 z) \varphi(z)] &= \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2=1 \\ i_1 \neq i_2, i_3 < i_4 < i_k}}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) (a_2, e_{i_2}) \varphi^{(k-2)}(z; e_{i_3}, \dots, e_{i_k}) + \\ + \sum_{i_1=1}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) (a_2, z) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_k}) &+ \sum_{i_1=1}^k (a_2, e_i) (a_1, z) \varphi^{(k-1)}(z; e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_k}) + \\ &+ (a_1 z) (a_2, z) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1 e_2 \dots e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Using induction we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k P \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) [(a_1, z) (a_2, z) \dots (a_m, z) \varphi(z)] &= \\ = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^k (a_1 e_{i_1}) (a_2 e_{i_2}) \dots (a_m, e_{i_m}) \varphi^{(k-m)}(z; e_{i_{m+1}} \dots e_{i_k}) &+ \\ + \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{i_1 \dots i_m=1}^k (a_1 e_{i_1}) (a_2 e_{i_2}) \dots (a_{s-1}, e_{i_{s-1}}) (a_{s+1}, e_{i_{s+1}}) \dots (a_m, e_{i_m}) (a_s, z) X & \\ X \varphi^{(k-(m-1))}(z; e_{i_{n+1}} \dots e_{i_n}) + & \\ + \sum_{\substack{s_1, s_2=1 \\ s_1 < s_2}}^m \sum_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}} \prod_{j \neq s_1, s_2} (a_j, e_{i_j}) (a_{s_1}, z) (a_{s_2}, z) \varphi^{(k-(m-2))}(z; e_{i_{m-2}} \dots e_{i_n}) &+ \\ + \sum_{\substack{s_1, s_2, s_3=1 \\ s_1 < s_2 < s_3}}^m \sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{m-3}} \prod (a_j, e_{i_j}) (a_{s_1}, z) (a_{s_2}, z) (a_{s_3}, z) \varphi^{(k-(m-3))}(z, e_{i_{m-3}}, \dots, e_{i_k}) &+ \\ + \dots + (a_1 z) (a_2 z) \dots (a_m z) \varphi^{(k)}(z; e_1, e_2 \dots e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k P \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) [(a_1, z) (a_2, z) \dots (a_m, z) \varphi(z)]_{z=0} &= \\ = \sum_{\substack{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m=1 \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_{m-1}, i_m < \dots i_k}}^k (a_1, e_{i_1}) (a_2, e_{i_2}) \dots (a_m, e_{i_m}) \varphi^{(k-m)}(0; e_{i_{m+1}} \dots e_{i_k}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We have from (1) and (6)

$${}^k P \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) [(a_1, z) \dots (a_m, z) \varphi(z)]_{z=0} = {}^k P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_m}^{(m)} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) \varphi(z) |_{z=0}$$

Since these relations are true for all addends of the polynomial $P_n(x)$, we can say that the following lemma is true.

Lemma 1. *The following equality holds:*

$$P_n \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) [(a_1 z) (a_2, z) \dots (a_m, z) \varphi(z)]_{z=0} = P_{n, a_1 \dots a_m}^{(m)} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) \varphi(z) |_{z=0}$$

2. Similarly (3) we give the following definition.

Definition *Let for a sequence $\{f_n(k)\}$ there exist $f_n^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2 \dots a_m)$ and $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x), n \rightarrow \infty$, in $L_2(X, \mu)$, and a $\{f_n^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2 \dots a_m)\}$ converges in $L_2(X, \mu)$ to some function $\rho(x; a_1 a_2 \dots a_m) \in L_2(X, \mu)$.*

Then we'll say that $f(x)$ has a generalized derivative $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ and by definition we assume $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = \rho(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$.

