

Sevda E.ISAYEVA

# THE INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR ONE SEMILINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATION WITH MEMORY OPERATOR

## Abstract

*In this work we consider the initial-boundary value problem for one semilinear hyperbolic equation with memory operator. We prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of this problem.*

Let  $\Omega \subset R^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) be a bounded, connected set with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ . We consider the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u + F(u)] - \Delta u + |u|^p u = f \quad \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, T], \quad (2)$$

$$[u + F(u)]_{t=0} = u^{(0)} + w^{(0)}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u^{(1)} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3)$$

where  $p > 0$  and  $F$  is a memory operator (at any instant  $t$ ,  $F(u)$  may depend not only on  $u(t)$  but also on the previous evolution of  $u$ ) which acts from  $M(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$  to  $M(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$ . Here  $M(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$  is a space of strongly measurable functions  $\Omega \rightarrow C^0([0, T])$ . We assume that the operator  $F$  is applied at each point  $x \in \Omega$  independently: the output  $[F(u)](x, t)$  depends on  $u(x, \cdot)|_{[0, t]}$ , but not on  $u(y, \cdot)|_{[0, t]}$  for any  $y \neq x$ .

We assume that

$$\begin{cases} \forall v_1, v_2 \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T])), \forall t \in [0, T], \text{ if } v_1 = v_2 \text{ in } [0, t] \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \text{then } [F(v_1)](\cdot, t) = [F(v_2)](\cdot, t) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{cases} \forall \{v_n \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))\}_{n \in N}, \text{ if } v_n \rightarrow v \text{ uniformly in } [0, T], \\ \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ then } F(v_n) \rightarrow F(v) \text{ uniformly in } [0, T], \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists L \in R^+, \exists g \in L^2(\Omega) : \forall v \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T])), \\ \| [F(v)](x, \cdot) \|_{C^0([0, T])} \leq L \| v(x, \cdot) \|_{C^0([0, T])} + g(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{cases} \forall v \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T])), \forall [t_1, t_2] \subset [0, T], \\ \text{if } v(x, \cdot) \text{ is affine in } [t_1, t_2] \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ then} \\ \{ [F(v)](x, t_2) - [F(v)](x, t_1) \} \cdot [v(x, t_2) - v(x, t_1)] \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists \bar{L} \in R^+ : \forall v \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T])), \forall [t_1, t_2] \subset [0, T], \\ \text{if } v(x, \cdot) \text{ is affine in } [t_1, t_2] \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ then} \\ | [F(v)](x, t_2) - [F(v)](x, t_1) | \leq \bar{L} | v(x, t_2) - v(x, t_1) | \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let  $V = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+2}(\Omega)$  and

$$u^{(0)} \in V, w^{(0)} \in L^2(\Omega), u^{(1)} \in L^2(\Omega), \quad (9)$$

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1 \in L^2(Q), \quad f_2 \in W^{1,1}(0, T; V'). \quad (10)$$

**Definition.** A function  $u \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  is said to be a solution of problem (1)-(3) if  $F(u) \in L^2(Q)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \int \left\{ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - [u + F(u)] \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + |u|^p uv \right\} dx dt = \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{V'} \langle f, v \rangle_V dt + \int_{\Omega} \left[ u^{(0)}(x) + w^{(0)}(x) + u^{(1)}(x) \right] v(x, 0) dx \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for every  $v \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  ( $v(\cdot, T) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ).

The equation (11) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u + F(u)] - \Delta u + |u|^p u = f \quad \text{in } D'(0, T; V'). \quad (12)$$

Integrating by parts in time in (11), we get

$$[u + F(u)]|_{t=0} = u^{(0)} + w^{(0)} \text{ in } V', \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u^{(1)} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (13)$$

In turn (12) and (13) yield (11).

