# Abdurrahim F. GULIYEV, Sakina H. HASANOVA

# ON SOME QUALITY PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE SECOND ORDER QUASILINEAR EQUATIONS

## Abstract

A quasilinear parabolic equation of the second order is considered. A lemma on increase is proved for positive solutions of this equation. Sufficient conditions for the regularity of boundary points are established.

Let  $R_{n+1}$  be (n+1) dimensional Euclidean space of points  $(t,x)=(t,x_1,...,x_n)$ ; D be a domain in  $R_{n+1}$ ,  $\partial D$ , and  $\Gamma(D)$  be a boundary and parabolic boundary of the domain D, respectively;  $C_{x^0,R}^{t_1t_2}$  be a cylinder  $t_1 < t < t_2$ ,  $\left|x-x^0\right| < R$ ;  $A_{l_m} = \{(\tau,\xi) | F_{s,\beta}(t-\tau,x-\xi) \ge l_m^{-s}\}$ ,  $A_{l_m,\nu_m} = A_{l_m} \cap \{t \le -\nu_m\}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{l_m,\nu_m} = A_{l_m} \cap \{t > -\nu_m\}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, ...; D^c = R_{n+1} \setminus D$ ;  $\gamma_{s,\beta}(E)$  be a parabolic  $(s,\beta)$  - capacity of the set  $E \subset R_{n+1}$ , generated by the kernel

$$F_{s,\beta}\left(t,x
ight) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} t^{-s} \exp\left(-rac{|x|^2}{4eta t}
ight), & for & t > 0 \ 0, & for & t \leq 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$

In domain D we consider a quasilinear parabolic equation of the form

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} (t, x, u, u_x) u_{ij} - u_t = 0,$$
(1)

where  $u_x = (u_1, ..., u_n)$ ,  $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ ; i, j = 1, ..., n,  $||a_{ij}(t, x, z, v)||$  is a real symmetric matrix whose elements are measurable in D for any fixed  $z \in E_1$ ,  $v \in E_n$ , moreover

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in D} a_{ii}(t,x,z,v) = M_1 < \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in D} \min_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x,z,v) \, \xi_i \xi_j = \alpha_1 > 0. \tag{3}$$

We'll assume that the maximum principle is fulfilled for the operator L (see [1]). The goal of the paper is the obtaining a lemma on increase of positive solutions of second order equations and also obtaining a sufficient condition in terms of Wiener type convergence of a series for  $M_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$ -regularity of boundary point. The obtained condition enables to establish  $M_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$ -regularity of boundary points of wider domains than in [2]. For heat equations analogous results in cylindrical domains were established by F.N.Tikhonov [3], for a domain bounded by two straight lines parallel to the axis x, and curves  $x = \varphi_1(t)$  and  $x = \varphi_2(t)$  sufficient and necessary conditions very close to each other were obtained by I.G.Petrovskii [4]. In sequel, a regularity

## [A.F.Guliyev, S.H.Hasanova

criterion of a boundary point for second order parabolic equations in terms of potential were obtained in the papers [5], [6]. In terms of parabolic capacity for divergent structure parabolic equations a regularity criterion of a boundary point was obtained in the papers [7], [8]. These criteria are complete analogies of a Wiener criterion for Laplace equation. For non-divergent structure parabolic equations the necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of a boundary point were obtained in [9].

1. Estimation of potential type function. Let the numbers  $s>0,\ \beta>0$  and a>5 be given. Consider the cylinders

$$C_m = C_{0,2a\sqrt{\frac{\beta s}{e}l_m}}^{-l_m,0}, \ m = 1, 2, \dots$$

By  $S_m$  we denote a lateral surface of the cylinder  $C_m$ . Here and further we'll assume that the following conditions are fulfilled

$$\frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m} \xrightarrow{m \to 0} 0, \ l_{m+1} < \nu_m < l_m, \ m = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (4)

Let  $(\tau, \xi)$  be an arbitrary point belonging to  $E_m = A_{l_m, \nu_m} \backslash D$ . Fix this point, the number m and consider the function

$$g(t,x) = F_{s,\beta}(t-\tau, x-\xi) .$$

Estimate

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in S_m} g(t,x) .$$

By the inequality  $|a - b| \ge ||a| - |b||$  we have

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in S_m} g(t,x) \le (t-\tau)^{-s} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(|x|-|\xi|\right)^2}{4\beta(t-\tau)}\right) \le (t-\tau)^{-s} \times$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{\left(2a\sqrt{\frac{\beta s}{e}l_m} - 2\sqrt{\frac{\beta s}{e}l_m}\right)^2}{4\beta(t-\tau)}\right) = (t-\tau)^{-s} \exp\left(-\frac{sl_m(a-1)^2}{e(t-\tau)}\right).$$

