## Aytekin I. ISMAYLOVA

# ESTIMATIONS FOR ROOT FUCNTIONS OF DIRAC OPERATOR

#### Abstract

In the paper, Dirac's one-dimensional operator is considered. A shift formula is derived for its root vector-function, and a priori estimations, the estimations between different  $L_p$  norms of the root vector-functions of the given operator are established.

### 1. Formulation of the results

In the paper we establish bilateral estimations of the root vector-functions responding to the Dirac one-dimensional operator

$$Du = B\frac{du}{dx} + P(x) \ u,\tag{1}$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ P(x) = (P_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^2, \ u(x) = (u^1(x), u^2(x))^T.$$

The coefficient P(x) is a complex-valued matrix-function determined on an arbitrary interval G of a real line.

Let  $L_p^2(G)$ ,  $p \ge 1$  be a space of two component vector-functions with the norm

$$||f||_{p,2} = \left(\int_{C} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \left(||f||_{\infty,2} = \sup_{x \in G} vrai |f(x)|\right).$$

Denote by ||P(x)|| the norm of the matrix P(x), i.e.

$$||P(x)|| = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} |P_{ij}(x)|.$$

The estimations of the root functions of ordinary differential operators were earlier established in the papers [1]-[6]. These estimations found their wide applications in investigation of the problems of basicity and equiconvergence with trigonometric series of spectral expansions [7]-[9].

In the present paper, based on the shift formula obtained in [10], a new formula for the vector-functions is derived and different estimation for the root vector-functions of Dirac operator are established with its help.

Following V.A. Il'in [1], introduce the notion of the root vector-functions of the operator in the generalized sense.

**Definition.** Under the eigen vector-function or adjoint zero order vector-function responding to the complex eigen value  $\lambda$ , we'll understand any vector-function u(x)

that differs from identity zero and that absolutely is continuous on each compact of the interval G and almost everywhere in G satisfies the equation  $D_u^0 = \lambda_u^0$ .

If the adjoint function  $\overset{\ell-1}{u}(x)$  of order  $\ell-1 \geq 0$  is determined, then under the adjoint vector-function of order  $\ell$  responding to the eigen value  $\lambda$  and the eigen function  $\overset{\ell}{u}(x)$ , we'll understand the vector-function  $\overset{\ell}{u}(x)$  that is absolutely continuous on any compact of interval G and almost everywhere in G satisfies the equation  $D\overset{\ell}{u}=\lambda\overset{\ell}{u}-\overset{\ell-1}{u}$ .

The following theorems are proved in the paper.

**Theorem 1 (Shift formula).** Let  $P_{ij}(x) \in L_1^{loc}(G)$ , i, j = 1, 2 and (c, d) be inside of the interval G. Then there exists a positive number  $R^*$  such that for any  $t \in (0, R^*]$  and each [c + t, d - t], the shift formula

$$u(x \pm t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} F_j^{\pm}(t)^{\ell-j} u(x),$$
 (2)

is valid and the following estimations are fulfilled for the matrix  $F_i^{\pm}(t)$ 

$$||F_0^{\pm}(t) - \cos \lambda t I \pm \sin \lambda t B|| \le \omega(t) ch(t J m \lambda);$$
 (3)

$$\left\| F_j^{\pm}(t) \right\| \le 5(8t)^j ch(tJm\lambda), \ j = \overline{0, \ell}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\omega(t)$  is a non-negative function that monotonically tends to zero as  $t \to 0+0$ , I is a unit matrix in  $E^2$ .

Fix an arbitrary segment  $K = [a, b] \subset G$  and such a segment  $K_R = [a + R, b - R]$  that it contains, that  $R = dist(K_R, \partial K) < \frac{mesK}{2}$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $P_{C_R}(x) = r(x) \cdot R$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let  $P_{11}(x) = p(x)$ ,  $P_{22}(x) = q(x)$ ,  $P_{12}(x) = P_{21}(x) \equiv 0$  and  $p(x), q(x) \in L_1^{loc}(G)$ . Then for the segments K and  $K_R$  there exits positive constants  $C_i(K,\ell)$ ,  $i = \overline{1,3}$ ;  $C_i(K,K_R,\ell)$ , i = 4,5, independent of  $\lambda$  such that the following estimations are true:

$$C_1 \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} \le \left[ 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right]^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p}} \left\| u \right\|_{s,2,K} \le C_2 \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K}, \ 1 \le p < s \le \infty; \tag{5}$$

$$\left\| \stackrel{\ell-1}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C_3 \left[ 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right] \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K}, \ p \ge 1; \tag{6}$$

$$C_{4} [1 + |Jm\lambda|]^{-\ell} \|u\|_{p,2,K} \le \|u\|_{p,2,K_{R}} \exp(R |Jm\lambda|) \le \le C_{5} [1 + |Jm\lambda|]^{\ell} \|u\|_{p,2,K}, \ p \ge 1, \ \ell \ge 0,$$
(7)

where

$$u^{-1}(x) \equiv 0, \|\cdot\|_{p,2K} = \|\cdot\|_{L_p^2(K)}$$

**Remark.** Under the condition p(x),  $q(x) \in L_1(G)$ ,  $mesG < \infty$ , in estimations (5)-(7) the segment K may be replaced by the domain  $\overline{G}$ .