Having $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ we sequentially apply formula of integration by parts:

$$\int f^1(x; a) g(x) \mu(dx) = \int f(x) [-g'(x; a) + g(x) (B^{-1}a, x)] \mu(dx)$$

and easily get the following equality:

$$\int f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) g(x) \varpi(dx) = \int f(x) G(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \mu(dx) \quad (7)$$

where

$$G(x, a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum g^{(n_1)}(x; a_i, \dots, a_{i_{n_1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1}a_{k_{j-i}} a_{k_{j_u}}) \prod_{s=1}^{n_3} (B^{-1}a_{e_3} x)$$

and summation is taken in all indices

$$(i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n_1}) \cup ((k_1 k_2), (k_3 k_4) \dots (k_{n_2-1}, k_{n_2})) \cup (l_1 l_2 \dots l_{n_3}) = (1, 2, 3, \dots, n),$$

$$n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0, \quad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = m.$$

Taking this relation as a basis we can formulate the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $f(x) \in L_2(X, \mu)$ and there exist such $\rho(x, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in L_2(x, \mu)$ depending on $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in BX$, that the equality

$$\int \rho(x_1 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) g(x) \mu(dx) = \int f(x) G(x, a_1, \dots, a_m) \mu(dx) \quad (8)$$

is fulfilled for any $g(x) \in L_2(x, \mu)$, for which $g^m(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ exist the functions $g(x)$ are a complete system of functions in $L_2(x, \mu)$. Then $f(x)$ has a generalized derivative $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = \rho(x_1 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$.

The proof is a similar the proof of lemma from [3].

Generalized derivative, defined in lemma 2 is unique. Let $f_n(k)$ an arbitrary sequence such that $f_n(x)$ and $f_n^m(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ converge in $L^2(x, \mu)$ to $f(x)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$. Then the equality (7) for $f_n(x)$ has the following form

$$\int f_n^m(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) g(x) \mu(dx) = \int f_n(x) G(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \mu(dx).$$

Passing to limit ($asn \rightarrow \infty$) we get

$$\int \tilde{\rho}(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) g(x) \mu(dx) = \int f(x) G(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \mu(dx)$$

which is true for any $g(x)$ satisfying to condition of lemma 2. Right side of last equality coincides with right side of (8). Hence $\tilde{\rho}(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = \rho(x, a_1, \dots, a_m)$ almost everywhere.

Theorem. $f(x) \in L_2(x, \mu)$ has a generalized derivative $f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ in the directions $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in BX$ iff

$$|l_{\varphi, B, a_1, \dots, a_m}(P_n)|^2 \leq C_{a_1, \dots, a_m} \int P_n^2(x) \mu(dx),$$

[V.H.Hajiyev]

where

$$l_{\varphi, B, a, \dots, a_m}(P_n) = \left[\sum_{i, i_2, \dots, i_{n_1}}^{n_1} (-i)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1} a_{k_{j-i}} a_{k_j}) P_{n, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{n_1}}} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{s=1}^{n_3} \left(\frac{d}{dz}; B^{-1} a_{m_s} \right) \right] \varphi(z) |_{z=0}$$

(and summation is taken in all collection of indices

$$(i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n_1}) \cup ((m_1 m_2 - m_{n_3}) \cup (k_{j-1} k_1) \dots (k_{n_2-1}, k_{n_2})) = (1, 2, \dots, m), \\ n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0, \quad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = m$$

$l_{\varphi, B, a, \dots, a_m}(P_n)$ is a linear functional determined on all polynomials.

Proof. Necessity. We sequentially apply the formula of integration by parts

$$\int e^{i(z,x)} f^{(1)}(x; a) \mu(dx) = \int f(x) [-i(a, z) + (B^{-1}a, x)] e^{i(z,x)} \mu(dx)$$

and get

$$\int e^{i(zx)} f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \mu(dx) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n_1}} (-i)^{n_1} \prod_{s=1}^{n_1} (a_{i_s}, z) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1} a_{k_{j-1}}, a_{k_j}) \times \\ \times \int f(x) e^{i(z,x)} \prod_{\rho=1}^{n_3} (B^{-1} a_{m_\rho}, x) \mu(dx) = \\ = (-i)^m \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1} a_{k_{j-1}} a_{k_j}) \prod_{s=1}^{n_1} (a_{i_s}, z) \varphi^{(n_3)}(z; B^{-1} a_{m_1}, \dots, B^{-1} a_{m_{n_3}}). \quad (9)$$

