

Shirmayil G. BAGIROV

ON ASYMPTOTICS OF PERIODICAL IN TIME  
SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR  
PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN CYLINDRICAL  
DOMAINS

Abstract

*In the paper we find the asymptotic behavior of solutions in spatial variables of nonlinear parabolic equations of the second order with periodic coefficients in time in cylindrical domains.*

Let's consider the equations

$$Lu \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(\hat{x}, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i(\hat{x}, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = -|u|^{p-1} u (1-u) \quad (1)$$

in the domain

$$G(0, \infty) = S(0, \infty) \times R_t^1, \text{ where } S(0, \infty) = \{x; \hat{x} \in \omega, 0 < x_n < \infty\},$$

with the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\hat{x}, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma(0, \infty) = \sigma(0, \infty) \times R_t^1, \quad (2)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ ,  $\hat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ ,  $\omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R_{\hat{x}}^{n-1}$  with Lipchitzian boundary,

$\sigma(0, \infty) = \{x : \hat{x} \in \partial\omega, 0 < x_n < \infty\}$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  is a unique normal to  $\Gamma(0, \infty)$ .  $a_{ij}(\hat{x}, t)$ ,  $a_i(\hat{x}, t)$  are such measurable, bounded,  $T$ -periodic with respect to  $t$  functions that

$$\nu_1 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\hat{x}, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu_2 |\xi|^2$$

for any  $\xi \in R^n$ ,  $\hat{x} \in \omega$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $\nu_1 \nu_2 = \text{const} > 0$ ,  $p = \text{const} > 1$ ,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ,  $a_{in}(\hat{x}, t) \equiv 0$  for  $i < n$ ,  $a_{nn}(\hat{x}, t) \equiv 1$ .

Let's denote:

$$G_T(a, b) = S(a, b) \times (0, T), \text{ where } S(a, b) = \{x; \hat{x} \in \omega, a < x_n < b\};$$

$$\Gamma_T(a, b) = \sigma(a, b) \times (0, T), \text{ where } \sigma(a, b) = \{x; \hat{x} \in \partial\omega, a < x_n < b\}.$$

Under  $W_2^{1, \frac{1}{2}}(G_T(a, b))$  we'll understand the space of functions  $u(x, t)$  such that

$$u(x, t+T) = u(x, t), \quad u(x, t) \in W_2^{1,0}(G_T(a, b))$$

and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |k| \int_{S(a,b)} |u_k(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \text{ where } u_k(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(x,t) e^{-ik\frac{2\pi}{T}t} dt.$$

The norm in it is determined by the equality

$$\|u\|_{W_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(G_T(a,b))} = \left[ \|u\|_{L_2(G_T(a,b))}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(G_T(a,b))}^2 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |k| \int_{S(a,b)} |u_k(x)|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Weak solution of problem (1), (2) we'll call the function  $u(x,t)$  if for any  $k > 0$   $u(x,t) \in W_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(G_T(0,k)) \cap L_\infty(G_T(0,k))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (ik) \int_{S(0,k)} u_k(x) \varphi_{-k}(x) dx + \int_{G_T(0,k)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\hat{x},t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dxdt + \\ & + \int_{G_T(0,k)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\hat{x},t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \varphi dxdt = - \int |u|^{p-1} u (1-u) \varphi dxdt \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varphi(x,t) \in W_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(G_T(0,k))$ ,  $\varphi(\hat{x},0,t) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(\hat{x},k,t) = 0$ .

Note that from the classic results on smoothness of solutions of linear problems [1,2] it follows that  $u(x,t)$  is continuous (besides, it satisfies Hölder condition) in  $G_T(0,k)$  at any  $k > 0$ .

First, we'll state a series of properties of solutions of linear problems (see [3,4]) which are useful for investigation of nonlinear equation (1).

Let's consider the problem

$$Lu + q(x,t)u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} + f_0 \text{ in } G_T(-\infty, +\infty) \quad (3)$$

$$u(x,t+T) \equiv u(x,t), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \text{ on } \Gamma_T(-\infty, +\infty) \quad (4)$$

with the condition

$$J_h(f_i) \equiv \int_{G_T(-\infty, +\infty)} e^{2hx_n} |f_i|^2 dxdt < \infty,$$

where  $f_i(x,t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $q(x,t)$  are  $T$ -periodic with respect to  $t$  functions and  $h$  is a such constant that the problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(\hat{x},t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i(\hat{x},t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \lambda^2 u = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times (0, T) \quad (5)$$

$$u(x, t + T) \equiv u(x, t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\omega \times (0, T) \quad (6)$$

has no eigenvalues such that  $\text{Im } \lambda = h$ .