### 2. Shift formula

Derivation of the shit formula (2) for the root functions u(x) is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma [10].** If the functions  $P_{ij}(x) \in L_1^{loc}(G)$ , i, j = 1, 2 and the points x-t, x, x+t are in the domain G, the following formulae are true:

Notice that for deriving formula (8), it suffices to act on the equation

$$D_u^{\ell}(x \pm r) = \lambda_u^{\ell}(x \pm r) + u^{\ell-1}(x \pm r)$$

by the operator  $\lambda(t-r) \cdot I \pm \cos \lambda(t-r) \cdot B$ , integrate with respect to the parameter r from 0 to t, and carry out integration by parts in the expression of the form

$$\int_{0}^{t} (\sin \lambda (t-r) \cdot I \pm \cos \lambda (t-r) \cdot B) \cdot B du^{\ell} (x \pm r).$$

Now, derive the shift formula (2) by the mathematical induction method. Solve equation (8) for  $\ell = 0$  with respect to u(x) by successive iterations. Denoting

$$A^{\pm}(t,\lambda) = \cos \lambda t \cdot I \mp \sin \lambda t \cdot B;$$

$$T_{\pm}\psi(t) = \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda)P(x\pm r)\psi(r) dr, \qquad (10)$$

where  $\psi(t)$  is a matrix function of dimension  $2 \times 2$ , as a result of the first iteration we get the equality

$$\frac{\ell}{u}(x \pm r) = \left\{ A^{\pm}(t,\lambda) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1})A^{\pm}(t_{1},\lambda)dt_{1} \right\} u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) \int_{0}^{t_{1}} A^{\mp}(t - t_{2},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{2})u(x \pm t_{2})dt_{2}dt_{1} = (I + T_{\pm})A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) \times u(x) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{2}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)P(x \pm t_{1}) dt_{1}dt_{1} = u(x) + \int_{0}^{t} A^{\pm}(t - t_{1},\lambda)$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{t_{1}} A^{\mp}(t_{1} - t_{2}, \lambda) P(x \pm t_{2}) u(x \pm t_{2}) dt_{2} dt_{1}.$$

Continuing the unrestricted iteration process, we arrive at the formal equality

where

$$F_0^{\pm}(t) = \left(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{\pm}^k\right) A^{\pm}(t, \lambda). \tag{11}$$

Consequently, for  $\ell = 0$ , the formula (2) is valid (formally).

Now, assume that formula (2) is valid for some (l-1), l > 1.

Then by formula (8)

Carrying out successive iteration with respect to  $u(x; \lambda)$ , from the last equality we find

$$\dot{u}(x\pm r) = \left(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{\pm}^{k}\right) A^{\pm}(t,\lambda) \dot{u}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \times \left[\left(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{\pm}^{k}\right) \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j}^{\pm}(r) dr\right] \times$$

$$\times^{i-j-1}u(x) = F_0^{\pm}(t)\overset{i}{u}(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{i}F_j^{\pm}(t)\overset{i-j}{u}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{i}F_j^{\pm}(t)\overset{i-j}{u}(x),$$

where the functions  $F_0^{\pm}(t)$  are determined by (11), and the functions  $F_i^{\pm}(t)$  for  $j \geq 1$ by the formulae

$$F_j^{\pm}(t) = \left(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{\pm}^k\right) \int_0^t A^{\mp}(t - r, \lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr, \tag{12}$$

The formal derivation of formula (2) is completed.

For grounding formula (2) it suffices to show that series (11) and (12) converge absolutely and uniformly for  $0 < t \le R^*$ .

Denote

$$\omega(t) = 32 \max \left\{ \sup_{c < x < d - t} \int_{x}^{x + t} \|P(\tau)\| d\tau, \sup_{c + t \le x < d} \int_{x - t}^{x} \|P(\tau)\| d\tau \right\}, \ t \le \frac{d - c}{4}.$$

Choose the number  $R^* \leq \frac{d-c}{4}$  so that  $\omega(R^*) < 1$ . Then for an arbitrary  $t \in (0, R^*]$  the inequality  $\omega(t) < 1$  will be fulfilled.

