

Fada G. RAGIMOV

**THE LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR ONE CLASS
OF FIRST PASSAGE MOMENTS IN
MULTIDIMENSIONAL RANDOM WALK**

Abstract

In the work the local limit theorem for the first passage moment in the multidimensional random walk is proved.

Introductions. Let $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random vectors in $R^k, k \geq 1$, with mean $\nu = E\xi_1$ and matrix of covariation B and let $\Delta(x), x \in R^k$ be some numerical Borel function.

Let's suppose at $n \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k, T_n = n\Delta(S_n/n),$$

$$\tau = \tau_c = \inf\{n \geq 1 : T_n > c\} \text{ and } \chi_x = T_\tau - c.$$

The moments of stopping, often arising in the problems of sequential analysis, are obtained from τ_c at corresponding choice of function $\Delta(x)$.

In one-dimensional case (i.e. case $k = 1$) a large quantity of works (see [1], [5]) are dedicated to the study of asymptotic properties of the Markov's moment τ_c as $c \rightarrow \infty$. Multidimensional case is studied substantially less. The works [5], [6] have the series of the common results in this direction.

At an estimation of probability of the events of type $\{\tau_c \in (a, b)\}$ local limiting theorems (L.L.T) as which it is understood any statements, that under some conditions there exists a function $P(n, c)$, for which the following correlation is fulfilled, are used

$$P(\tau_c = n) = P(n, c)(1 + o(1))$$

as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

In case one-dimensional random variables LLT for τ_c were studied in the works [1] and [2] at the different suppositions with respect to distribution of the random variable ξ_1 and function $\Delta(x)$.

Our purpose is to study LLT for τ_c in multidimensional case ($k > 1$).

Conditions and notion. Let $a = (a_1 \dots a_k) \in R^k$ and $b = (b_1 \dots b_k) \in R^k$. We'll denote $a \leq b$ if $a_i \leq b_i$ for each $i = \overline{1, k}$.

Let's denote by L a class of functions $\Delta(x), x \in R^k$ for which the following conditions are fulfilled: $\Delta(x)$ has continuous partial derivatives $\Delta_{x_i}(x), i = \overline{1, k}$ at some neighborhood of point $x = \nu$, at that $\Delta(\nu) > 0$ and at least for one $i = \overline{1, k} \Delta_{x_i}(\nu) \neq 0$.

Let's suppose

$$D = D(d, y) = \bigcup_m \{x \in R^k : (d, x - y) = m\},$$

where (x, y) here and further means ordinary scalar product of the vectors $x, y \in R^k$.

If there exists $y \in R^k$ and $d \in R^k$ such that $P(\xi_1 \in D) = 1$, then it means, that random vector ξ_1 has a lattice distribution with parameters $d \in R^k$. Otherwise, the distribution is called nonlattice.

Let's denote

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{EZ_{\tau_+}} \int_0^x P(Z_{\tau_+} > y) dy,$$

where

$$Z_n = n\Delta(\nu) + (\lambda, S_n - n\nu),$$

$$\lambda = (\Delta_{x_1}(\nu), \dots, \Delta_{x_k}(\nu)),$$

and

$$\tau_+ = \inf\{n \geq 1 : Z_n > 0\}.$$

Let's denote also by $\varphi_\gamma(x)$ density of the normal distribution with parameters $(0, \gamma)$, where

$$\gamma = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \text{cov}(\eta_i, \eta_j) \Delta'_{x_i}(\nu) \Delta'_{x_j}(\nu)$$

and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k)$ has k -dimensional normal distribution with covariation matrix B and $E\eta = 0$.

Formulation and proof of the basic result.

Theorem. Let $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed nonlattice random vectors with a vector of the mathematical expectations $\nu = E\xi_1$ and matrix of covariation B and let $\Delta(x) \in L$.

If

$$n = n(c) = \frac{c}{\Delta(\nu)} + \theta(c) \sqrt{\frac{c}{\Delta(\nu)}},$$

at that $\theta(c) \rightarrow \theta \in R$ at $c \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) \sim \frac{\Delta(\nu)}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)) H(x), \quad c \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly by $x, 0 < \delta \leq x \leq M < \infty$ and θ from the bounded set in R .

Corollary 1. In conditions of the theorem it holds

$$P(\tau_c = n) \sim \frac{\Delta(\nu)}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)), \quad c \rightarrow \infty.$$

Corollary 2. At fulfilling the conditions of the theorem it holds

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} P(\chi_c \leq x | \tau_c = n) = H(x), \quad x > 0.$$

These statements for one-dimensional case have been proved in [1] and generalized in [2].

