

MIRZOEV S.S., GUSEINOV M.M.

## ON SOLVABILITY OF OPERATOR-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN THE SPACES OF SMOOTH VECTOR-FUNCTIONS

## Abstract

In present paper the theorem on existence of smooth solutions of one class of operator-differential equation on the whole axis in abstract Hilbert spaces, was obtained, the connection between conditions of solvability and norms of operators of mediate derivatives at these spaces was established and exact values of these norms was found.

Let  $H$  be separable Hilbert space,  $A$  be positively defined self-adjoint operator, and  $H_\gamma$  is scale of Hilbert spaces, generated by operator  $A$  ( $\gamma \geq 0$ ), i.e.  $H_\gamma = D(A^\gamma)$ ,  $(x, y)_\gamma = (A^\gamma x, A^\gamma y)$ ,  $x, y \in D(A^\gamma)$ .

We define Hilbert space [1] as follows:

$$L_2(R; H) = \left\{ f: \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f\|_H^2 dt = \|f\|_{L_2}^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$W_2^p(R; H) = \left\{ u: u^{(p)} \in L_2(R; H), A^p u \in L_2(R; H) \right\},$$

and norm in this space defines by the following way

$$\|u\|_{W_2^p(R; H)} = \left( \|u^{(p)}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|A^p u\|_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Further, we will denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  the space of bounded operators, which act from space  $X$  into space  $Y$ .

Consider in space  $H$  operator-differential equation

$$P(d/dt)u(t) = P_0(d/dt: A)u(t) + P_1(d/dt)u(t) = f(t), \quad t \in R = (-\infty, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where

$$P_0(d/dt: A)u = \prod_{l=1}^N (d/dt - \omega_l A)^{r_l} u, \quad (2)$$

$$P_1(d/dt)u = \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j} u^{(j)} \quad (3)$$

and  $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_N = n$ ,  $\omega_l$  ( $l = 1, \dots, N$ ) are numbers, for which  $\text{Re } \omega_l < 0$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m = k$ , where  $k \leq n$  and  $\text{Re } \omega_l > 0$ ,  $l = m+1, \dots, N$  and  $k + r_{m+1} + \dots + r_N = n$ , operators  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j, H) \cap \mathcal{L}(H_{j+s}, H_s)$ , where  $s > 0$  is integer fix number,

$f(t) \in W_2^j(R; H)$ ,  $u(t) \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$ , and derivatives  $\frac{d^j u}{dt^j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) understoods in the sense of distribution theory [1].

At first, consider equation

$$P_0(d/dt: A)u(t) = f(t) \quad (4)$$

where  $f \in W_2^s(R; H)$ ,  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$ .

Takes place following

**Lemma 1.** Operator  $\mathcal{P}_0$ , generated by the left-hand side of equation (4) isomorphically maps space  $W_0^n(R; H)$  onto  $W_2^s(R; H)$ .

**Proof.** After Fourier transformation from equation (4) we obtain

$$\hat{u}(\lambda) = P_0^{-1}(i\lambda; A)\hat{f}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in R,$$

where  $\hat{u}(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$  are Fourier transformations of vector-functions  $u(t)$  and  $f(t)$ , correspondingly. By Plancharelle theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_2^{n+s}}^2 &= \|\lambda^{n+s}\hat{u}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|A^{n+s}\hat{u}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \|\lambda^{n+s}P_0^{-1}(i\lambda; A)\hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 + \\ &+ \|\lambda^{n+s}P_0^{-1}(i\lambda; A)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \sup_{\lambda \in R} \|\lambda^n P_0^{-1}(i\lambda; A)\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \cdot \|\lambda^s \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 + \\ &+ \sup_{\lambda \in R} \|A^n P_0^{-1}(i\lambda; A)\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \cdot \|A^s \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \\ &\leq \text{const} \left( \|\lambda^s \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|A^s \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{L_2}^2 \right) = \text{const} \|f\|_{W_2^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, from theorem on mediate derivatives we conclude, that  $\|P_0(d/dt; A)u\|_{W_2^s} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{n+s}}$ , therefore by Banach theorem on inverse operator,  $\mathcal{P}_0$  makes isomorphism between spaces  $W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$ .