Applying the operator $P_n \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \right)$ to the both sides of equality (9), using lemma 1 and get:

$$\int e^{i(z,x)} f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) P_n(x) \mu(dx) |_{z=0} = \\ = \left[\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n_1}} (-i)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1} a_{k_{j-1}}, a_{k_j}) P_{n_1 a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{n_1}}}^{(n_i)} \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \right) \prod_{s=1}^{n_3} \left(\frac{d}{dz}; B^{-1} a_{m_s} \right) \right] \varphi(z)_{z=0}.$$

Hence

$$l_{\varphi, B, a_1, \dots, a_m}(P_n) = \int f^{(m)}(x; a_1 a_2 \dots a_m) P_n(x) \mu(dx).$$

Hence

$$|l_{\varphi, B, a_1, \dots, a_m}(P_n)|^2 \leq \int |f^{(m)}(x; a_1 \dots a_m)|^2 \mu(dx) \cdot \int P_n^2(x) \mu(dx).$$

Denote $C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_m} = \int |f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)|^2 \mu(dx)$, then we have

$$|l_{\varphi, B, a_1, \dots, a_m}(P_n)|^2 \leq C_{a_1 \dots a_m} \cdot \int P_n^2(x) \mu(dx).$$

Sufficiency. Since the polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$, are complete system in $L_2(X, \mu)$, the linear functional $l_{\varphi, B, a_1 \dots a_m}(P_n)$ is bounded on polynomials and can be continued to all $L_2(X, \mu)$ then according to the representation theorem there exists the function $\rho(x, a_1, a_2 \dots a_m)$ such that

$$l_{\varphi, B, a_1 \dots a_m}(P_n) = \int \rho(x, a_1 \dots a_m) P_n(x) \mu(dx). \quad (10)$$

Let's consider the function,

$$\psi(z; a_1 a_2 \dots a_m) = \int e^{i(z, x)} \rho(x; a_1, a_2 \dots a_m) \mu(dx).$$

Then

$$P_n \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \right) \psi(z; a_1, a_2, \dots a_m) |_{z=0} = \int \rho(x; a_1, \dots a_m) P_n(x) \mu(dx). \quad (11)$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$l_{\varphi, B, a_1 \dots a_m}(P_n) = P_n \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \right) \psi(z; a_1 \dots a_m) |_{z=0}$$

Then by the theorem on uniqueness of on entire analytical function and from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \rho(x, a_1, a_2 \dots a_m) e^{i(z, x)} \mu(dx) = \\ & = \int f(x) \sum (-i)^{n_1} \prod_{s=1}^{n_1} (a_{i_s}, z) e^{i(z, x)} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B^{-1} a_{k_{j-1}}, a_{k_j}) \prod_{\rho=1}^{n_3} (B^{-1} a_{m_\rho}, x) \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $g_z(x) = e^{i(z, x)}$ we can rewrite last equality in following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \rho(x, a_1, a_2 \dots a_m) g_z(x) \mu(dx) = \\ & = \int f(x) \left[\sum_{i_1 \dots i_{n_1}} g_z(x; a_{i_1}, \dots a_{i_{n_1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} (B a_{k_{j-1}}, a_{k_j}) \prod_{\rho=1}^{n_3} (B^{-1} a_{m_\rho}, x) \right] \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

This equality is true for all linear combinations $g(x) = \sum_k C_k g_{z_k}(x)$ and their uniform bounded limits. This family of functions is dense in $L_2(X, \mu)$ [2]. Therefore, by lemma 2 $f(x)$ has a generalized derivative and

$$f^{(m)}(x; a_1, a_2 \dots a_m) = \rho(x, a_1 a_2 \dots a_m).$$

References

- [1]. Shilov G.Z. Mathematical analysis. Special course. Moscow, 1961. (Russian)
- [2]. Skorokhod A.V. Integration in Hilbert space "Nauka", 1975. (Russian)
- [3]. Hajiyev V.G. *On Fourier transformation of some classes of functions of infinite number of variables*. Coll: Random processes in mathematical physics problems. Kiev. Publ.house of Institute of Mathematics of AN Ukr SSR. 1979, p.57-72.

[*V.H.Hajiyev*]

Valeh H. Hajiyev

Baku State University.

23, Z.I.Khalilov str., AZ1148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Received September 07, 2006; Revised November 29, 2006;