For proof of the theorem we need the following statements, stated in Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $\eta_n, n \geq 1$ be the independent distributed random variables with $\sigma^2 = D\eta_1 < \infty$ and $a = E\eta_1$. In order that the correlation was fulfilled

$$P\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \in x + B\right) = \frac{\mu(B)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \varphi\left(\frac{x - na}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right) + o(1/\sqrt{n})$$

for any bounded convex Borel set B in R and uniformly by $x \in R$, it is necessary and sufficient, that the distribution of the random variable η_1 was nonlattice. Here μ is Lebesgue measure in R and $\varphi(x)$ is a density of the standard normal distribution.

Concerning this result see [7].

Lemma 2. Let random vector ξ_1 , have nonlattice distribution and $\Delta(x) \in L$. Then

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} P(\chi_c \leq x) = H(x), \quad x > 0.$$

The statement of this lemma is a particular case of theorem 4.1 from the work [1].

Lemma 3. It holds

$$H'(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta(\nu)} P(Z_i > x, i \geq 1), \quad x > 0.$$

This lemma follows from theorem 2.7 of the work [1].

Proof of the theorem. Let's divide an interval $(0, x]$ into m equal parts and suppose.

$$l_j = \left(\frac{j-1}{m}x, \frac{j}{m}x\right], \quad j = \overline{1, m}.$$

By the formula of total probability we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n P(\tau_c = n, T_n \in c + l_j) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n P(\tau_c = n/T_n \in c + l_j) P(T_n \in c + l_j). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We'll estimate conditional probability

$$Q_{n,j}(c) = P(\tau_c = n/T_n \in c + l_j).$$

It is easy to see, that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,j}(c) &= P(\tau_c \geq n/T_n \in c + l_j) = P(T_i \leq c, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j) = \\ &= P(T_n - T_i \geq T_n - c, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j) = \\ &= P(T_n \leq T_{n-i} \geq T_n - c, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$P\left(T_n - T_{n-i} \geq \frac{j}{m}x, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j\right) \leq Q_{n,j}(c) \leq$$

$$\leq P \left(T_n - T_{n-i} \geq \frac{j-1}{m} x, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j \right).$$

Let's denote

$$\Pi_{n,j}(x, c) = P \left(T_n - T_{n-1} \geq \frac{j}{m} x, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j \right).$$

From (1) we obtain, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \Pi_{n,j}(x, c) P(T_n \in c + l_j) &\leq P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \Pi_{n,j-1}(x, c) P(T_n \in c + l_j). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Further we'll need the following lemmas:

Lemma 4. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists entire q_1 , such that for sufficiently large c and for all r and x from the bounded set we have

$$\max_{j \leq m} P(J_{ni} \leq r, \exists i \in [q_1, n] / T_n \in c + l_j) < \varepsilon, \quad J_{ni} = T_n - T_{n-1}. \quad (3)$$

Estimation (3) for the case $k = 1$ has been proved in the work [2]. In general case it is proved with the help of analogous discussions, conducted in the work [2].

Lemma 5. Joint conditional distribution of the random variables

$$J_{n1} = T_n - T_{n-1}, \quad J_{n2} = T_n - T_{n-2}, \dots, J_{np} = T_n - T_{n-p}$$

at condition $\frac{1}{n} S_n = y$ ($y \in R^k$ is fixed) is weakly convergent to the unconditional distribution of the random variables Z_1, Z_1, \dots, Z_p and it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(J_{ni} \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq p / T_n \in c + l_j) = P(Z_i \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq p). \quad (4)$$

At $k = 1$ lemma 5 is proved with the help of techniques from [4].

In multidimensional case it is proved with the help of reasons from the works [2] and [4], at that lemma 7 from the work [1] is used.

Let's continue the proof of the theorem.