I. Let's assume that  $q(x, t) \equiv 0$ . Then problem (3), (4) has a unique solution in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that

$$T_h(u) \equiv \int_{G_T(-\infty, +\infty)} \left[ |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right] e^{2hx_n} dx dt \leq C_h \sum_{i=0}^n J_h(f_i),$$

where  $C_h = \text{const}$  is independent of  $u(x, t)$ ,  $f_i(x, t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

This assertion in more general form is proved in [3,4]. It can be established by means of Fourier transformation with respect to  $x_n$ .

II. There will be found such constant  $\varepsilon < 0$  (which doesn't depend on  $f_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) that if  $|q(x, t)| < \varepsilon$  in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$  then there exists a unique solution of problem (3), (4) such that

$$T_h(u) \leq C_h \sum_{i=0}^n J_h(f_i)$$

Assertion II is the corollary of assertion I and Banach theorem from invertibility of operator little different in the norm from invertible one.

III. Let's assume that  $q(x, t) \equiv 0$ ,  $u(x, t)$  are solutions of problem (3), (4) satisfying the relation  $T_{h_2}(u) < \infty$

$$J_{h_1}(f_i) < \infty, J_{h_2}(f_i) < \infty, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

and in the band  $h_1 < \text{Im } \lambda < h_2$  there is only one eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  of problem (5), (6)  $h_1 < \text{Im } \lambda_0 < h_2$ .

Then

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^k C_j x_n^j \Phi_j(\hat{x}, t) e^{i\lambda_0 x_n} + u_1(x, t),$$

$$T_{h_1}(u_1) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^n J_{h_1}(f_i), C, C_j = \text{const},$$

where  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k$  are eigen and adjoint functions of problem (5), (6) corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ .

Now we'll find the asymptotics of solution of problem (1), (2) as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let's prove the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of problem (1), (2) such that*

$$0 < u(x, t) < \frac{p}{p+1},$$

then

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0 \quad (7)$$

[Sh.G.Bagirov]

**Proof.** Let's consider the function  $v_h(x_n)$  such that

$$v_h'' = |v_h|^{p-1} v_h (1 - v_h), \quad v_h(h) = \varepsilon > 0, \quad v_h'(h) = 0, \quad x_n > h \quad (8)$$

For solutions of equation (8)

$$\left(\frac{p+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{v_h(x_n)} \left( \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} - y\right) y^{p+1} - \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} - \varepsilon\right) \varepsilon^{p+1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy = x_n - h \quad (9)$$

is true.

From (8) and (9) it follows that there exists such  $k(\varepsilon)$  not depending on  $h$  that  $v_h(x_n) = \frac{p}{p+1}$  at  $x_n = h + k(\varepsilon)$ . Putting  $v_h(x_n) = v_n(2h - x_n)$  let's continue the function  $v_h(x_n)$  to the semi-interval  $h - k(\varepsilon) \leq x_n < h$ .

If (7) isn't fulfilled then there exists the sequence  $h_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$|u(\hat{x}_m, h_m, t_m)| > \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \text{const} > 0,$$

Then for  $u_m(x, t) = u(x, t) - v_{h_m}(x_n)$  we have:

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T(h_m - k(\varepsilon), h_m + k(\varepsilon)), \quad u_m(\hat{x}_m, h_m, t_m) > 0 \text{ if}$$

$$u(\hat{x}_m, h_m, t_m) > \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

$$u_m(x, t) < 0 \text{ at } x_n = h_m + k(\varepsilon) \text{ and } x_n = h_m - k(\varepsilon) \quad (11)$$

$$Lu_m + \left( |u|^{p-1} u (1 - u) - |v_{h_m}|^{p-1} (1 - v_{h_m}) \right) = 0 \quad (12)$$

From (10), (11), (12) it follows that  $u_m(x, t)$  attains positive maximum inside of  $G_T(h_m - k(\varepsilon), h_m + k(\varepsilon))$ . This contradicts the strict maximum principle.