By definition of the quantity  $\omega(t)$  we have:

$$||T_{\pm}\psi(t)|| \leq \int_{0}^{t} ||A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda)|| ||P(x\pm r)|| ||\psi(r)|| dr \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} ||P(x\pm r)|| \{4ch(Jm\lambda(t-r)) ||\psi(r)||\} dr \leq$$

$$\leq 4 \sup_{0\leq r\leq t} \{ch(Jm\lambda(t-r)) ||\psi(r)||\} \int_{0}^{t} ||P(x\pm r)|| dr \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\omega(t) \sup_{0\leq r\leq t} \{ch(Jm\lambda(t-r)) ||\psi(r)||\}.$$

Therefore

$$||T_{\pm}A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)|| \leq \frac{1}{8}\omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) ||A^{\pm}(r,\lambda)|| \right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) 4ch(Jm\lambda t) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)ch(Jm\lambda t),$$

$$||T_{\pm}^{2}A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)|| = ||T_{\pm}\left(T_{\pm}A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)\right)|| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) ||T_{\pm}A^{\pm}(r,\lambda)|| \right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\omega(t)\sup_{0\leq r\leq t}\left\{ch\left(Jm\lambda(t-r)\right)\frac{1}{2}\omega(r)ch(Jm\lambda r)\right\}\leq$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{16}\omega^2(t)ch(Jm\lambda t)\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega(t)\right)^2ch(Jm\lambda t).$$

Continuing this process, we get

$$\left\| T_{\pm}^{k} A^{\pm}(t,\lambda) \right\| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \omega(t) \right)^{k} ch(Jm\lambda t), \tag{13}$$

where k = 1, 2, ...

Applying these estimations, from (11) we find that for  $t \in (0, R^*]$ ,

$$\|F_0^{\pm}(t) - \cos \lambda t I \pm \sin \lambda t B\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega(R^*)\right)^k \exp\left(|Jm\lambda|R^*\right) \leq \exp\left(|Jm\lambda|R^*\right);$$

$$\|F_0^{\pm}(t) - \cos \lambda t I \pm \sin \lambda t B\| = \|F_0^{\pm}(t) - A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)\| = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{\pm}^k A^{\pm}(t,\lambda)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega(t)\right)^k ch(Jm\lambda t) \leq \omega(t)ch(Jm\lambda t).$$

Estimation (3) is proved.

Now, investigate series (12) by the mathematical induction method and prove estimation (4). Estimation (4) for j = 0 directly follows from estimation (3). Let estimation (4) be valid for j - 1, prove it for j.

$$\left\| F_{j}^{\pm}(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right\| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| T_{\pm}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right\| \leq 
\leq \int_{0}^{t} 4ch (Jm\lambda(t-r)5(8t)^{j-1} ch (Jm\lambda r) dr + 
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| T_{\pm}^{k} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right] \right\| \leq 
\leq \frac{5}{2} (8t)^{j} ch (Jm\lambda t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| T_{\pm}^{k} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right] \right\|. \tag{14}$$

By definition of the operators  $T_{\pm}$  and induction supposition

$$\left\| T_{\pm} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right] \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) \right. \times$$

$$\times \left\| \int_{0}^{r} A^{\mp}(r-\tau) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) \times \right.$$

$$\times \left. \left\{ \int_{0}^{r} 4ch \left( Jm\lambda(r-\tau) \right) 5(8\tau)^{j-1} ch Jm\lambda\tau \right) d\tau \right\} \leq \frac{1}{8} \omega(t) \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ ch \left( Jm\lambda(t-r) \right) 20rch Jm\lambda r \right) (8r)^{j-1} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{8} \omega(t) 20tch \left( Jm\lambda t \right) (8t)^{j-1} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{5}{2} (8t)^{j} \left( \frac{\omega(t)}{2} \right) ch \left( Jm\lambda t \right),$$

$$\left\| T_{+}^{k} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{i-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right] \right\| \leq \frac{5}{2} (8t)^{j} \left( \frac{\omega(t)}{2} \right)^{k} ch \left( Jm\lambda t \right).$$

$$\left\| T_{\pm}^{k} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mp}(t-r,\lambda) F_{j-1}^{\pm}(r) dr \right] \right\| \leq \frac{5}{2} (8t)^{j} \left( \frac{\omega(t)}{2} \right)^{k} ch(Jm\lambda t).$$

Allowing for these inequalities, from (14) we get

$$||F_{j}^{\pm}(t)|| \leq \frac{5}{2} (8t)^{j} ch(Jm\lambda t) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega(t) + (\frac{1}{2} \omega(t))^{2} + \dots \right] \leq$$

$$\leq 5(8t)^{j} ch(Jm\lambda t).$$
(15)

Estimation (4) is proved. Uniformity of convergence of series (12) follows from (15) for  $t = R^*$  and  $\omega(R^*) < 1$ . So, derivation of shift formula (2) is completed.

