

Matlab A. MAMMADOV

## INVARIANT DETERMINATION OF $\Phi$ -OPERATORS

### Abstract

*In the present paper the invariant form of  $\Phi$ - operators is applied to the covariant tensor field of  $(o, p)$  type is determined, where  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$ . The condition of the tensor defining the  $\tilde{\Phi}^S$ -operator is found. It is obvious that the local form of this operator coincides with the local form of the first Yano Ako operator under some conditions. Further the invariant form of  $\Phi^S$ -operator applied to the  $(0, p)$  type covariant tensor field of the generalized first kind of Yano Ako operaat is determined. It becomes evident that the condition needed for this operator to define the tensor of type  $(0, p + q)$  coincides with the purity condition of covariance tensor.*

The invariant form of  $\Phi$ –operators is determined for some types of tensor fields in Yano-Ako paper [1]. In this paper the operators  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  that are applied to tensor fields of type  $(0, p)$  are associated with the fixed structures  $\varphi \in T_1^1(M_n)$  and  $S \in T_2^1(M_n)$ . It should be noted that the  $\Phi^\varphi$ -operator is applied only to pure tensor fields  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$ . Unlike the operator  $\Phi^\varphi$  the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}^S$  is applied to tensor fields  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$  under the conditions which are no longer the purity conditions of the tensor field  $\omega$  relative to the structure  $S$ . In case of  $\omega \in T_p^1(M_n)$  the  $\tilde{\Phi}^S$  operator is determined and either the conditions of purity  $\omega$  relative to  $S$ -structure, i.e., in this case we can apply the notation  $\Phi^S$ .

In the represented paper the Yano-Ako results develop in two directions:

- 1) in case of  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$  it was obtained the invariant form of  $\Phi^S$  operator whose local form coincides with A.A.Salimov operator [2];
- 2) for  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$  the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is invariantly determined.

Note that as a result of action of two operators  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  we obtain the tensor.

1. Operator  $\tilde{\Phi}^S \omega$  where  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$ ,  $\omega \in T_q^0(M_n)$ . Let  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$ . Consider the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{S(x_1, \dots, x_q)} \omega)(z_1, \dots, z_p) - \sum_{i=1}^q (L_{x_i}(\omega \circ S))(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) + \\ & + \sum_{i=k+1}^q \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z_1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}, [x_i, x_k], x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) = \\ & = S(x_1, \dots, x_q)(\omega(z_1, \dots, z_p)) - \sum_{i=1}^p \omega(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, [S(x_1, \dots, x_q), z_i], z_{i+1}, \dots, z_p) - \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^q x_i (\omega(S(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p)) + \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^q (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, [x_i, z_1], x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) + \end{aligned}$$

[M.A.Mamedov]

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{k=1}^q (\omega \circ S) (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z_1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_{i-1}, [x_k, z_i], z_{i+1}, \dots, z_p) + \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^q \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\omega \circ S) (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, [x_k, x_i], x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, z_1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_q, z_1, \dots, z_p \in T_0^1(M_n)$ .

In case of  $q = 2, p = 1$  expression (1) will have the following form [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L_{S(x,y)}\omega)(z) - (L_x(\omega \circ S))(z, y) - (L_y(\omega \circ S))(x, z) + (\omega \circ S)([x, y], z) = \\
& = (S(x, y))(\omega(z)) - x(\omega(S(z, y))) - \\
& - y(\omega(S(x, z))) - \omega(S([x, y], z)) - \omega(\tilde{\Phi}(x, y)z), \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x, y, z \in T_0^1(M_n)$ ,  $(\omega \circ S)(x, y) = \omega(S(x, y))$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}(x, y)z = -(L_z S)(x, y)$ .

Expression (2) determines the tensor of type  $(0, 3)$ .

Note, that the local form of operator (2) has the following form [1]

$$S_{kj}^a \partial_a \omega_{i_p \dots i_1} - \partial_k (S_{ij}^a \omega_a) - \partial_j (S_{ki}^a \omega_a) + \partial_i (S_{kj}^a \omega_a).$$

We can see expression (1) will be linear by  $z_1, \dots, z_p$ . For checking the linearity by  $x_1, \dots, x_q$  we check the linearity of expression (1) in such parts where the linearity by  $x_1, \dots, x_q$  is broken:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\omega(z_1, \dots, [S(f_1 x_1, \dots, f_q x_q), z_i], \dots, z_p) + \\
& + (\omega \circ S)(z_1, f_2 x_2, \dots, f_q x_q, z_2, \dots, [f_1 x_1, z_i], \dots, z_p) + \dots + \\
& + \dots + (\omega \circ S)(f_1 x_1, \dots, f_{q-1} x_{q-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, [f_q x_q, z_i], \dots, z_p) = \\
& = f_1 f_2 \dots f_q \{-\omega(z_1, \dots, [S(x_1, \dots, x_q), z_i], \dots, z_p)\} + \\
& + (\omega \circ S)(z_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, [x_1, z_i], \dots, z_p) + \dots + \\
& + (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, [x_q, z_i], \dots, z_p) + \\
& + z_i (f_1 \dots f_q) \omega(z_1, \dots, S(x_1, \dots, x_q), \dots, z_p) - \\
& - f_2 \dots f_q (z_i f_1) (\omega \circ S)(z_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, x_1, \dots, z_p) - \dots - \\
& - \dots - f_1 \dots f_{q-1} (z_i f_q) (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, x_q, \dots, z_p),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q \in T_0^0(M_n)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, p}$ .