The function  $t^{-s} \exp\left(-\frac{sl_m (s-l_m)^2}{ez}\right)$  is an increasing function with respect to z at  $0 < z < l_m$ . Since  $0 < t - \tau < l_m$  then

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in S_m} g(t,x) \le l_m^{-s} \exp\left(\frac{-sl_m (a-1)^2}{el_m}\right) = l_m^{-s} \exp\left(-\frac{s (a-1)^2}{e}\right).$$
 (5)

Now, estimate

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in C_{m+1}} g(t,x) .$$

By the inequality  $|a - b| \le |a| + |b|$  we have

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in C_{m+1}} g\left(t,x\right) \leq (-\tau)^{-s} \exp\left(-\frac{\left|\xi\right|^{2}}{4\beta\left(-\tau\right)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\left|\xi\right|^{2}}{4\beta\left(-\tau\right)} - \frac{\left|x-\xi\right|^{2}}{4\beta\left(t-\tau\right)}\right) \geq$$

[On some quality properties]

$$\begin{split} & \geq l_m^{-s} \exp \left( \frac{|\xi|^2}{4\beta \left( -\tau \right)} - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} - \frac{|x|^2}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} - \frac{2 \left| x \right| \left| \xi \right|}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} \right) \geq \\ & \geq l_m^{-s} \exp \left( \frac{|\xi|^2}{4\beta} \left( \frac{1}{-\tau} - \frac{1}{t -\tau} \right) - \frac{|x|^2}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} - \frac{2 \left| x \right| \left| \xi \right|}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} \right) \geq \\ & \geq l_m^{-s} \exp \left( \frac{|\xi|^2}{4\beta} \frac{t}{\left( -\tau \right) \left( t -\tau \right)} - \frac{|x|^2}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} - \frac{2 \left| x \right| \left| \xi \right|}{4\beta \left( t -\tau \right)} \right) \geq \\ & \geq l_m^{-s} \exp \left( \frac{4\beta s \left( -\tau \right) l_m \frac{l_m}{-\tau} \left( -l_{m+1} \right)}{4\beta \left( -\tau \right) \left( -l_{m+1} + \nu_m \right)} - \frac{4a^2 \beta s l_{m+1}}{4e\beta \left( -l_{m+1} + \nu_m \right)} - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2a\sqrt{\beta s l_{m+1}} 2\sqrt{\beta s \left( -\tau \right) \ln \frac{l_m}{-\tau}}}{2\beta \sqrt{e} \left( -l_{m+1} + \nu_m \right)} \right) \geq \\ & \geq l_m^{-s} \exp \left( - \frac{s \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m}}{1 - \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}} - \frac{a^2 s \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}}{e \left( 1 - \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \right)} - \frac{2as\sqrt{\frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m}}}{\sqrt{e} \left( 1 - \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \right)} \right) = l_m^{-s} \exp \left( - \frac{s}{e} J_1 \right) \;, \end{split}$$

where

$$J_1 = e^{\frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m}} + \frac{a^2 \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}}{1 - \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}} + \frac{2a\sqrt{e^{\frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m}}}{1 - \frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m}}.$$

By condition (4) there exists such  $m_0$ , that for  $m \ge m_0$ ,  $J_1 < 1$ . And thus

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in C_{m+1}} g(t,x) \ge l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{e}}.$$
 (6)

Granting, that  $(\tau, \xi)$  is an arbitrary point from  $E_m$  by (5) and (6) we have

$$\sup_{\substack{(\tau,\xi)\in E_m\\(t,x)\in S_m}} F_{s,\beta} (t-\tau, x-\xi) \le l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}}, \tag{7}$$

$$\sup_{\substack{(\tau,\xi)\in E_m\\(t,x)\in S_m}} F_{s,\beta}\left(t-\tau,x-\xi\right) \le l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{e}} . \tag{8}$$

Now, let  $E_m$  be a B-set and measure  $\mu$  be defined on it. The function

$$U(t,x) = \int_{E_m} F_{s,\beta}(t-\tau, x-\xi) d\mu(\tau, \xi)$$

is said to be a potential type function. Then we obtain from (7) and (8)

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in S_m} U \le l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}} \mu(E_m) , \qquad (9)$$

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in C_{m+1}} U \ge l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{e}} \mu(E_m) . \tag{10}$$

[A.F.Guliyev, S.H.Hasanova

**Remark.** It follows from the ways stated above by means of which we get inequalities (7) and (8), that these inequalities are true also in the case

$$\frac{l_{m+1}}{\nu_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m} < K, \quad l_{m+1} < \nu_m < l_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

where K is some positive number depending on  $s, \beta$  and a.

