### Elnara B. SULTANOVA

# TO THEORY OF FOURTH ORDER OPERATOR BUNDLES

#### Abstract

In the paper the conditions on the coefficients of a fourth order operator bundle, providing four-fold completeness of the system of eigen and associated vectors in separable Hilbert space are obtained.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space and assume that

- 1) C is a completely continuous self-adjoint operator in H with a spectrum in the angular sector  $S_{\varepsilon} = \{\lambda : |arq\lambda| \le \varepsilon\}, \ 0 \le \varepsilon < \pi/4;$ 
  - 2) The operators  $B_j$  (j = 0, 3) are bounded in H.

Consider in H the operator bundle

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^4 C^4 + E + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda^j B_j C^j, \tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a spectral parameter, E is a unit operator in H.

**Definition 1.** If for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists,  $L^{-1}(\lambda)$  is determined in all of the space H and is bounded, then  $\lambda$  is said to be a regular point of the operator bundle  $L(\lambda)$ , and  $L^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a resolvent of the operator bundle  $L(\lambda)$ .

If for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  the equation  $P(\lambda_0) \varphi_0 = 0$  has the solution  $\varphi_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi_0$ is said to be an eigen number, and  $\varphi_0$  is called an eigen vector of the bundle  $L(\lambda)$ responding to  $\lambda_0$ . If the vectors  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{m_0}$  satisfy the equations

$$\sum_{m=0}^{s} \frac{L^{(m)}(\lambda_0)}{m!} \varphi_{s-m} = 0, \quad s = 0, ..., m_0,$$

then  $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{m_0}\}$  is said to be the system of eigen and associated vectors re-

**Definition 2.** Let  $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{m_0}\}$  be the system of eigen and associated vectors of the bundle  $L(\lambda)$  responding to the eigen number  $\lambda_0$ . Define the vectors

$$\varphi_q^{(0)} = \varphi_q,$$

$$\varphi_q^{(j)} = \frac{d^j}{dt^j} e^{\lambda t} \left( \varphi_q^{(0)} + \varphi_{q-1}^{(0)} \frac{t}{1!} + \dots + \frac{t^q}{q!} \varphi_0^{(0)} \right) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad (q = 0, \dots, m_0)$$

in H and construct the system  $\{\widetilde{\varphi}_q\} \subset H^4$ , where  $\widetilde{\varphi}_q = \left(\varphi_q^{(0)}, \varphi_q^{(1)}, \varphi_q^{(2)}, \varphi_q^{(3)}\right) \in H^4$ , and  ${\cal H}^4$  is the product of four copies of the space  ${\cal H}.$ 

If the system  $\left\{\widetilde{\varphi}_q\right\}\subset H^4$  formed with respect to all eigen numbers and eigen vectors is complete in  $H^4$ , it is said that the system of eigen and associated vectors of the bundle  $L(\lambda)$  is four-fold complete in H.

The theorems on multiple completeness of eigen and associated vectors and the spectrum of such operator bundles were proved for instance in [1-6].

[E.B.Sultanova

In the present paper we study analytic properties of the resolvent and prove theorems on four-fold completeness of the system of eigen and associated vectors of the bundle  $L(\lambda)$  in H.

At first prove a theorem on behavior of the resolvent on some rays.

**Theorem 1.** Let conditions 1), 2) be fulfilled, and it hold the inequality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{3} b_j(\varepsilon) \|B_j\| < \varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

where

$$b_{0}\left(\varepsilon\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \pi/8 \\ \frac{1}{\sin 4\varepsilon}, & \pi/8 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/4, \ b_{1}^{\left(\varepsilon\right)} = b_{3}\left(\varepsilon\right) = \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \frac{1}{\cos 2\varepsilon}, \ b_{2}\left(\varepsilon\right) = \frac{1}{2\cos 2\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

Then on the rays  $\Gamma_k = \left\{\lambda : \lambda = r^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\frac{i\pi k}{2}} r > 0, k = \overline{0,3}\right\}$  the operator bundle  $L(\lambda)$  is invertible and on these rays it holds the inequality

$$||L^{-1}(\lambda)|| \le const, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma_k, \quad k = \overline{0,3}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda = re^{\frac{\pi i k}{2}}$ ,  $k = \overline{0,3}$ , r > 0. Then from the equality

$$L(\lambda) = L_0(\lambda) + L_1(\lambda) = \left(E + L_1(\lambda) L_0^{-1}(\lambda)\right) L_0(\lambda)$$

we get that for the invertibility of  $L(\lambda)$  on the rays  $\Gamma_k$  the invertibility of the operator  $E + L_1(\lambda) L_0^{-1}(\lambda)$  is enough, since on the rays  $\Gamma_k$  the operator bundle

$$L_0(\lambda) = E + \lambda^4 C^4 = \prod_{j=1}^4 (E - \lambda \omega_j C)$$

is invertible in H, and

$$L_0^{-1}(\lambda) = (E + \lambda^4 C^4)^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^4 (E - \lambda \omega_j C)^{-1}.$$