The lemma is proved.

**Remark.** Assertion of the lemma is also true for any solutions which satisfy the condition

$$0 < |u(x, t)| < \frac{p}{p+1}.$$

Let's consider the equation

$$y'' = y^p (1 - y) \text{ in } (0, +\infty) \quad (13)$$

It is easy to prove that equation (13) has a positive solution such that  $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** If solution of equation (13) is such that  $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) = 0$  then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist the constants  $M_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon, C_\varepsilon$  such that

$$(C_p - \varepsilon)(x_n + M_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} < y(x_n) < (C_p + \varepsilon)(x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}$$

for any  $x_n > C_\varepsilon$ , where  $C_p = \left[ \frac{2(1+p)}{(1-p)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , and  $M_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon, C_\varepsilon$  depend on  $y(x_n)$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x_n) &= (C_p + \varepsilon)(x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \\ \theta''(x_n) &= (C_p + \varepsilon) \frac{2(1+p)}{(1-p)^2} (x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2p}{1-p}} = \\ &= (C_p + \varepsilon) C_p^{p-1} (x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2p}{1-p}} = \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} \theta^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) = 0 \text{ and } \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} < 1,$$

then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C_\varepsilon$  such that for any  $x_n > C_\varepsilon$ .

$$1 - y(x_n) > \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} y'' - \theta'' &= y^p(1 - y) - \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} \theta^p > \\ &> \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} y^p - \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} \theta^p = \\ &= \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} (y^p - \theta^p) = \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + \varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} (y - \theta) w(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $w(x_n) > 0$ .

Let's take  $N_\varepsilon$  such that there be

$$y(x_n) < (C_p + \varepsilon)(x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \text{ at } x_n = C_\varepsilon.$$

From maximum principle we get that  $y(x_n) < (C_p + \varepsilon)(x_n + N_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}$  for  $x_n > C_\varepsilon$ .

The lower estimation is analogously obtained.

The lemma is proved.

For solutions of equation (1), (2) satisfying the condition  $0 < u(x, t) < \frac{p}{p+1}$  from maximum principle the following inequalities are obtained

$$u(x, t) < y(x_n + \delta_1) \text{ for } x_n > X_{\delta_1}, \tag{14}$$

$$u(x, t) > y(x_n + \mu_1) \text{ for } x_n > X_{\mu_1}, \tag{15}$$

moreover  $\delta_1$  and  $\mu_1$  depend on  $u(x, t)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of problem (1), (2) in  $G_T(0, \infty)$  and  $0 < u(x, t) < \frac{p}{p+1}$ . Then

$$u(x, t) = y(x_n + \mu) + O(e^{-\alpha x_n}) \text{ at } x_n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $y(x_n)$  is a solution of equation (13),  $\alpha, \mu = \text{const} > 0$ , moreover  $\mu$  depends on  $u(x, t)$ .

**Proof.** From (14) it follows that the set of nonnegative  $\delta$  such that

$$u(x, t) \leq y(x_n + \delta) \text{ for } x_n > X_\delta \text{ is not empty}$$

Let's denote  $\delta_0 = \sup \delta$ . From (15) it follows that  $\delta_0 \leq \mu_1 < \infty$ . From determination of  $\delta_0$  it is obtained that

$$u(x, t) \leq y(x_n + \delta_0 - \varepsilon)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for  $x_n > X_\varepsilon$  and

$$u(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) \geq y(x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0 + \varepsilon), \quad (16)$$

where  $x_n^\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let's consider the function

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) - y(x_n + \delta_0).$$

For  $v(x, t)$  we have

$$v(x, t) \leq y(x_n + \delta_0 - \varepsilon) - y(x_n + \delta_0) = y'(x_n + \delta_0 - \varepsilon) \varepsilon = \bar{o}\left(x_n^{\frac{1+p}{1-p}}\right).$$