Well posedness of problem (1)-(3) without  $F$  was studied in the works of different authors (see, for example [2]). The corresponding problem for the parabolic equation without nonlinear term  $|u|^p u$  was studied in [1]. We have proved existence and uniqueness of solutions of problem (1)-(3).

**Theorem 1 (existence).** Assume that (4)-(10) hold. Then problem (1)-(3) has at least one solution such that

$$u \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad F(u) \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (14)$$

**Proof.** We prove this theorem with method of time discretization.

Let's fix any  $m \in N$ , set  $k = \frac{T}{m}$  and

$$f_{1m}^n(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{(n-1)k}^{nk} f_1(x, \tau) d\tau \text{ a. e. in } \Omega, \quad f_{2m}^n = f_2(nk), \quad f_m^n = f_{1m}^n + f_{2m}^n, \quad n = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$u_m^0 = u^{(0)}, \quad w_m^0 = w^{(0)}, \quad u_m^1 = u^{(0)} + ku^{(1)}, \quad u_m^{-1} = u^{(0)} - ku^{(1)},$$

$$u_m^n(x, \cdot) = \text{linear time interpolate of } u_m(x, nk) = u_m^n(x),$$

$$w_m^n(x) = [F(u_m)](x, nk), \quad n = 1, \dots, m, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

We consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{u_m^n - 2u_m^{n-1} + u_m^{n-2}}{k^2} + \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} + \frac{w_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} - \\ & - \Delta u_m^n + |u_m^n|^p u_m^n = f_m^n \text{ in } V', \quad n = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$u_m^0 = u^{(0)}, \quad w_m^0 = w^{(0)}, \quad u_m^1 = u^{(0)} + ku^{(1)}, \quad u_m^{-1} = u^{(0)} - ku^{(1)}. \quad (16)$$

This problem can be solved step by step in time: for any  $n \in \{3, \dots, m\}$ , assume that  $u_m^2, \dots, u_m^{n-1} \in V$  are known, and consider the problem of determining  $u_m^n$ . For almost any  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u_m(x, \cdot)$  is affine in  $[(n-1)k, nk]$ ; hence  $[F(u_m)](x, nk)$  depends only on  $u_m(x, \cdot)|_{[0, (n-1)k]}$ , which is known, and on  $u_m^n(x)$ , which must be determined. That is  $w_m^n(x) = [F(u_m)](x, nk) = \Psi_m^n(u_m^n(x), x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Let's set

$$U_m^{n-1}(x) = \max_{[0, (n-1)k]} |u_m(x, \cdot)| = \max_{j=0,1,\dots,n-1} |u_m^j(x)| \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (17)$$

Thus  $U_m^{n-1} \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and (6) yields

$$|\Psi_m^n(v(x), x)| \leq L \max \{|U_m^{n-1}(x)|, |v(x)|\} + g(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (18)$$

for every  $v \in M(\Omega)$ .

We define the operator  $\widehat{\Psi}_m^n : M(\Omega) \rightarrow M(\Omega)$ ,  $v \rightarrow \Psi_m^n(v(\cdot), \cdot)$ . By (5) and (18)

$$\widehat{\Psi}_m^n : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{is affinely bounded and strongly continuous.} \quad (19)$$

(7) yields

$$(\widehat{\Psi}_m^n(v) - w_m^{n-1})(v - u_m^{n-1}) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

for every  $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ ; by (18) and the latter inequality there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (depending on  $m, n$ , but not on  $v$ ) such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \widehat{\Psi}_m^n(v) v dx \geq -c_1 \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - c_2 \quad (20)$$

for every  $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Omitting the fixed indexes  $m$  and  $n$ , (15) can be written in the form

$$(1+k)u + k\widehat{\Psi}(u) - k^2\Delta u + k^2|u|^p u = \varphi \quad \text{in } V', \quad (21)$$

where  $\varphi = k^2 f_m^n + (2+k)u_m^{n-1} + kw_m^{n-1} - u_m^{n-2}$ . We use a standard procedure to show that this equation has at least one solution. Let  $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces invading  $V$ ; for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the following finite-dimensional problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{to find } u_j \in V_j \text{ such that} \\ Z(u_j) = (1+k)u_j + k\widehat{\Psi}(u_j) - k^2\Delta u_j + k^2|u_j|^p u_j = \varphi \quad \text{in } V_j'. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