So, it holds

**Theorem 1.** Let  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$ . If

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z_1, \dots, \underset{\text{the } i\text{-th place}}{S(x_1, \dots, x_q)}, \dots, z_p) = \omega(S(z_1, x_2, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, \underset{\text{the } i\text{-th place}}{x_1}, \dots, z_p) = \\
& = \dots = \omega(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{q-1}, z_1), z_2, \dots, x_q, \dots, z_p) \\
& \quad \text{the } i\text{-th place, } (1 \leq i \leq p), \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

then the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}^S \omega$  determines the tensor of type  $(0, p + q)$ .

**Remark 1.** The local form of the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}^S \omega$  has the form [2]

$$S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^h \partial_h \omega_{j_1 \dots j_p} - \partial_{i_1} \left( \omega_{mj_2 \dots j_p} S_{j_1 i_2 \dots i_q}^m \right) - \dots - \partial_{i_q} \left( \omega_{mj_2 \dots j_p} S_{i_1 \dots i_{q-1} j_1}^m \right) + \\ + \omega_{mj_2 \dots j_p} \partial_{j_1} S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^m + \dots + \omega_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} m} \partial_{j_p} S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^m,$$

where the tensor field  $\omega$  satisfies the conditions

$$\omega_{j_1 \dots m \dots j_p} S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^m = \omega_{mj_2 \dots i_1 \dots j_p} S_{j_1 i_2 \dots i_q}^m = \\ = \dots = \omega_{mj_2 \dots i_q \dots j_p} S_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{q-1} j_1}^m, \quad (1 \leq i \leq p). \quad (4)$$

Condition (4) is a local form of condition (3).

2. Operator  $\Phi^S \omega$  where  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$ ,  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$ . Let  $S \in T_q^1(M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0(M_n)$ . Consider the following expression:

$$(L_{S(x_1, \dots, x_q)} \omega)(z_1, \dots, z_p) - \sum_{i=1}^q (L_{x_i}(\omega \circ S))(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) + \\ + \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{k=2}^p \omega(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, S(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, L_{x_e} z_k, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_q), z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) - \\ - \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{k=2}^p \omega(S(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_{k-1}, L_{x_e} z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) + \\ + \sum_{e=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=e+1}^p (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, [x_k, x_e], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) = \\ = S(x_1, \dots, x_q)(\omega(z_1, \dots, z_p)) - \sum_{i=1}^q x_i(\omega(S(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p)) - \\ - \sum_{k=1}^p \omega(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \Phi^S(x_1, \dots, x_q) z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) + \\ + \sum_{e=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=e+1}^p (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, [x_e, x_k], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p), \quad (5)$$

where

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p \in T_0^1(M_n), \quad (\omega \circ S)(z_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) = \\ = \omega(S(z_1, x_2, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p)$$

and

$$\Phi^S(x_1, \dots, x_q) z_k \stackrel{def}{=} [S(x_1, \dots, x_q), z_k] - \\ - S([x_1, z_k], x_k, \dots, x_q) - \dots - S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{q-1}, [x_q, z_k]), \quad k = \overline{1, p}.$$

Assume that the  $\Phi$ -operator is associated with affine structure  $\varphi$ . Application of the operator  $\Phi$  to the field  $\omega \in T_1^0(M_n)$  or in  $p = 1, q = 1$  has the following form [1]

$$(L_{\varphi x}\omega - L_x(\omega \circ \varphi))(y) = (\varphi x)(\omega(y)) - x(\omega(\varphi y)) - \omega(\Phi(x)y). \quad (6)$$

In case  $\omega \in T_2^0(M_n)$  the operator  $\Phi^\varphi\omega$  has the form [1]

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{\varphi x}\omega - L_x(\omega \circ \varphi))(y, z) + \omega(y, \varphi L_x z) - \omega(\varphi y, L_x z) = \\ & = (\varphi x)(\omega(y, z)) - x(\omega(\varphi y, z)) - \omega(\Phi(x)y, z) - \omega(y, \Phi(x)z). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Expression (7) determines the tensor of type (0, 3) if the purity condition

$$\omega(\varphi y, z) = \omega(y, \varphi z). \quad (8)$$

is fulfilled.