Since

$$y' = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}y^{p+1} - \frac{2}{p+2}y^{p+2}},$$

then

$$v_+(x, t) = \bar{o}\left(x_n^{\frac{1+p}{1-p}}\right), \text{ as } x_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $v(x, t) = u(x, t) - y(x_n + \delta_0) \geq -y(x_n + \delta_0)$ , then

$$v_-(x, t) = O\left(x_n^{\frac{2}{1-p}}\right), \text{ as } x_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let's determine the function  $z(x, t)$  by the following way

$$z(x, t) = v(x, t) (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}}.$$

The function  $z(x, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i z + b_1(x_n) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_n} + b_2(x_n) z = 0,$$

where  $b_1(x_n) = O\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right)$ ,  $b_2(x_n) = O\left(\frac{1}{x_n^2}\right)$  as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From determination of  $z(x, t)$  we can get the following estimations:

$$z(x, t) = v(x, t) (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{(x_n + \delta_0)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}} [u(x, t) - y(x_n + \delta_0)] \geq \\
 &\geq (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} [y(x_n + \gamma_1) - y(x_n + \delta_0)] = \\
 &= (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} (\gamma_1 - \delta_0) y'(x_n + \delta') = O\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right), \\
 &z(x, t) = (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} [u(x, t) - y(x_n + \delta_0)] \leq \\
 &\leq (x_n + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} [y(x_n + \delta_0 + \varepsilon) - y(x_n + \delta_0)] = \bar{o}\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$|z(x, t)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right), \quad z_+(x, t) = \bar{o}\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right). \quad (17)$$

Let's prove that  $z_-(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) = \bar{o}\left(\frac{1}{x_n^\varepsilon}\right)$ . Allowing for (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) &= [u(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) - y(x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0)] (x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} \geq \\
 &\geq [y(x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0 + \varepsilon) - y(x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0)] (x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}} = \\
 &= y'(x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0 + \varepsilon') (+\varepsilon) (x_n^\varepsilon + \delta_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$z_-(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) = \bar{o}\left(\frac{1}{x_n^\varepsilon}\right)$$

Let's prove that  $|z(x, t)| = \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})$ , as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let's consider the function

$$\omega(x, t) = -z(x, t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{x_n}.$$

Since  $z_+(x, t) = \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})$ , then

$$\omega(x, t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2x_n} \text{ for } x_n > X_\varepsilon.$$

For the sequence  $(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\omega(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) \leq 2\varepsilon (x_n^\varepsilon)^{-1}.$$

The function  $\omega(x, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$L\omega + b_1 \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_n} + b_2 \omega + 2\varepsilon x_n^{-3} + b_1 \varepsilon x_n^{-2} - b_2 \varepsilon x_n^{-1} = 0 \quad (18)$$

in  $G_T(0, \infty)$  and  $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \gamma} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T(0, \infty)$ ,  $\omega(x, t + T) \equiv \omega(x, t)$ .

[Sh.G.Bagirov]

Let  $\omega_0$  be  $T$ -periodic with respect to  $t$  solution of equation (18) in  $G_T(k-2, k+2)$  with boundary conditions

$$\omega_0(\hat{x}, k-2, t) = 0, \quad \omega_0(\hat{x}, k+2, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T(k-2, k+2). \quad (19)$$

At large  $k$  such solution  $\omega_0$  exists, since  $b_2(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let's consider the function  $w(x, t) = \omega(x, t) - \omega_0(x, t)$  in  $G_T(k-2, k+2)$ .

For  $w(x, t)$  we have

$$Lw + b_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_n} + b_2 w = 0 \text{ in } G_T(k-2, k+2)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T(k-2, k+2), \quad w = \omega \text{ for } x_n = k-2, k+2.$$

Since  $b_2(x_n)$  is sufficiently small in  $G_T(k-2, k+2)$  at large  $k$  then from theory of linear parabolic equations (see [1]) the following estimation is known for solution  $\omega_0$  of problem (18), (19):

$$|\omega_0(x, t)| \leq C \left( \max_{\substack{x_n=k+2 \\ x_n=k-2}} |u| + C_1 \sup_{G_T(k-2, k+2)} |f| \right), \quad C, C_1 = \text{const} > 0,$$

where  $f(x, t) = -2\varepsilon x_n^{-3} - b_1 \varepsilon x_n^{-2} + b_2 \varepsilon_n^{-1}$ .