It follows from the obtained estimations (3), (4) and shift formula (2) that all the solutions of the equation  $Du - \lambda u = f$  with absolute  $\ell_y$  continuous on [a,b] right hand side and summable on (a,b) coefficient P(x) have finite limits as  $x \to a + 0$  and as  $x \to b - 0$ . Therefore, all of them will be absolutely continuous on the closed interval [a, b]. Consequently, the eigen and adjoint functions of the operator D will be absolutely continuous on  $\overline{G} = [a, b], mesG < \infty$ . If  $P(x) \in L_p(G), p \ge 1$ , then the belonging of the components of the root functions in  $W_p^1(G)$ , G=(a,b),  $mesG<\infty$  follows from the equation  $D_u^\ell-\lambda_u^\ell={}^{\ell-1}u$ .

## 3. Proof of theorem 2

At first we establish the right hand side of estimation (5). It is known that (see [10], estimation (12)) that for  $p \ge 1$  it is valid

$$\|u\|_{\infty,2,K}^{\ell} \le C(K,\ell)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{p,2,K}.$$
 (16)

Using estimation (16) and considering p < s, we find

$$\left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{s,2,K} = \left( \int\limits_K \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right|^{s-p} \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,K}^{\frac{s-p}{s}} \left( \int\limits_K \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le$$

$$\leq (C(K,\ell))^{\frac{s-p}{s}} \left[ 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right]^{\frac{s-p}{ps}} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K}^{\frac{s-p}{s}} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K}^{\frac{p}{s}} = \\ = (C(K,\ell))^{\frac{s-p}{s}} \left[ 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K}^{\ell}.$$

Hence, it follows the right hand side of estimation (5), i.e.

$$[1 + |Jm\lambda|]^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{s,2,K} \le C_2(K,\ell) \|u\|_{p,2,K},$$
(17)

where  $C_2(K, \ell) = (C(K, \ell))^{\frac{s-p}{s}}$ .

Now, establish the left hand side of estimation (5). For that we prove the following statement.

**Statement.** For any  $\ell = 0, 1, ...$  on any segment  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b] = K$ , for which  $\|\|P(x)\|\|_{L_1[\alpha, \beta]} \leq \frac{1}{2\ell + 2}$ , it is valid the inequality

$$m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \le M_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \left\| u \right\|_{\infty,2,\left[\alpha,\beta\right]},$$
 (18)

where

$$m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \equiv \max_{x \in [\alpha,\beta]} \left\{ \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right| (1 + |Jm\lambda| \, d_{\alpha,\beta}(x))^{-\ell} \exp\left( |Jm\lambda| \, d_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right) \right\},$$
$$d_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \equiv \min\left\{ \left| x - \alpha \right|, \left| x - \beta \right| \right\}.$$

**Proof of the statement.** Apply the mathematical induction method. Let  $\ell=0$ , and  $[\alpha,\beta]$  be an arbitrary segment contained in K=[a,b], moreover  $\|\|P(x)\|\|_{L_1[\alpha,\beta]} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let the maximum of the left hand side of (18) be attained at the point  $y\in [\alpha,\beta]$ , and  $t=d_{\alpha,\beta}(y)$ . Using the inequality

$$\exp\left(|Jm\lambda|\right) - 1 \le |2\cos\lambda t|$$

and the mean value formula (9), we find

$$\begin{split} m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta}(1+|Jm\lambda|\,t)^{\ell} - \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} &\leq \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(y) \right| \left( \exp(|Jm\lambda|\,t) - 1 \right) \leq \\ &\leq \left| 2\cos\lambda t \right| \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(y) \right| = \left| 2 \stackrel{\ell}{u}(y)\cos\lambda t \right| \leq \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(y-t) + \stackrel{\ell}{u}(y+t) \right| + \\ &+ \left| \int\limits_{y-t}^{y+t} \left( \sin\lambda(t-|y-\xi|) \cdot I + sgn(\xi-y)\cos\lambda(t-|y-\xi| \cdot B) \times \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \times \left( P(\xi) \stackrel{\ell}{u}(\xi) + \stackrel{\ell-1}{u}(\xi) \right) d\xi \left| \leq 2 \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + \\ &+ 2 \left\| \left\| P(x) \right\| \left\| L_{1}[\alpha,\beta] \max_{|y-\xi| < t} \left\{ \left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(\xi) \right| (1 + \exp(|Jm\lambda|\,(t-|y-\xi|))) \right\} + \\ \end{split}$$

$$+4t \max_{|y-\xi|< t} \left\{ \left| u^{\ell-1}(\xi) \right| \left(1 + \exp(|Jm\lambda| (t - |y - \xi|))\right) \right\} \le 2 \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{\infty, 2, [\alpha, \beta]} +$$