Here

$$\omega_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \,\omega_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1-i) \,, \ \omega_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \,, \ \omega_4 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1-i) \,.$$

Show that subject to inequality (3)  $E + L_1(\lambda) L_0^{-1}(\lambda)$  is invertible on the rays  $\Gamma_k$  ( $k = \overline{0,3}$ ). Since on the rays  $\Gamma_k$ 

$$||L_1(\lambda) L_0^{-1}(\lambda)|| = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^3 \lambda^j B_j C^j L_0^{-1}(\lambda) \right\| \le \sum_{j=0}^3 ||B_j|| ||\lambda^j C^j L_0^{-1}(\lambda)||.$$
 (3)

On the other hand,

$$\|\lambda^{j}C^{j}L_{0}^{-1}(\lambda)\| = \|\lambda^{j}C^{j}(E + \lambda^{4}C^{4})^{-1}\| = \|r^{j}C^{j}(E + r^{4}e^{2\pi ki}C^{4})^{-1}\| =$$

[To theory of fourth order operator bundles]

$$= \left\| r^j C^j \left( E + r^4 C^4 \right)^{-1} \right\|.$$

Note that for  $\lambda_n \in S_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_n = |\lambda_n| e^{i\psi_n}$ ,  $|\psi_n| < \varepsilon$  and if  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonornmalized basis of eigen vectors of the operator  $\mathbf{C}$ , then

$$Ce_n = \lambda_n e_n, \quad (e_n, e_m) = \delta_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = m \\ 0, & n \neq m \end{cases}, |\lambda_0| > |\lambda_1| > \dots |\lambda_n| \dots,$$

and from the spectral expansion of the operator C it follows that

$$\left\| r^{j}C^{j} \left( E + r^{4}C^{4} \right)^{-1} \right\| = \sup_{n} \left| r^{j} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{j} \left( 1 + r^{4} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{4} e^{i4\psi_{k}} \right)^{-1} \right| =$$

$$= \sup_{n} \left| r^{j} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{j} \left( E + r^{4} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{4} \left( \cos 4\psi_{k} + i \sin 4\psi_{k} \right) \right)^{-1} \right| =$$

$$= \sup_{n} \left| r^{j} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{j} \left( 1 + r^{8} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{8} + 2 \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{4} r^{4} \cos 4\psi_{k} \right) \right|^{-1} =$$

$$= \sup_{n} \left( \left| r \right| \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{j} \right) \left( 1 + r^{8} \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{8} + 2 \left| \lambda_{n} \right|^{4} r^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{-1/2} \le$$

$$\leq \sup_{\tau > 0} \tau^{j} \left( 1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{-1/2} .$$

Let j=0. Then for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_k (k=\overline{0,3})$ 

$$\left\| \left( E + r^4 C^4 \right)^{-1} \right\| \le \sup_{\tau \ge 0} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \tau^8 + 2\tau^4 \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{1/2}}.$$

Since the function  $f(\tau) \frac{1}{1+\tau^8+2\tau^4\cos 4\varepsilon}$  monotonically decreases for  $0 \le \varepsilon \le \pi/8$ , then  $\sup_{\tau \ge 0} \frac{1}{1+\tau^8+2\tau^4\cos 4\varepsilon} = 1$ , and for  $\pi/8 \le \varepsilon \le \pi/4$  the function  $f(\tau)$  accepts its maximum value for  $r^4 = -\cos 4\varepsilon$  ( $\cos 4\varepsilon < 0$ ), therefore

$$\sup_{\tau>0} \frac{1}{1+\tau^8+2\tau^4\cos 4\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 4\varepsilon}.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| \left( E + r^4 C^4 \right)^{-1} \right\| \le b_0 \left( \varepsilon \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le \varepsilon \le \pi/8 \\ \frac{1}{\sin 4\varepsilon}, & \pi/8 \le \varepsilon \le \pi/4 \end{cases}$$
 (4)