Then

$$w(x, t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2x_n} - \bar{o}(x_n^{-3}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4x_n} \text{ in } G_T(k-2, k+2)$$

So,

$$w(x, t) > 0 \text{ in } G_T(k-2, k+2).$$

Let's apply to  $w(x, t)$  the Harnack inequality (see [1]). Then we'll get that

$$w(x, t) \leq Cw(x^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) \leq C_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{x_n^3} \text{ for any } (x, t) \in G_T(k-2, k+2) \cap \{x_n = x_n^\varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $\omega = w + \omega_0$ , then

$$|\omega| \leq C_1 \varepsilon (x_n^\varepsilon)^{-1} + C_2 (x_n^3)^{-3} \leq \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}) \text{ for } x_n = X_n^\varepsilon$$

Therefore

$$|\omega| \leq C_1 \varepsilon x_n^{-1} + C_2 \bar{o}(x_n^{-\varepsilon})^{-3} \leq \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}) \text{ for } x_n > X_\varepsilon.$$

Since

$$z(x, t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{x_n} - \omega(x, t),$$

then

$$z(x, t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{x_n} - \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}) = \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}).$$

Hence

$$z_-(x, t) = \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}). \quad (20)$$



From (17) and (20) we conclude that

$$|z(x, t)| = \bar{o}(x_n^{-1}).$$

Hence

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) - y(x_n + \delta_0) = z(x, t)(x_n + \delta_0)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} = \bar{o}\left(x_n^{\frac{1+p}{1-p}}\right). \quad (21)$$

The function  $v(x, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$Lv = -g(x, t)v,$$

where  $g(x, t) = \frac{|u|^{p-1}u(1-u) - y^p(1-y)}{u-y}$ .

According to (21)

$$g(x, t) = \theta^{p-1}(p - (p+1)\theta), \text{ where } \theta = y + \bar{o}(x_n^\lambda), \lambda = \frac{1+p}{1-p}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, t) &= \left(y + \bar{o}(x_n^\lambda)\right)^{p-1} \left(p - (p+1)\left(y + \bar{o}(x_n^\lambda)\right)\right) = \\ &= y^{p-1} \left(1 + \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})\right)^{p-1} \left(p - (p+1)y^{p-1} \left(1 + \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})\right)\right) = \\ &= y^{p-1} \left(1 + \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})\right) \left(p - (p+1)y \left(1 + \bar{o}(x_n^{-1})\right)\right) = \\ &= y^{p-1} (p - (p+1)y) + \bar{o}(x_n^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Let's consider the function  $V(x, t) = S(x_n)v(x, t)$ , where  $S(s) = 0$  for  $s < \tau$ ,  $\tau = const > 0$ ,  $S(s) = 1$  for  $s > \tau + 1$ .  $S(s) \in C^\infty(R^1)$ .

The function  $V(x, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} L_1V &\equiv \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(\hat{x}, t) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j} \right) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i(\hat{x}, t) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j} + g_1(x, t)V = f(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \gamma} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T(-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $V(x, t+T) \equiv V(x, t)$ .  $f(x, t)$  has a compact support and  $g_1(x, t) = g(x, t)$  for  $x_n > \tau + 1$ .

Using assumptions II and III we get that equation (22) has solution  $V_0(x, t)$  in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$  which satisfies the following relations

$$V_0(x, t) = O(e^{-\alpha x_n}), \alpha = const > 0 \text{ at } x_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (23)$$

$$V_0(x, t) = ax_n + b + O(e^{\alpha x_n}), a, b, \alpha = const > 0 \text{ at } x_n \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (24)$$

Using for  $V_0(x, t)$  the maximum estimation of modulus and the estimation  $T_h(u) \leq C_h \sum_{i=0}^n J_h(f_i)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{G_T(h-1, h+1)} |V_0(x, t)|^2 &\leq C \int_{G_T(h-2, h+2)} |V_0|^2 dx dt \leq \\ &\leq C e^{-2\alpha(h-2)} \int_{G_T(h-2, h+2)} |V_0|^2 e^{2\alpha x_n} dx dt \leq C_3 e^{-2\alpha h}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|V_0(x, t)| \leq C_4 e^{-2\alpha x_n}$ ,  $C_1, C_3, C_4 = \text{const} > 0$ .