$$+2 \left\| \|P(x)\| \right\|_{L_{1}[\alpha, \beta]} \left\{ \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{\infty, 2, [\alpha, \beta]} + m_{\ell, \alpha, \beta} (1 + 2 |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell} \right\} +$$

$$+4t \left\{ \left\| u^{\ell-1} \right\|_{\infty, 2, [\alpha, \beta]} + m_{\ell-1, \alpha, \beta} (1 + 2 |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell-1} \right\},$$

$$(19)$$

For  $\ell = 0$ , (19) yields (allowing for  $u^{-1}(x) \equiv 0$ )

$$m_{0,\alpha,\beta} - \left\| \begin{matrix} 0 \\ u \end{matrix} \right\|_{\infty,2,\left[\alpha,\beta\right]} \le 2 \left\| \begin{matrix} 0 \\ u \end{matrix} \right\|_{\infty,2,\left[\alpha,\beta\right]} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\| \begin{matrix} 0 \\ u \end{matrix} \right\|_{\infty,2,\left[\alpha,\beta\right]} + m_{0,\alpha,\beta} \right\}.$$

Hence we get

$$m_{0,\alpha,\beta} \le 7 \left\| \stackrel{0}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]}.$$

Now, let inequality (18) be valid for some  $\ell-1$ ,  $\ell\geq 2$ . Establish inequality (18) for the case  $\ell$ . For that we fix an arbitrary segment  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$  for which  $\|\|P(x)\|\|_{L_1[\alpha,\beta]} \leq \frac{1}{2\ell+2}.$  Then from (19) we find

$$m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} (1 + |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell} - \left\| u \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} \le 2 \left\| u \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}} \left\{ \left\| u \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} (1 + 2 |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell} \right\} + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}} \left\{ \left\| u \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + m_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta} (1 + 2 |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell-1} \right\}.$$

$$(20)$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2^{\ell+1}} \ge \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}} \ge \|\|P(x)\|\|_{L_1[\alpha,\beta]}$ , then the inequality

$$m_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta} \le M_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta} \left\| \stackrel{\ell-1}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]}$$

will be valid for  $m_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta}$ . On the other hand, it is known that (see [10])

$$\left\| u^{\ell-1} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} \le C(\ell,\alpha,\beta)(1+|Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]}. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, from (20) we get

$$\begin{split} m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \, t \right)^{\ell} & \leq 3 \left\| \stackrel{u}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+1}} \left\{ \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \left( 1 + 2 \, |Jm\lambda| \, t \right)^{\ell} \right\} + \\ & + 4tC(\ell,\alpha,\beta) (1 + |Jm\lambda|) \left\{ 1 + M_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta} (1 + 2 \, |Jm\lambda| \, t)^{\ell-1} \right\} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} \leq \\ & \leq \left( 3 + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}} \right) \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \, t \right)^{\ell} + \\ & + 2^{\ell+3} C(\ell,\alpha,\beta) (t + |Jm\lambda| \, t) (1 + M_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta}) (1 + |Jm\lambda| \, t)^{\ell-1} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]} \leq \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left\{ 3 + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}} + 2^{\ell+3} C(\ell, \alpha, \beta) (1 + \beta - \alpha) (1 + M_{\ell-1, \alpha, \beta}) \right\} \times \\ \times (1 + |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell} \left\| u \right\|_{\infty, 2, [\alpha, \beta]} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\ell, \alpha, \beta} (1 + |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell}$$

Having cancelled each side of the last relation by  $(1 + |Jm\lambda| t)^{\ell}$ , we find

$$m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \leq \frac{1}{2} m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} +$$

+ 
$$\left\{3 + \frac{1}{2^{\ell+1}} + 2^{\ell+3}C(\ell,\alpha,\beta)(1+\beta-\alpha)(1+M_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta})\right\} \left\|u\right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]}$$
.

Consequently,

$$m_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \le M_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]},$$

where

$$M_{\ell,\alpha,\beta} = 6 + \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} + 2^{\ell+4}C(\ell,\alpha,\beta)(1+\beta-\alpha)(1+M_{\ell-1,\alpha,\beta}).$$

The statement is proved.

It follows from the proved statement that there exists a constant  $M^1_{\ell,\alpha,\beta}$  such that for any  $x \in [\alpha,\beta]$ .

$$\left| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right| \le M_{\ell,\alpha,\beta}^1 \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left| Jm\lambda \right| d_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right\} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha,\beta]}. \tag{22}$$

Partition the segment [a,b] into the finite number  $n(\ell)$  equal segments  $[\alpha_i,\beta_i]$  so that the inequality  $\|\|P(x)\|\|_{L_1[\alpha_i,\beta_i]} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\ell+2}}$  be fulfilled for each of them.