Let j = 2. In this case

$$\left\| r^2 C^2 \left( E + \lambda^4 C^4 \right)^{-1} \right\| \le \sup_{\eta \ge 0} \left| r^2 \left( 1 + r^8 + 2\tau^4 \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{-1/2} \right| \le$$

$$\le \sup_{\eta > 0} \left( \frac{\tau^4}{1 + \tau^8 + 2\tau^4 \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2 \cos 2\varepsilon} = b_2 \left( \varepsilon \right).$$

$$(5)$$

Let j = 1. In this case

$$\left\| rC \left( E + \lambda^4 C^4 \right)^{-1} \right\| \le \sup_{\tau \ge 0} \left| \tau \left( 1 + r^8 + 2\tau^4 \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{-1/2} \right| \le$$

$$\leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \left( \frac{\tau^{2}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \left( \frac{\tau^{2}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4}} \right)^{1/2} \times \\
\times \left( \frac{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{2\tau^{4} \left( 1 - \cos 4\varepsilon \right)}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
\leq \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{4\tau^{4} \sin^{2} 2\varepsilon}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{4\sin^{2} 2\varepsilon}{4\cos^{2} 2\varepsilon} \right) = \\
= \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos 2\varepsilon} = b_{1}(\varepsilon). \tag{6}$$

For j = 3, similarly we have

$$\left\| r^{2}C^{3} \left( E + \lambda^{4}C^{4} \right)^{-1} \right\| \leq \sup_{\eta \geq 0} \left| \tau^{3} \left( 1 + r^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon \right)^{-1/2} \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{\eta \geq 0} \left( \frac{\tau^{6}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{\eta \geq 0} \left( \frac{\tau^{6}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4}\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \times$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4}}{1 + \tau^{8} + 2\tau^{4} \cos 4\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{3^{3/4}}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos 2\varepsilon} = b_{3}(\varepsilon) .$$

$$(7)$$

Thus, taking into account inequalities (4-7) in inequality (3), we get that for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_k, k = \overline{0,3}$ 

$$\left\|L_1 L_0^{-1}(\lambda)\right\| \le \sum_{j=0}^3 b_j(\varepsilon) \left\|B_j\right\| \le \alpha(\varepsilon) < 1.$$

Then  $L^{-1}\left(\lambda\right)=L_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda\right)\left(E+L\left(\lambda\right)L_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ , for  $\lambda\in\Gamma_{k},\,k=\overline{0,3}$ Thus, for  $\lambda\in\Gamma_{k},\,k=\overline{0,3}$ 

$$\left\|L^{-1}\left(\lambda\right)\right\| \leq \left\|L_0^{-1}\left(\lambda\right)\right\| \left\|\left(E + L_1\left(\lambda\right) L_0^{-1}\left(\lambda\right)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{b_0\left(\varepsilon\right)}{1 - \alpha\left(\varepsilon\right)} = const.$$

The theorem is proved.

Now, let's prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let the conditions of theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then the operator bundle  $L(\lambda)$  has only a discrete spectrum with a unique limiting point at infinity.

If  $C \in \sigma_{\rho}$   $(0 < \rho < \infty)$ , then  $L^{-1}(\lambda)$  is represented in the form of ratio of entire functions of order  $\rho$  and of minimal type at order  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Obviously,

$$L(\lambda) = E + \lambda^4 C^4 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda^j B_j C_j + B_0 = (E + B_0) + \lambda^4 C^4 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda^j B_j C^j.$$

Since  $\alpha(\varepsilon) < 1$ , hence it follows that  $||B_0|| < b_0^{-1}(\varepsilon) < 1$ . Thus, the operator  $E + B_0$  is invertible and bounded in H. Then

$$L(\lambda) = \left(E + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda^{j} B_{j} C^{j} (E + B_{0})^{-1} + \lambda^{4} C^{4} (E + B_{0})^{-1}\right) (E + B_{0}).$$

Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan  $\overline{\text{[To theory of fourth order operator bundles]}}$ 

Let

$$Q(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda^{j} B_{j} C^{j} (E + B_{0})^{-1} + \lambda^{4} C^{4} (E + B_{0})^{-1}.$$

Then for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  the operator  $Q(\lambda)$  is a completely invertible operator in H, and

$$L(\lambda) = (E + Q(\lambda))(E + B_0).$$

Since E + Q(0) = E is invertible in H, then by the Keldysh lemma, the operator function  $E + Q(\lambda)$  has a discrete spectrum with a limit point at infinity. From the representation

$$L^{-1}(\lambda) = (E + B_0)^{-1} (E + Q(\lambda))^{-1},$$

we get that  $L(\lambda)$  also has a discrete spectrum with a unique limit point at infinity.