Since $EZ_1 = \Delta(\nu) > 0$, then from the intensive law of large numbers implies, that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists sufficiently large integer q_2 such that

$$P(Z_j \leq x, \exists i > q_2) < \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Supposing $q = \max(q_1, q_2)$ instead of q_1 in (3) and instead of q_2 in (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(J_{ni} \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq q / T_n \in c + l_j) - \varepsilon &\leq P(J_{ni} \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq n/T_n \in c + l_j) \leq \\ &\leq P(J_{ni} \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq q / T_n \in c + l_j) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$P(J_i \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq q) - \varepsilon \leq P(Z_i \geq x, 1 \leq i \leq q). \quad (7)$$

From (4)-(6) and (7) at $p = q$ we obtain, that

$$P(Z_i \geq x, 1) - 2\varepsilon \leq P(J_{ni} \geq x, 1 \leq i < n/T_n \in c + l_j) \leq P(Z_i \geq x, i \geq 1) + 2\varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

Taking into account (8), from (4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(P\left(Z_i \geq \frac{j}{m}x, i \geq 1\right) - 2\varepsilon \right) P(T_n \in c + l_j) &\leq P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \left(P\left(Z_i \geq \frac{j-1}{m}x, i \geq 1\right) + 2\varepsilon \right) P(T_n \in c + l_j). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now let's estimate probability of $P(T_n \in c + l_j)$. By virtue of lemma 1 and integral limit theorem for τ_c , announced in [3], we'll obtain

$$P(Z_n \in c + l_j) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma \left(\frac{c - n\Delta(\nu)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

or

$$P(Z_n \in c + l_j) \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)). \quad (10)$$

Further we'll note, that at the given conditions with respect to function $\Delta(x)$ we have"

$$T_n = Z_n + \varepsilon_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= \sum_{i=1}^m X_i, \quad X_i = \Delta(\nu) + (\lambda, \xi_i - \nu), \\ \varepsilon_n &= n \left(\frac{1}{n} S_n - \nu, \lambda_n - \lambda \right), \quad \lambda_n = (F'_{x_i}(\nu_n) \dots F'_{x_k}(\nu_n)) \end{aligned}$$

and $\nu_n, n \geq 1$ is some sequence of the random points from the neighbourhood of the point ν , at that $\nu_n \xrightarrow{a} \nu, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Taking into account, that

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \text{ at } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

from (10) we obtain

$$P(T_n \in c + l_j) \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)). \quad (11)$$

Substituting (11) in (9) we have

$$\varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)) (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x}{m} P\left(Z_i \geq \frac{j}{m}x, i \geq 1\right) - 2\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{n} P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) \leq$$

[F.G.Ragimov]

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu)) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x}{m} P\left(Z_i \geq \frac{j-1}{m}x, i \geq 1\right) + 2\varepsilon.$$

According to lemma 3

$$P(Z_i > x, i \geq 1) = \frac{\Delta(\nu)}{EZ_{\tau_+}} P(Z_{\tau_+} > x).$$

Therefore from (12), choosing first of all ε arbitrary small, and then m sufficiently large, we find that

$$P(\tau_c = n, \chi_c \leq x) = \frac{\Delta(\nu)}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi_\gamma(-\theta\Delta(\nu) H(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right))$$

uniformly by x from the bounded set in $[\delta, \infty)$ with $\delta > 0$ and by θ from the bounded set in R .

The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1 is obtained from the theorem as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and corollary 2 implies from the theorem and corollary 1.

Remark. Lemma 2 and corollary 2 show that quantities τ_c and χ_c as $c \rightarrow \infty$ are asymptotically independent (see, also [1]).

The author expresses his gratitude to the reviewer for valuable remarks on improving the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1]. Woodroffe M. *Nonlinear renewal theory in sequential analysis*. SIAM. 1982, p.110.
- [2]. Ragimov F.G. *The local limit theorem for time of intersection of non-linear boundaries*. Docl. A.N.Azerb., SSR, ser. Physic.-tech. and math. 1989, v. 12, pp.3-8. (Russian)
- [3]. Ragimov F.G. *The theorems for time of intersection of non-linear boundaries in the multidimensional random, walk*. The mat. of the conference devoted to the 75 anniversary of Azerbaijan State University.(Russian)
- [4]. Ragimov F.G. *On local probabilities of intersection of non-linear boundaries by the sums of independent random variables*. Teoriya veroyatn. i ee primeneniye. 1990, v.35, pp.373-377.(Russian)
- [5]. Seigmund D. *Sequential analysis and confidence intervals-New York, Springer-Verlong*. 1985.
- [6]. Aras G. Woodroffe M. *Asymptotic expansions for the momens of a randomly stopped average*. Ann. Statist. 1993, v.21, No1, pp.503-509.
- [7]. Mukhin A.B. *Local limit theorems for the sums of independent random vectors*. Teoriya veroyatn. i ee primeneniye. 1984, v.XXIX, No2, pp.360-366.(Russian)

Fada G. Ragimov

Baku State University.

23, Z.Khalilov str., Az1148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 495 05 19 (off.)

Received October 27, 2003; Revised April 19, 2004.

Translated by Mamedova Sh.N.