Then, applying inequality (22), we find

$$\left\| \overset{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,[a,b]}^{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\ell)} \left\| \overset{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,[\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}]}^{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n(\ell)} \left( \left( M_{\ell,\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}}^{1} \right) \left\| \overset{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}]} \right)^{p}$$

$$\int\limits_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} \exp\left(-\frac{p}{2}\left|Jm\lambda\right| d_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(x)\right) dx \leq \frac{4}{p\left|Jm\lambda\right|} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[a,b]}^p \sum_{i=1}^{n(\ell)} \left(M^1_{\ell,\alpha_i,\beta_i}\right).$$

Hence for  $|Jm\lambda| \ge 1$ , we get

$$\left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,[a,b]} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n(\ell)} \left( M^1_{\ell,\alpha_i,\beta_i} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} 4^{\frac{1}{p}} p^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| Jm\lambda \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{\infty,2,[a,b]} \leq$$

$$\leq 8(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n(\ell)} \left( M_{\ell,\alpha_i,\beta_i} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{\infty,2,[a,b]}.$$

Consequently, for  $|Jm\lambda| \geq 1$ , the estimation

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le M(p,\ell)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\infty,2,K}$$

Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan  $\_$  [Estimations for root functions of Dirac ...] 123

is fulfilled.

And for  $|Jm\lambda| < 1$ ,

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le (b-a)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{p,2,K} \le 2(1+b-a)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\infty,2,K}.$$

is valid.

It follows from the last two inequalities that

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le M_1(p,\ell)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\infty,2,K}.$$

Hence, allowing for (17), for  $s = \infty, p = s$ , we get the estimation

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le M_2(p,\ell)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\infty,2,K}.$$

for p < s. The left hand side of estimation (5) is established.

Estimation (6) follows from estimations (5) and (21). Really,

$$\left\| u^{\ell-1} \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C_1^{-1} \left[ 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| u^{\ell-1} \right\|_{\infty,2,K} \le$$

$$\le C_1^{-1} C(\ell,K) \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}+1} \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{\infty,2,K} \le C_1^{-1} C(\ell,K) \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}+1} \times$$

$$\times C_2 \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C_3 \left( 1 + |Jm\lambda| \right) \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{p,2,K}.$$

Now, prove estimation (7). Let  $R^*$  be the number chosen in theorem 1. Fix an arbitrary number r from the interval  $(0, R^*)$  and consider the segments K = $[a,b], K_r = [a+r,b-r], r \leq \min\left\{\frac{b-a}{4}; \frac{1}{16}\right\}$ . Applying the shift formula (2) and estimation (4), we have:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K} & \leq \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(\cdot + r) \right\|_{p,2,K_r} + \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(\cdot - r) \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \left\{ \left\| F_j^+(r) \right\| + \left\| F_j^-(r) \right\| \right\} \left\| \stackrel{\ell-j}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} 10(8r)^j ch(rJm\lambda) \left\| \stackrel{\ell-j}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} 2^{-j} \left\| \stackrel{\ell-j}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K_r}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, it is valid the inequality

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le 10 \exp(r|Jm\lambda|) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} 2^{-j} \|u\|_{p,2,K_r},$$
 (23)

where  $\ell = 0, 1, ...; p \ge 1$ .

Let  $\ell = 0$ . Then it follows from (23) that

$$\left\| \stackrel{0}{u} \right\|_{n,2,K} \le 10 \exp(r \left| Jm\lambda \right|) \left\| \stackrel{0}{u} \right\|_{n,2,K_r}. \tag{24}$$

For  $\ell = 1$ , using (24) and (6), from (23) we get

$$\left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K} \leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \sum_{i=0}^{1} 2^{-j} \left\| u^{1-j} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} \leq$$

$$\leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\{ \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| u^{0} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} \right\} \leq$$

$$\leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} C_{3} [1 + |Jm\lambda|] \right\} \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} \leq$$

$$\leq 10 (1 + \frac{1}{2} C_{3}) (1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}}.$$

$$(25)$$

Continuing this process, we get the left hand side of estimation (7) for the segments K and  $K_r$ , i.e.

$$\left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C(K, K_r) [1 + |Jm\lambda|]^{\ell} \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{\ell} \right\|_{p,2,K_r}, \tag{26}$$

Now, let R be an arbitrary fixed number satisfying the condition  $0 < R < \frac{b-a}{2}$ . Choose a natural number k so that  $r = \frac{R}{k} \in (0, R^*]$  and  $r \le \min\left\{\frac{b-a}{4}; \frac{1}{16}\right\}$ . Consider the segments  $K = [a, b], K_r, K_{2r}, ..., K_{kr} = K_R$ . Applying k times the inequality (23) for  $\ell = 0$ , we get

$$\left\| u \right\|_{n,2,K} \le 10^k \exp(kr |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u \right\|_{n,2,K_{bn}} = 10^k \exp(R |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u \right\|_{n,2,K_B}. \tag{27}$$