By (19),  $Z$  is strongly continuous as an operator from  $V$  to  $V'$ ; by (20) it is also coercive:

$$\frac{1}{\|v\|_{V'}} \langle Z(v), v \rangle_{V'} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \|v\|_V \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (23)$$

Hence problem (22) has at least one solution; this can be easily checked by an argument based on the Brower fixed point theorem (see [2], chap. 1, sect. 4.3). By multiplying (22) by  $u_j$  and using (23), we get that the sequence  $\{u_j\}$  is uniformly

bounded in  $V$ . Hence there exists  $u$  such that, possibly extracting a subsequence,  $u_j \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $V$ . By the compactness of the inclusion  $V \subset L^2(\Omega)$  and by (19), we have

$$\widehat{\Psi}(u_j) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(u) \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Therefore taking  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (22), we get (21).

In order to obtain a priori estimates we multiply (15) by  $u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}$  and sum for  $n = 1, \dots, l$ , for any  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} - \frac{u_m^{n-1} - u_m^{n-2}}{k} \right) \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} dx + \\ & + k \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} (u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) (u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) dx + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_m^n (\nabla u_m^n - \nabla u_m^{n-1}) dx + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^p u_m^n (u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) dx = \sum_{n=1}^l \langle f_m^n, u_m^n - u_m^{n-1} \rangle_V. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By (7) we have

$$(u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) (u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, n = 1, \dots, l; \quad (25)$$

moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} - \frac{u_m^{n-1} - u_m^{n-2}}{k} \right) \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{u_m^{n-1} - u_m^{n-2}}{k} \cdot \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right] dx \geq \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^{n-1} - u_m^{n-2}}{k} \right)^2 dx = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{u_m^l - u_m^{l-1}}{k} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{u_m^{(0)} - u_m^{-1}}{k} \right)^2 \right] dx = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{u_m^l - u_m^{l-1}}{k} \right)^2 - |u_m^{(1)}|^2 \right] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_m^n (\nabla u_m^n - \nabla u_m^{n-1}) dx \geq \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_m^n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_m^n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_m^{n-1}|^2 \right) dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_m^n|^2 - |\nabla u_m^{n-1}|^2 \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_m^l|^2 - |\nabla u^{(0)}|^2 \right) dx, \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx - \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^p u_m^n u_m^{n-1} dx \geq \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx - \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^l \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{(p+1)\frac{p+2}{p+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_m^{n-1}|^{p+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} = \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx - \sum_{n=1}^l \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_m^{n-1}|^{p+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} \geq \\ & \geq \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx - \frac{p+1}{p+2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^n|^{p+2} dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} |u_m^{n-1}|^{p+2} dx = \\ & = \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=1}^l \left( |u_m^n|^{p+2} - |u_m^{n-1}|^{p+2} \right) dx = \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |u_m^l|^{p+2} - |u^{(0)}|^{p+2} \right) dx. \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