**2. Lemma on increase.** Introduce the following definition. Let s > 0 and  $\beta > 0$  be given. Let E - B be a set in  $R_{n+1}$ . Consider on E all possible measures  $\mu$  such that

$$\int_{E} F_{s,\beta} (t - \tau, x - \xi) d\mu (\tau, \xi) \le 1 \quad for \quad (t, x) \notin \bar{E} . \tag{*}$$

Assume

$$\gamma_{s,\beta}(E) = \sup \mu(E)$$
,

where the upper bound is taken on all possible measures satisfying the condition (\*).

Call the number  $\gamma_{s,\beta}$  a parabolic  $(s,\beta)$ -capacity of the set E.

Now let's pass to the formulation and proof of the lemma on increase of positive solutions of equation (1).

**Lemma.** Let the numbers s > 0,  $\beta > 0$ , a > 5 be given and the number m be fixed. Let  $C_m$  have the above indicated sense. Let  $D \subset R_{n+1}$  be a domain with eigen boundary  $\Gamma$  and  $C_{m+1} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\Gamma_m$  be the part of the eigen boundary D which is located strictly interior to  $C_m$ . Let the operator L be strictly defined in D and for this operator it is fulfilled the condition

$$\beta \le \alpha_1 \quad and \quad s \ge \frac{M_1}{2\beta} \ , \tag{11}$$

where  $\alpha_1$ ,  $M_1$  are the constants of inequalities (2),(3). Let u(t,x) be a subparabolic function for this operator continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , positive in D and vanishing in  $\Gamma_m$ . Then if condition (4) is fulfilled, then

$$\sup_{D \cap C_m} u > \left(1 + \eta l_m^{-s} \gamma_{s,\beta} \left( E_m \right) \right) \sup_{D \cap C_{m+1}} u, \tag{12}$$

where  $\eta > 0$  is a constant dependent on  $s, \beta$  and a.

**Proof.** Fix m and give an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let the measure  $\mu$  defined on  $E_m$  be such that

$$U\left(t,x\right) = \int\limits_{E_{m}} F_{s,\beta}\left(t-\tau,x-\xi\right) d\mu\left(\tau,\xi\right) \le 1$$

exterior to  $\bar{E}_m$  and

$$\mu(E_m) > \gamma_{s,\beta}(E_m) - \varepsilon$$
.

Denote  $\sup_{D \cap C_m} = M_m$  and introduce the subsidiary function

$$v(t,x) = M_m \left[ 1 - U(t,x) + l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}} \mu(E_m) \right].$$

By inequality (11) the function U is subparabolic and therefore v is subparabolic. Everywhere on the eigen boundary of the domain D we have:

$$u\left(t',x'\right) \leq \lim_{(t,x)\to(t',x')} \upsilon\left(t,x\right) ,$$

In fact, the eigen boundary of the domain D consists of  $\bar{\Gamma}_m$  and points arranged on  $S_m$  and in the lower basis of  $C_m$ .

Since  $U \leq 1$  exterior to  $\bar{E}_m$ , then

$$\lim_{(t,x)\to(t',x')\in\bar{\Gamma}_{m}}\upsilon\left(t,x\right)\geq0,$$

when  $u|_{\bar{\Gamma}_m} = 0$  ( $u|_{\Gamma_m}$  and so, by continuity  $u|_{\bar{\Gamma}_m} = 0$ ). Further, on the lower basis of  $C_m$  at the points of the boundary arranged at the positive distance from  $\Gamma_m$  and so at the positive distance from  $E_m$ , the function U equals zero and thus,  $v > M_m$  when  $u \leq M_m$ . Finally, on  $S_m$  by inequality (9)

$$U \le l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}} \mu(E_m)$$

and so,  $v \geq M_m$  but  $u \leq M_m$ .

Consequently by the maximum principle  $u \leq v$  in D

$$\sup_{D \cap C_{m+1}} u \le \sup_{D \cap C_{m+1}} v \le M_m \left[ 1 - \inf_{D \cap C_{m+1}} U + l_m^{-s} e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}} \mu\left(E_m\right) \right]$$

and by inequality (10)

$$\sup_{D \cap C_{m+1}} u \le M_m \left[ 1 - l_m^{-s} \left( e^{-\frac{s}{e}} - e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}} \right) \left( \gamma_{s,\beta} \left( E_m \right) - \varepsilon \right) \right] .$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon$ , then we finally get

$$\sup_{D \cap C_m} u \le \left[1 - \eta l_m^{-s} \gamma_{s,\beta} \left( E_m \right) \right] \sup_{D \cap C_{m+1}} u,$$

where  $\eta = e^{-\frac{s}{e}} - e^{-\frac{s(a-1)^2}{e}}$  whence the required inequality (12) follows.