Applying two times repeatedly inequality (23) for  $\ell=1$  and considering (24), (25), for  $K_r, K_{2r}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K} &\leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \sum_{i=0}^{1} 2^{-j} \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} \leq \\ &\leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\{ \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| u^{0} \right\|_{p,2,K_{r}} \right\} \leq \\ &\leq 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\{ 10 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} C_{3} \right) (1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{2r}} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} 10 \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{0} \right\|_{p,2,K_{2r}} \right\} \leq \\ &\leq 10^{2} \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left( 1 + \frac{C_{3}(K_{r})}{2} + \frac{C_{3}(K_{2r})}{2} \right) (1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{2r}} \leq \\ &\leq C(K,K_{2r}) (1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(2r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{2r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C(K, K_{2r})(1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(2r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u^{1} \right\|_{p,2,K_{2r}}, \tag{28}$$

In order to establish the estimation between the norms  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ u \end{vmatrix}_{p,2,K}$  and  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ u \end{vmatrix}_{p,2,K_{3r}}$ we must write inequality (23) for  $\ell = 1$ , and estimate the norms  $\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ u \end{vmatrix}_{n,2,K_0}$  in it by means of inequality (27) by  $\|u\|_{p,2,K_{3r}}$ , estimate  $\|u\|_{p,2,K_r}$  with the help of inequality (28) by  $\|u\|_{p,2,K_{3r}}$ , and then apply anti a priori estimation (6) to  $\|u\|_{p,2,K_{3r}}$ . As a result of these operations we get the estimation

$$\left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} \le C(K, K_{3r})(1 + |Jm\lambda|) \exp(3r |Jm\lambda|) \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K_{3r}}$$

Continuing this process to the segment  $K_{kr}$ , we get the estimation

$$\|u\|_{p,2,K} \le C(K,K_r)(1+|Jm\lambda|) \exp(R|Jm\lambda|) \|u\|_{p,2,K_R}$$

Applying sequentially the above mentioned scheme for  $\ell = 2, \ \ell = 3, \dots$  we get validity of the left estimation of (7) for the segments K and  $K_R = K_{kr}$ .

Now, establish the right hand side of estimation (7). Write the mean value formula obtained from shift formula (2):

Fixing r, applying the inequality  $chJmz \leq 2|\cos z|$ ,  $|Jmz| \geq 1$  and estimations (3), (4), from the mean value formula we find that for  $|Jm\lambda| \geq \frac{1}{r}$ 

$$\frac{ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)}{2}\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x+r)\right| + \left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x-r)\right|}{2} + ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\omega(r)\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x)\right| + 5\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (8r)^{j}ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x)\right|.$$

Hence, in its turn we find

$$ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\omega(r)\right)\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x+r)\right| + \left|\stackrel{\ell}{u}(x-r)\right|\right\} +$$

$$+5ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (8r)^{j}\left|\stackrel{\ell-1}{u}(x)\right|.$$

Choose the fixed r so that  $\omega(r) \leq \frac{1}{4}$  be fulfilled. Then it follows from the last relation that

$$ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\left|\overset{\ell}{u}(x)\right| \leq \left|\overset{\ell}{u}(x-r)\right| + \left|\overset{\ell}{u}(x+r)\right| + 10ch\left(rJm\lambda\right)\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (8r)^{j}\left|\overset{\ell-1}{u}(x)\right|$$

Hence we find

$$ch(rJm\lambda) \left| u(x) \right|_{p,2,K_r} \le 2 \left| u(x) \right|_{p,2,K} + 10ch(rJm\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (8r)^j \left\| u(x) \right\|_{p,2,K_r}.$$

Here, applying the inequality  $\frac{1}{2} \exp(|Jm\lambda| r) \le ch(rJmz) \le \exp(|Jm\lambda| r)$  and requiring  $r \leq \frac{1}{320}$ , we get

$$\left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \le 4 \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u}(x) \right\|_{p,2,K} \exp(-|Jm\lambda| \, r) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} 2^{-j} \left\| \stackrel{\ell-j}{u}(x) \right\|_{p,2,K_r}. \tag{29}$$

From (29) for  $\ell = 0$  it follows the right hand side of estimation [7] for the eigen function u(x) for K and  $K_r$ , i.e.

$$\|u(x)\|_{p,2,K_r} \le 4 \|u\|_{p,2,K} \exp(-|Jm\lambda|r),$$
 (30)