The local expressions (6) and (7) will be

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_j^a \partial_a \omega_i - \varphi_j^a \omega_a - \omega_a (\partial_j \varphi_i^a - \partial_i \varphi_j^a), \\ & \varphi_k^a \partial_a \omega_{ji} - \partial_{[k} (\omega_{|a|i} \varphi_{j]}^a) + \omega_{ja} \partial_i \varphi_k^a + \omega_{ai} \partial_j \varphi_k^a, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, and the local expressions (8) are the followings:

$$\omega_{ja} \varphi_i^a = \omega_{ai} \varphi_j^a.$$

It is evident that (5) will be linear by  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ . For checking the linearity of  $z_1, \dots, z_p$  we'll check the linearity of expression (5) in that parts where the linearity by  $z_1, \dots, z_p$  is broken:

$$\begin{aligned} & S(x_1, \dots, x_q)(\omega(h_1 z_1, \dots, h_p z_p)) - \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^q x_i (\omega(S(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, h_1 z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), h_2 z_2, \dots, h_p z_p)) - \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^p \omega(h_1 z_1, \dots, h_{k-1} z_{k-1}, \Phi^S(x_1, \dots, x_q) h_k z_k, h_{k+1} z_{k+1}, \dots, h_p z_p) + \\ & \left. \sum_{e=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=e+1}^p (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, h_1 z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, [x_e, x_k], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, h_2 z_2, \dots, h_p z_p) = \right. \\ & = h_1 \dots h_p \{ S(x_1, \dots, x_q)(\omega(z_1, \dots, z_p)) - \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^q x_i (\omega(S(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p)) - \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^p \omega(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \Phi^S(x_1, \dots, x_q) z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) + \\ & \left. + \sum_{e=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=e+1}^p (\omega \circ S)(x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, [x_e, x_k], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p) \right\} - \end{aligned}$$

$$-\sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^q h_1 \dots (x_i h_k) \dots h_p \{ \omega (S (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p) - \\ - \omega (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, S (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_k, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) \},$$

where  $h_1, \dots, h_p \in T_0^0 (M_n)$ .

And so it holds.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $S \in T_q^1 (M_n)$  and  $\omega \in T_p^0 (M_n)$ . If

$$\omega (S (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p) = \\ = \omega (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, S (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_k, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p), \quad i = \overline{1, q}, \quad k = \overline{2, p},$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_q, z_1, \dots, z_p \in T_0^1 (M_n)$  then the operator  $\Phi^S \omega$  determines the tensor of type  $(0, p + q)$ .

Introduce the following notation:

$$\Phi^S \omega (x_1, \dots, x_q, z_1, \dots, z_p) \stackrel{def}{=} S (x_1, \dots, x_q) (\omega (z_1, \dots, z_p)) - \\ - \sum_{i=1}^q x_i (\omega (S (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_q), z_2, \dots, z_p)) - \\ - \sum_{k=1}^p \omega (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \Phi^S (x_1, \dots, x_q) z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_p) + \\ + \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{k=e+1}^p (\omega \circ S) (x_1, \dots, x_{e-1}, z_1, x_{e+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, [x_e, x_k], x_{k+1}, x_q, z_2, \dots, z_p).$$

**Remark 2.** The local form of the operator  $\Phi^S \omega$  has the form [1]

$$\Phi^S (\omega)_{i_1 \dots i_q j_1 \dots j_p} \stackrel{def}{=} S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^h \partial_h \omega_{j_1 \dots j_p} - \\ - \sum_{a=1}^q \partial_{i_a} \left( S_{i_1 \dots i_{a-1} j_1 i_{a+1} \dots i_q}^m \omega_{m j_2 \dots j_p} \right) + \sum_{b=1}^p \omega_{j_1 \dots m \dots j_p} \partial_{j_b} S_{i_1 \dots i_q}^m,$$

where the tensor field  $\omega$  satisfies the conditions

$$\omega_{m j_2 \dots j_p} S_{i_1 \dots i_{e-1} j_1 i_{e+1} \dots i_q}^m = \omega_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1} m j_{k+1} \dots j_p} S_{i_1 \dots i_{e-1} j_k i_{e+1} \dots i_q}^m, \quad i = \overline{1, q}, \quad k = \overline{2, p}.$$

This is the purity condition of the tensor  $\omega \in T_p^0 (M_n)$ .

**References**

[1]. Yano K., Ako M. *On certain operators associated with tensor fields*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 1968, v.20, No3, pp.414-436.

[2]. Salimov A.A. *The generalized Yano-Ako operator and the complete lift of tensor fields*. Tensor N S, 1994, v.55, No2, pp.142-146.

**Matlab A. Mammadov**

Baku State University.

23, Z.Khalilov str., AZ1148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 950 519 (off.)

E-mail: metleb\_mamedov@rambler.ru Received ; Revised .

Received February 10, 2004; Revised June 04, 2004.

Translated by Mamedova Sh.N.