Let's consider the function

$$V_1(x, t) = V(x, t) - V_0(x, t).$$

From (21), (23) it follows that  $V_1(x, t) = \bar{o}(x_n^\lambda)$  as  $x_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and according to (24)  $V_1(x, t) = ax_n + b + O(e^{\alpha x_n})$  as  $x_n \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $a, b, \alpha = \text{const} > 0$ . We'll prove that  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 0$ . Let's assume that  $a < 0$ . The function  $V_1(x, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$L_1 V_1 = 0 \text{ in } G_T(-\infty, +\infty).$$

Since  $a < 0$ , there exists a constant  $M < 0$  such that  $V_1(x, t) > 0$  at  $x_n < M$ . Then from  $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x, t) = 0$  and maximum principle  $V_1(x, t) > 0$  in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

Let's consider the function

$$Y_1(x_n) = Y(x_n) + N x_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad N = \text{const} > 0,$$

where  $Y(x_n) = x_n^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1+p}{1-p}$ . It is easy to check that  $Y(x_n) = x_n^\lambda$  satisfies the equation

$$Y'' - p C_p^{p-1} x_n^{-2} Y = 0 \text{ at } x_n > 0.$$

For  $Y_1(x_n)$  the inequality

$$L_1 Y_1 \leq 0 \text{ in } G_T(0, \infty).$$

is true.

Really,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 Y_1 &= -Y'' - \left( N x_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \right)'' + g_1(x, t) Y + g_1(x, t) N x_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} = \\ &= -Y'' - N \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \right) x_n^{\lambda - \frac{5}{2}} - y^{p-1} (p - (p+1)y) Y + \\ &\quad + y^{p-1} (p - (p+1)y) N x_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} + O(x_n^{-3\lambda}) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -Y'' - N \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \right) x_n^{\lambda - \frac{5}{2}} + \\ &+ py^{p-1}Y + py^{p-1}Nx_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} + O \left( x_n^{-3+\lambda} \right) \leq \\ &\leq -Y'' - N \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \right) x_n^{\lambda - \frac{5}{2}} + \\ &+ pC_p^{p-1}x_n^{-2}Y + pC_p^{p-1}x_n^{-2}Nx_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} + \\ &+ O \left( x_n^{-3+\lambda} \right) \leq -NC_0 \left( x_n^{\lambda - \frac{5}{2}} \right) + O \left( x_n^{-3+\lambda} \right) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if  $x_n > 0$  and  $N$  is sufficiently large,  $C_0 = const > 0$ .

Let's consider the function

$$E = CV_1 - Y_1.$$

We have

$$L_1E = -L_1Y_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } \lim E(x, t) = 0 \text{ as } x_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Having taken  $C$  sufficiently large we can get that  $E > 0$  at  $x_n = 1$  since  $V_1(x, t) > 0$  in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

From maximum principle it follows that  $E(x, t) > 0$  in  $G_T(1, +\infty)$ . Finally, we get

$$CV_1(x, t) \geq x_n^\lambda + Nx_n^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } G_T(1, +\infty).$$

This contradicts to  $V_1(x, t) = \bar{o}(x_n^\lambda)$  as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ . It means that inequality  $a < 0$  isn't true. By the same way we'll get contradiction if we'll assume that  $a > 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Since  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 0$  then  $\lim V_1(x, t) = 0$  as  $x_n \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $x_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . According to maximum principle we obtain that  $V_1(x, t) \equiv 0$  in  $G_T(-\infty, +\infty)$ . So,  $V(x, t) \equiv V_0(x, t)$ . Since  $V(x, t) \equiv v(x, t)$  at  $x_n > \tau + 1$  and  $V_0(x, t) = O(e^{-\alpha x_n})$  as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  then from  $u(x, t) = v(x, t) + y(x_n + \delta_0)$  we obtain

$$u(x, t) = y(x_n + \delta_0) + O(e^{-\alpha x_n}), \text{ as } x_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The theorem is proved.

### References

- [1]. Ladyzhenskaya O.A., Uraltseva N.N., Solonnikov V.A. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. M.: 1967. (Russian)
- [2]. Moser J.A. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 1964, v.17, No1, pp.101-134. (Russian)
- [3]. Agmon S., Nirenberg L. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 1963, v.16, pp.121-239. (Russian)

[4]. Pazy A. *Archive Rat'e Mech. And Anal.*, 1967, v.24, pp.193-218. (Russian)

**Shirmayil G. Bagirov**

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan

9, F.Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan

Tel.: (99412) 439 47 20 (off.)

Received December 15, 2005; Revised March 29, 2005.

Translated by Mamedova V.A.