For  $\ell = 1$ , applying (29), (30) and a priori estimation (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K_r} &\leq 4 \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) + \frac{1}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \leq 4 \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} + \\ &+ 2 \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) \leq 4 \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} + \\ &+ 2C_3(K)(1 + \left| Jm\lambda \right|) \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K} \leq \\ &\leq C(K,K_r)(1 + \left| Jm\lambda \right|) \exp(-\left| Jm\lambda \right| r) \left\| u \right\|_{p,2,K}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process for  $\ell = 2, \ \ell = 3,...$ , we get the estimation

$$\left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \le C(K,K_r,\ell)(1+|Jm\lambda|)^{\ell} \exp(-|Jm\lambda|r) \left\| \stackrel{1}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K}.$$

Consequently, the right hand side of estimation (7) is valid in the case of the segments K and  $K_r$  (in the case  $|Jm\lambda| < \frac{1}{r}$  this estimation follows from the inequality

 $\begin{aligned} & \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K_r} \leq \left\| \stackrel{\ell}{u} \right\|_{p,2,K} \text{ and boundedness of the quantity } \exp\left( \left| Jm\lambda \right| r \right) / (1 + \left| Jm\lambda \right|^{\ell} \right). \\ & \text{To prove the right hand side of estimation (7) for the segments } K \text{ and } K_R, \, R < \frac{b-a}{2}, \text{ we choose a natural number } k \text{ so that } r = \frac{R}{k} \in (0,R^*) \,, \, \, \omega(r) \leq \frac{1}{4}, \, \, r \leq \frac{1}{320}. \end{aligned}$ 

Consider the case  $|Jm\lambda| \ge \frac{1}{r}$  (for  $|Jm\lambda| < \frac{1}{r}$  the right hand side of estimation (7) is fulfilled trivially). Fixing k (the same number r), again we consider the segments  $K = [a, b], [K_{j,r} = [a + jr, b - jr], j = \overline{1, k}.$ 

For the function u(x), the right hand side of estimation (7) is obtained by ktimes successive application of estimation (30). Derivation of the right hand side of estimation (7) in the cases  $\ell = 1, 2, ...$  is the same as in derivation of the left hand side of estimation (7). Thereby, inequality (29) and anti a priori estimations (6) are used.

Theorem 2 is proved.

**Remark.** Notice that estimations (5)-(7) are valid also in the case when the coefficients  $P_{12}(x)$ ,  $P_{21}(x)$ , are not identically equal to zero, and  $P_{ij}(x) \in L_1^{loc}(G)$ , i, j = 1, 2.

The author is thankful to professor V.M. Kurbanov for the problem statement and useful discussions of the results.

#### References

- [1]. Il'in V.A. Necessary and sufficient conditions on basicity and equiconvergence with trigonometric series of spectral expansions. I // Diff. uravn. 1980. vol. 16, No 5, pp. 771-794 (Russian).
- [2]. Tikhomirov V.V. Exact estimations of regular solutions of Schrodinger one-dimensional equation with spectral parameter. // DAN SSSR. 1983. vol. 273, No 4, pp. 807-810 (Russian).
- [3]. Joo I. Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of the Schrodinger operator // Acta Sci. Math. 1982. vol.44, No1-2, pp. 87-93.
- [4]. Komornik V. Lower estimates for the eigenfunctions of the Schrodinger operator // Acta sci. Math. 1982. vol. 44, No 1, pp. 95-98.
- [5]. Kritskov L.V. Uniform estimation of order of adjoint functions and distribution of eigen values of Schrodinger's one-dimensional operator // Diff. Uravn. 1989. vol. 25, No 7, pp. 1121-1129 (Russian).
- [6]. Kerimov N.B. Some properties of eigen and adjoint functions of ordinary differential operators // DAN SSSR. 1986. vol. 201, No 5, pp. 1054-1056 (Russian).
- [7]. Il'in V.A. Necessary and sufficient conditions on basicity and equiconvergence with trigonometric series of spectral expansions II // Diff. Uravn. 1980. vol. 16, No 6, pp. 980-1009 (Russian).
- [8]. Kurbanov V.M. Equiconvergence of biorthogonal expansions by the root functions of differential operators I // Diff. Uravn. 1999. vol. 35, No 12, pp. 1597-1609 (Russian).
- [9]. Kurbanov V.M. Equiconvergence of biorthogonal expansions by the root functions of differential operators II // Diff. Uravn. 2000. vol.36, No 3, pp. 319-335 (Russian).
- [10]. Kurbanov V.M. On Bessel property and unconditional basicity of the system of the root vector-functions of Dirac operator // Diff. Uravn. 1996, vol. 32, No 12, pp. 1608-1617 (Russian).

128 \_\_\_\_\_\_[A.I.Ismaylova]

## Aytekin I. Ismaylova

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan.

9, F.Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 439 47 20 (off.).

Received September 08, 2009; Revised December 04, 2009.