If  $C \in \sigma_{\rho}$ , then the operators  $B_j C^j (E + B_0)^{-1} \in \sigma_{\rho/j}$ ,  $j = \overline{1,3}$ ,  $C^4 \in \sigma_{\rho/j}$ . Then by the Keldysh lemma,  $L^{-1}(\lambda)$  is represented in the form of ratio of two entire functions of order

$$\max_{j=\overline{1,4}} \left( j \cdot \frac{\rho}{j} \right) = \rho.$$

and of minimal type at order p.

The theorem is proved.

Now prove a theorem on four-fold completeness of the system of eigen and associated vectors.

**Theorem 3.** Let the conditions of theorem 1 be fulfilled, and  $A^{-1} \in \sigma_{\rho}$   $(0 < \rho \le 2)$ . Then the system of eigen and associated vectors is four-fold complete in H.

**Proof.** Assume the contrary. If the system of eigen and associated vectors of the bundle  $L(\lambda)$  is not a four-fold complete system in H, then there exist the vectors  $f_i(j=\overline{0,3})$  even one of which is not zero, the vector-function

$$R(\lambda) = (L^{-1}(\overline{\lambda}))^* \sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda^j f_j$$

is an entire function [1]. Since on the rays  $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ ,  $k = \overline{0,3}$   $L^{-1}(\lambda)$  exists and it holds the estimation  $\|L^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq const$ , then by the Fragmen –Lindeloff theorem the operator bundle  $\|L^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq const$  for all  $\lambda$  from the complex plane, since  $0 < \rho \le 2$  and the angle between the neighboring rays  $\Gamma_k$  equals  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . Therefore,

$$||R(\lambda)|| \le const |\lambda|^2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Hence we have  $R(\lambda) = g_0 + \lambda g_1 + \lambda^2 g_2 + \lambda^3 g_3$ . Then  $\sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda^j f_j = L^*(\overline{\lambda}) \sum_{q=0}^{3} \lambda^q g_q$ .

Comparing the coefficients in front of  $\lambda^7$ , we get  $C^{*4}g_3=0$ , i.e.  $g_3=0$ . Similarly we have that all  $g_j = 0$ , j = 0, 1, 2. Thus,  $R(\lambda) \equiv 0$ . Hence it follows that  $f_0 = f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

The theorem is proved.

Using the results of the Keldysh paper [1], the following theorem is easily proved.

[E.B.Sultanova

**Theorem 4.** Let the conditions of theorem 3 be fulfilled, and the operators  $T_j$ , be completely continuous in H, then the system of eigen and associated vectors of the bundle

$$M(\lambda) = E + \lambda^4 C^4 + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda^j (B_j + T_j) C^j$$

is four-fold complete in H.

#### References.

- [1]. Keldysh M.V. On completeness of eigen functions of some classes of not self-adjoint operators. UMN, 1971, vol. 26, No 4, pp. 15-41 (Russian).
- [2]. Allahverdiyev J.E. On completeness of the system of associated vectors close to normal ones. DAN SSSR, 1957, vol. 115, No 2, pp. 207-210 (Russian).
- [3]. Radzievskii G.V. A problem on completeness of the root vectors in spectral theory of operator-functions. // Uspekhi matem. Nauk, 1982, vol. 37, No 2, pp. 81-145 (Russian).
- [4]. Gasymov M.G. To theory of polynomial operator bundles of operators. DAN SSSR, 1971, vol. 199, No 4, pp. 747-750 (Russian).
- [5]. Mirzoyev S.S., Karaaslan M.D. On well-posed solvability of a boundary value problem for second order operator-differential equations. // Vestnik Bakinskogo Universiteta. ser. fiz. mat. Nauk, 2013, No 2, pp. 21-28 (Russian).
- [6]. Sultanova E.B. On a spectrum of a class of quadratic operator bundle. Izvestia Pedagogicheskogo Universiteta. 2013, No 1, pp. 11-15 (Russian).

## Elnara B. Sultanova

Baku State University,

23, Z. Khalilov str., AZ 1148, Baku, Azerbaijan

Tel.: (99412) 539 47 20 (off.).

Received October 03, 2013; Revised December 12, 2013.