The lemma is proved.

**Remark.** If in special case in the lemma on increase we take  $\nu_m = \frac{l_m}{2}$ ,  $\frac{l_{m+1}}{l_m} = q < 1$  then we get the result indicated in [2].

3. A theorem on the regularity of a boundary point. Now let's pass to the definition of  $M_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$ -regularity of the point.

Let  $D \subset R_{n+1}$  be a domain and  $\partial D$  its boundary. Let  $M_1$  and  $\alpha_1$  be two positive numbers. The point  $(t^0, x^0) \in \partial D$  is said to be  $M_1, \alpha_1$ -regular if the following conditions are fulfilled.

For any  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  there will be found such a  $\delta > 0$  that whatever is the domain  $D' \subset D$ , wholly lying on a half-space  $t < t_0$ , whatever is the uniform parabolic operator L', defined in D' for which  $M'_1 \leq M_1$ ,  $\alpha'_1 \geq \alpha_1$  whatever is the subparabolic for this operator function u'(t, x) not exceeding a unit in D' and not

[A.F.Guliyev, S.H.Hasanova]

exceeding zero at the intersection of eigen boundary D' and  $\varepsilon_1$ -vicinity of the point  $(t^0, x^0)$  (if it is not empty), it is fulfilled the following inequality

$$u'(t,x) \leq \varepsilon_2.$$

**Theorem 1.** In order that the point  $(t^0, x^0) \in \partial D$  be  $M_1, \alpha_1$ -regular, it is sufficient that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_m = \infty, \tag{13}$$

where  $\gamma_m = \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1} (A_{l_m,\nu_m} \backslash D)$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we can take the point (0,0) instead of the point  $(t^0, x^0)$ . We'll consider the cylinder

$$C_m = C_{0,2a\sqrt{\frac{\beta s}{e}l_m}}^{-l_m,0}, \ m = 1, 2, ..., \ a > 5.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Let there be a subdomain  $D' \subset D$  disposed in a half-space t > 0 and the operator L', constants  $M'_1$  and  $\alpha'_1$  of inequalities (2), (3) for which

$$M_1' \leq M_1, \quad \alpha_1' \geq \alpha_1$$

are satisfied.

Let u'(t,x) be a subparabolic function and for it

$$u'(t,x) < 1$$
 in  $D'$ 

and

$$u'(t,x)|_{\Gamma'\cap O_{\varepsilon_1}(0,0)} \leq 0,$$

where  $\Gamma'$  is the eigen boundary of D', and  $O_{\varepsilon_1}(0,0)$  is  $\varepsilon_1$ -vicinity of the point (0,0) in  $R_{n+1}$ . We are to show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  dependent on  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, M_1$  and  $\alpha_1$ , on the domain D, but independent of neither D', L' nor u', such that for each point  $(t,x) \in D' \cap O_{\delta}(0,0)$  it is true the inequality

$$u'(t,x)<\varepsilon_2.$$

For each m = 1, 2, ... we denote

$$M_m = \sup_{D' \cap C_m} u'.$$

Now, for each m we consider the cylinders  $C_m$ ,  $C_{m+1}$ . Consider a set of such points  $(t,x) \in D' \cap C_m$  in which u'(t,x) > 0. In this set we choose the component  $D'_m$  containing that intersection point D' with eigen boundary  $\Gamma_{m+1}$  of the cylinder  $C_{m+1}$  where the function u' attains the values  $M_{m+1}$ . We have

$$\gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1}\left(A_{l_m,\nu_m}\backslash D_m'\right) \geq \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1}\left(A_{l_m,\nu_m}\backslash D_m\right) = \gamma_m.$$

Therefore, applying to the cylinders  $C_m$  and  $C_{m+1}$  to the function  $D'_m$  and function u' the lemma on increase at  $s = \frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}$  and  $\beta = \alpha_1$ , we get

$$M_m \ge \left(1 + \eta l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_m\right) M_{m+1}$$

and, consequently,

$$M_m - M_{m+1} \ge \eta l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_m M_{m+1},$$

whence, summing the both sides by the index m, we get

$$\sup_{D} u' - u'(0,0) = \sum_{m-1}^{\infty} (M_m - M_{m+1}) > \eta \sum_{m-1}^{\infty} l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_m M_{m+1}.$$
 (14)

Since the left hand side of inequality (14) is finite, then by the convergence of the series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_m$  there exists such a subsequence  $\{M_{m_k}\}$  of the sequence  $\{M_m\}$ , that  $M_{m_k} \to 0$ , as  $k \to \infty$ . This means that the affirmation of the theorem is true.