From theorem on mediate derivatives it follows, that for  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$

$$\|A^{n-j}u^{(j)}\|_{W_2^s}^2 = \|A^{n+s-j}u^{(j)}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|A^{n-j}u^{(j+s)}\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{n+s}}^2,$$

therefore from Lemma 1 follows, that numbers

$$N_{j,s}(R; H) = \sup_{0 \leq u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)} \|A^{n-j}u^{(j)}\|_{W_2^s} \cdot \|P_0(d/dt; A)\|_{W_2^s}^{-1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n$$

are finite.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A$  be positively defined self-adjoint operator,  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j, H) \mathcal{L}(H_{j+s}, H_s)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$  and inequality holds

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left\{ \|A_{n-j}\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}, \|A_{n-j}\|_{H_{n-j+s} \rightarrow H_s} \right\} \cdot N_{j,s}(R) < 1.$$

Then operator  $\mathcal{P}$ , generated by the left-hand side of equation (1) isomorphically maps space  $W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$  on  $W_2^s(R; H)$ .

**Proof.** So as for  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1(d/dt)u\|_{W_2^s}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}u^{(j+s)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n A^s A_{n-j}u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \|A_{n-j}A^{-(n-j)}\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \cdot \|A^{n-j}u^{(j+s)}\|_{L_2}^2 + \end{aligned}$$

[Mirzoev S.S., Guseinov M.M.]

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=0}^n \left\| A^s A_{n-j} A^{-(n+s-j)} \right\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \cdot \left\| A^{n+s-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 = \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left( \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}^2, \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n+s-j} \rightarrow H_s}^2 \right) \times \\
& \times \left( \left\| A^{n-j} u^{(s+j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 + \left\| A^{n+s-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 \right) \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{n+s}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

then operator  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , generated by differential expression  $P_1(d/dt)u$  is bounded operator from space  $W_2^{n+s}(R;H)$  into space  $W_2^s(R;H)$ .

Rewrite equation (1) in the form

$$\mathcal{P}u \equiv \mathcal{P}_0 u + \mathcal{P}_1 u = f, \quad (5)$$

where  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R;H)$ ,  $f \in W_2^s(R;H)$ . By Lemma 1 there exists bounded inverse operator  $\mathcal{P}_0^{-1}: W_2^s(R;H) \rightarrow W_2^{n+s}(R;H)$ . After substitution  $u = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}v$  from equation (5) we obtain equation:

$$v + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}v = f$$

in the space  $W_2^s(R;H)$ . Show, that  $\left\| \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \right\|_{W_2^s \rightarrow W_2^s} < 1$ . Really, for any  $v \in W_2^s(R;H)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}v \right\|_{W_2^s} & = \left\| \mathcal{P}_1 u \right\|_{W_2^s} \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \left\| A_{n-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{W_2^s} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \left\| A_{n-j} u^{(j+s)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 + \left\| A^s A_{n-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left( \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}, \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n+s-j} \rightarrow H_s} \right) \cdot \left( \left\| A^{n+s-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 + \left\| A^{n-j} u^{(j+s)} \right\|_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left( \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}, \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n+s-j} \rightarrow H_s} \right) \left\| A^{n-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{W_2^s} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left( \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}, \left\| A_{n-j} \right\|_{H_{n+s-j} \rightarrow H_s} \right) N_{j,s}(R) \left\| \mathcal{P}_0 u \right\|_{W_2^s} = \\
& = \alpha \left\| \mathcal{P}_0 u \right\|_{W_2^s} = \alpha \left\| v \right\|_{W_2^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

So as according to the statement of theorem  $\alpha < 1$ , then  $\left\| \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \right\|_{W_2^s \rightarrow W_2^s} \leq \alpha < 1$ .

Consequently, operator  $E + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}$  we transform in space  $W_2^s(R;H)$  and we can find  $u$ :

$$u = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \left( E + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \right)^{-1} f.$$

Theorem is proved.

Form this theorem it is seen, that for obtaining the solvability condition of equation (1), we should find exact values of norm of mediate derivatives  $N_{j,s}(R)$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n$ ).

Takes place following

**Theorem 2.** The number  $N_{j,s}(R) = b_{n,j}$ , where

$$b_{n,j} = \sup_{\xi \in R} \left\{ \xi^j P_0^{-1}(i\xi; 1) \right\} \tag{6}$$

and

$$P_0(i\xi; 1) = \prod_{j=1}^n (i\xi - \omega_j)^{r_j}, \quad \xi \in R.$$

**Proof.** For  $\beta \in [0, b_{n,j}^{-2})$  consider polynomial operator beam [see (6)]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{j,s}(\lambda; \beta; A) &= \left[ (i\lambda)^{2s} E + A^{2s} \right] P_j(\lambda; \beta; A) \equiv \\ &\equiv \left[ (i\lambda)^{2s} E + A^{2s} \right] \left[ P_0(\lambda; A) P_0^*(-\bar{\lambda}; A) - \beta (i\lambda)^{2j} A^{2j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From spectral expansion of operator  $A$  it follows, that for  $\beta \in [0, b_{n,j}^{-2})$  the operator beam  $Q_{j,s}(\lambda; \beta; A)$  represented in the form

$$Q_{j,s}(\lambda; \beta; A) = F_{j,s}(\lambda; \beta; A) F_{j,s}^*(-\bar{\lambda}; \beta; A)$$

where

$$F_{j,s}(\lambda; \beta; A) = \prod_{l=1}^{n+s} (\lambda E - \alpha_{l,j}(\beta) A),$$

moreover,  $\text{Re } \alpha_{l,j}(\beta) < 0, l = 1, \dots, n+s, j = 0, \dots, n.$