Using (25)-(28) in (24) and denoting by  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  suitable constants independent of  $m$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{u_m^l - u_m^{l-1}}{k} \right)^2 - |u^{(1)}|^2 \right] dx + k \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_m^l|^2 - |\nabla u^{(0)}|^2 \right) dx + \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_m^l|^{p+2} - |\nabla u^{(0)}|^{p+2} \right) dx \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^l {}_{V'} \langle f_m^n, u_m^n - u_m^{n-1} \rangle_V = \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} f_{1m}^n (u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}) dx + {}_{V'} \langle f_{2m}^l, u_m^l \rangle_V - \\ & - {}_{V'} \langle f_2(0), u^{(0)} \rangle_V - \sum_{n=2}^l {}_{V'} \langle f_{2m}^n - f_{2m}^{n-1}, u_m^{n-1} \rangle_V \leq \\ & \leq \left( k \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} (f_{1m}^n)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ k \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\ & + \left( \max_{n=1, \dots, l} \|f_{2m}^n\|_{V'} + k \sum_{n=2}^l \left\| \frac{f_{2m}^n - f_{2m}^{n-1}}{k} \right\|_{V'} \right) \max_{n=1, \dots, l} \|u_m^n\|_V + \\ & + \|f_2(0)\|_{V'} \|u^{(0)}\|_V \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f_1\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{n=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right)^2 dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$+C_1 \|f_2\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T;V')}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \max_{n=0,\dots,l} \|u_m^n\|_V^2; \quad (29)$$

a simple calculation then yields

$$\left\| \frac{u_m^n - u_m^{n-1}}{k} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \max_{n=1,\dots,m} \|u_m^n\|_V \leq C_2. \quad (30)$$

We introduce some further notations. A.e. in  $\Omega$ , we set  
 $w_m(x; \cdot)$  = linear time interpolate of  $w_m(x, nk) = w_m^n(x)$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, m$ ,  
 $\tilde{u}_m(x, t) = u_m^n(x)$  if  $(n-1)k < t \leq nk$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, m$ ,  
and define  $\tilde{w}_m, \tilde{f}_m$  similarly. Thus (15) and (30) yield

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_m + w_m) - \Delta \tilde{u}_m + |\tilde{u}_m|^p \tilde{u}_m = \tilde{f}_m \text{ in } V, \text{ a.e. in } (0, T), \quad (31)$$

$$\|u_m\|_{W^{1,\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;V)}, \quad \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)} \leq \text{const}. \quad (32)$$

As  $H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) = L^2(\Omega; H^1(0, T)) \subset L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$  with continuous injection, by (6) and (32) we have

$$\|w_m\|_{L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))} \leq L \|u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}. \quad (33)$$

Moreover (see [2], chap. 1, sect. 1.3)

$$|\tilde{u}_m|^p \tilde{u}_m \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{p'}(\Omega)). \quad (34)$$

(31)-(34) yield

$$\|u_{m,tt}\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq \text{const}. \quad (35)$$

By these estimates and applying Proposition XII. 2.1 with  $D = L^1(0, T)$  from [1], we conclude that there exist  $u, w$  such that, possibly taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$  along a subsequence,

$$u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly star in } H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{u}_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (36)$$

$$w_m \rightarrow w \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{w^*}^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T)) = (L^2(\Omega; L^1(0, T)))', \quad (38)$$

$$u_m + w_m \rightarrow u + w \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{w^*}^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T)) \cap H^1(0, T; V'), \quad (39)$$

$$u_{m,tt} \rightarrow u_{tt} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^2(Q). \quad (40)$$

So, by taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (31), we get (12) in the sense of  $L^2(0, T; V')$ ; (13) is also easily obtained. As we saw, this yields (11).

By interpolation (see [3], chap. 4, p. 378) we have

$$H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \subset H^1(Q) \subset H^\varepsilon(\Omega; H^{1-\varepsilon}(0, T)) \subset L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$$

for  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  with continuous injections, and the latter one is also compact. Hence, possibly extracting a further subsequence, we have

$$u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{iniformly in } (0, T), \text{ a. e. in } \Omega.$$

Then by (5)  $F(u) \in M(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$  and

$F(u_m) \rightarrow F(u)$  uniformly in  $[0, T]$ , a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

As  $w_m(x, \cdot)$  is the linear time interpolate of  $w_m(x, nk) = [F(u_m)](x, nk)$  ( $n = 0, \dots, m$ ) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , we have

$$w_m \rightarrow F(u) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, T], \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Therefore by (38) we get  $w = F(u)$  a.e. in  $Q$ . By (6)  $w_m$  converges strongly in  $L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, T]))$ .