Now, let  $l_m = l^{-m \ln m}$ ,  $\nu_m = e^{-m \ln m} m^{-\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . It is easy to show that the sequences  $\{l_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\nu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  chosen by such a way satisfy the condition (4). In this case we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}}\gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1}\left(D^c\cap \tilde{A}_{l_m,\nu_m}\right)\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}}\gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1}\left(C_{0,\rho_m}^{-\nu_m,0}\right)\leq$$

$$\leq C_1 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} l_m^{-\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \left( \nu_m \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m} \right)^{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} = C_2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\nu_m}{l_m} \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m} \right)^{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \leq C_3 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} m}{\alpha \frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}},$$

where  $\rho_m = 2\sqrt{\frac{\beta s}{l}\nu_m \ln \frac{l_m}{\nu_m}}$ . For  $\alpha \frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1} > 1$  the last series converges. Then the sufficient condition of  $M_1, \alpha_1$ -regularity of boundary point will have the form

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( e^{m \ln m} \right)^{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1} \left( D^c \cap A_{e^{-m \ln m}} \right) = +\infty$$

and integral representation of this condition will be of the following form

$$\int \left(e^{z\ln z}\right)^{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}} \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1} \left(D^c \cap A_{e^{-z\ln z}}\right) dz = +\infty. \tag{15}$$

Make the substitution of the variable  $t = z \ln z$ . Hence we have

$$dz = \frac{dt}{\ln z + 1}.$$

It is clear that  $\ln z + 1^{\tilde{}} \ln z$ , at  $z \geq 2$ . Since  $\ln z < \ln z + \ln \ln z = \ln t < 2 \ln z$ , then  $\ln z^{\tilde{}} \ln t$ .

Then we get from (15)

$$\int \frac{e^{ts}}{\ln t} \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1},\alpha_1} \left( D^c \cap A_{e^{-t}} \right) dt = +\infty.$$

So, we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** In order that the point  $(t^0, x^0) \in \partial D$  be  $M_1, \alpha_1$ -regular it is sufficient that

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ms}}{\ln m} \gamma_m \left( D^c \cap A_{e^{-m}} \right) = +\infty$$

50

[A.F.Guliyev, S.H.Hasanova]

where

$$\gamma_m = \gamma_{\frac{M_1}{2\alpha_1}, \alpha_1} \left( D^c \cap A_{e^{-m}} \right) .$$

#### References

- [1]. Krylov N.B. On the maximum principle for parabolic and elliptic equations. Izv. AN USSR, ser. Mat., 1978, v. 42, p. 1050-1062.
- [2]. Landis E.M. Second order equality of elliptic and parabolic types. M., Nauka, 1971, 288 p.
- [3]. Tikhonov A.N. Uniqueness theorems for heat equations. Mat. Sb., v42, No 2 (1935), pp.199-216.
- [4]. Petrovskiy I.G. Zur ersten Randwertaufgabe der Wärmelteinungsgleichung. Compos, Math., v.1. (1935), pp.383-419.
- [5]. Landis E.M. Necessary and sufficient conditions of regularity of a boundary point for Dirichlet problem for heat equation. Soviet Doklady, 1969, v.185, No 3, pp.517-520.
- [6]. Mamedov I.T. On regularity of boundary points for linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type. Sov. Dokl., 1975, v.223, No 3, pp.539-561.
- [7]. Evans L.C., Gariepi R.F. Wiener criterion for the heat equation. Arch. Rational Mech. And Anal., 1982, v.78, No 4, pp.293-314.
- [8]. Garofalo N., Lanconelli E. Wiener's criterion for parabolic equations with variable coefficients and its consequences. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1988, v. 308, No 2, pp. 811-836.
- [9]. Guliyev A.F. Capacity conditions of regularity of boundary points for parabolic equations of the second order. Izv. AN Azerb. SSR., ser. Phyz.-tekhn. i mat. nauk., 1988, No 3, pp. 23-29.

#### Abdurrahim F. Guliyev, Sakina H. Hasanova

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan.

9, F.Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 439 47 20 (off.)

Received April 21, 2004; Revised October 06, 2004.

Translated by the authors.