Using Fourier transformation and Plancharelle theorem for all  $\beta \in [0, b_{n,j}^{-2})$  and  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$  we can verify equality

$$\|P_0(d/dt; A)u\|_{W_2^s}^2 - \beta \|A^{n-j}u^{(j)}\|_{W_2^s}^2 = \|F_{j,s}(d/dt; \beta; A)u\|_{L_2}^2. \tag{7}$$

From here we find, that for all  $\beta \in [0, b_{n,j}^{-2})$  and  $u \in W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$

$$\|P_0(d/dt; A)u\|_{W_2^s}^2 \geq \beta \|A^{n-j}u^{(j)}\|_{W_2^s}^2.$$

Passing to the limit for  $\beta \rightarrow b_{n,j}^{-2}$  in last inequality we obtain, that

$$N_{j,s}(R) \leq b_{n,j}.$$

In order to prove equality  $N_{j,s}(R) = b_{n,j}$  we define continuous functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \|P_0(d/dt; A)u\|_{W_2^s}^2 - \beta \|A^{n-j}u^{(j)}\|_{W_2^s}^2$$

in space  $W_2^{n+s}R; H$  and using spectral expansion of operator  $A$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find vector-function  $u_\varepsilon(t) = g_\varepsilon(t)\varphi_\varepsilon$ , where  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in H_{2(n+s)}$ , and  $g_\varepsilon(t)$  is numeric function from space  $W_2^{n+s}(R)$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u_\varepsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( F_j(i\xi; b_{n,j}^{-2} + \varepsilon, A)\varphi_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon \right) |g_\varepsilon(\xi)|^2 d\xi < 0.$$

Consequently,  $N_{j,s}(R) = b_{n,j}, j = 0, \dots, n.$

Form theorems 1 and 2 we obtain

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A$  be positively defined self-adjoint operator,  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j, H) \cap$

$\cap \mathcal{L}(H_{j+s}, H_s), j = 0, \dots, n$  and following inequality holds

[Mirzoev S.S., Guseynov M.M.]

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^n \max \left( \|A_{n-j}\|_{H_{n-j} \rightarrow H}, \|A_{n-j}\|_{H_{n-j+s} \rightarrow H_s} \right) b_{n,j} < 1.$$

Then operator  $\mathcal{P}$  makes isomorphism between spaces  $W_2^{n+s}(R; H)$  and  $W_2^s(R; H)$ . Here numbers  $b_{n,j}$  are defined from equality (6).

It must be noted, that solvability of boundary value problems in spaces of smooth functions, was investigated, for example, in paper [2-5].

#### References

- [1]. Меонс Ж.Л., Маджени Э. *Неоднородные граничные задачи и их приложения*. М., 1971, с.371.
- [2]. Мирзоев С.С. *О корректной разрешимости краевых задач для эллиптических уравнений в гильбертовом пространстве*. ДАН Азерб. ССР, 1990, т.XLII, №1, с.17-20.
- [3]. Мирзоев С.С. *О разрешимости одной краевой задачи для операторно-дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка*. Вестник БГУ, сер.физ.мат.наук, 1993, №1, с.57-65.
- [4]. Мирзоев С.С. *О гладких решениях операторно-дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка*. Сборник трудов I Республ. конф. по мех. и мат., часть II, 1995, с.156-160.
- [5]. Дубинский Ю.А. *Смешанная задача для некоторых классов уравнений*. Труды Моск. мат. общ-ва, 1969, т.XX, с.203-238.
- [6]. Мирзоев С.С. *Вопросы теории разрешимости краевых задач для операторно-дифференциальных уравнений в гильбертовом пространстве и спектральные задачи связанные с ними*. Автореферат докт. диссертации, Баку, 1994, с.28.

Mirzoev S.S., Guseynov M.M.

Baku State University named after M.Rasul-zadeh,  
23, Z.I. Khalilov str., 370148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Received October 28, 1999; Revised December 30, 1999.

Translated by Panarina V.K.