As the family of continuous, piecewise linear functions is dense in  $W^{1,1}(0, T)$ , (8) entails that for every  $v \in M(\Omega; W^{1,1}(0, T))$

$$F(v) \in M(\Omega; W^{1,1}(0, T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{d}{dt} F(v) \right| \leq \bar{L} \left| \frac{dv}{dt} \right| \text{ a.e. in } Q.$$

Hence as  $u \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) = L^2(\Omega; H^1(0, T))$ , then  $F(u) \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ .

The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2** (uniqueness). *Assume that the hypotheses of theorem 1 hold,*

$$p \leq \frac{2}{N-2} \quad (p \text{ is arbitrary and finite when } N = 2) \quad (42)$$

and for every  $u, v \in M(\Omega; W^{1,1}(0, T))$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [F(u) - F(v)] \leq L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - v). \quad (43)$$

Then problem (1)-(3) has one and only one solution.

**Proof.** We will prove this theorem for case  $N \geq 3$  (in the case when  $N = 2$  the proof is obtained in the same way).

Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be two solutions of problem (1)-(3). Then for  $\theta = u_1 - u_2$  we get

$$\theta_{tt} + \theta_t + [F(u_1) - F(u_2)]_t - \Delta \theta + |u_1|^p u_1 - |u_2|^p u_2 = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$\theta|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\theta|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \theta_t|_{t=0} = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$\theta \in L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (47)$$

$$\theta_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (48)$$

In order to prove that  $\theta = 0$ , we will use a standard procedure applied in the theory of linear hyperbolic equations (see [2], p.28).

Let  $s \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} -\int_t^s \theta(\sigma) d\sigma, & t \leq s, \\ 0, & t > s, \end{cases}$$

$$\theta_1(t) = \int_0^t \theta(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Hence we get that  $\psi(t) = \theta_1(t) - \theta_1(s)$  for  $t \leq s$ . By multiplying (44) by  $\psi(t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^s (\theta_t, \psi_t) dt + \int_0^s (\theta_t, \psi) dt + \int_0^s (\theta_x, \psi_x) dt + \int_0^s ([F(u_1) - F(u_2)]_t, \psi) dt = \\ = \int_0^s (|u_1|^p u_1 - |u_2|^p u_2, \psi) dt \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account  $\psi_t = \theta$ ,  $\psi(0) = -\theta_1(s)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \|\theta(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_0^s \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} \|\theta_{1x}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \\ + \int_0^s ([F(u_1) - F(u_2)]_t, \psi) dt = \int_0^s (|u_1|^p u_1 - |u_2|^p u_2, \psi) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

By (42) we can proof that

$$\left| \int_0^s (|u_1|^p u_1 - |u_2|^p u_2, \psi) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\theta_{1x}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \int_0^s (\|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_{1x}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) dt.$$

Using this inequality and (43) in (49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\theta(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\theta_{1x}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (1 + L_1) \int_0^s \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\theta_{1x}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \int_0^s (\|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_{1x}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) dt. \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\|\theta(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_{1x}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \bar{c} \int_0^s (\|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_{1x}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) dt;$$

hence we get that  $\theta = 0$ .

The theorem is proved.

### References

- [1]. Visintin A. *Differential Models of Hysteresis*. Springer, 1993.
- [2]. Lions I. L. *Some solutions methods to nonlinear boundary value problems*. M.: Mir, 1972 (Russian).
- [3]. Lions I. L. Magenes E. *Inhomogeneous boundary value problems and their applications*. M.: Mir, 1971 (Russian).

**Sevda E. Isayeva**

Baku State University.

23, Z.I.Khalilov str., AZ 1148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 439 47 20 (off).

Received September 16, 2009; Revised December 01, 2009.