

MUSAYEV K.M., GASANOVA T.Kh.

ON SOME BOUNDARY PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Abstract

At the paper some classes of generalized analytic functions in multi-connected domains, bounded with mean modules are introduced and their boundary properties are studied.

Let's consider a class of generalized analytic functions $U_{p,2}(A,B,G)$ in the sense of H.H. Vekua, i.e. a class of regular solutions of equation

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}W(z) + A(z)W(z) + B(z)\overline{W}(z) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A(z), B(z) \in L_{p,2}(G)$, $p > 2$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$ (see [1], p.143).

Let's consider also conjugate class $U_{p,2}(-A, -\overline{B}, G)$ to the class $U_{p,2}(A, B, G)$ (see [1], p.179).

Let G be a bounded n -connected domain. By $C(A, B, \overline{G})$ we denote a class of continuous generalized analytic functions in \overline{G} , by $M(A, B, G)$ a class of bounded generalized analytic functions in G .

Assume that finite n -connected domain G is bounded by n closed rectifiable Jordan curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ from which not a curve is degenerated to a point. The contour γ_1 will be external, and $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ will be interval. The complete boundary of domain G

we denote by $\Gamma: \Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$.

Definition. We say that the generalized analytic function $W(z)$ from the class $U_{p,2}(A, B, G)$ ($U_{p,2}(-A, -\overline{B}, G)$) belongs to the class $E_{\delta}(A, B, G)$ ($E_{\delta}(-A, -\overline{B}, G)$), $\delta > 0$

if there exists a sequence of rectifiable curves $\Gamma^v = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^v$ such that

- 1) γ_1^v lies inside of γ_1 , but γ_i^v ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) for any n contains γ_i inside itself
- 2) $\gamma_i^v \rightarrow \gamma_i$ when $v \rightarrow \infty$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)
- 3) There exists such m , that $\sup_v [\text{long. } \Gamma^v] \leq m$
- 4) $\sup_v \int_{\Gamma^v} |W(z)|^{\delta} |dz| < \infty$.

We note that the class $E_{\delta}(A, B, G)$ is an analogue of a class of analytic functions E_{δ} introduced and studied by M.V.Keldysh, M.A.Lavrentev, V.I.Smirnov in the case of singleconnected domains (see [2], [3]) and by S.Ja.Havinson in the case of n -connected domains (see [4]).

We also note that the class $E_{\delta}(A, B, G)$ for single-connected domains is introduced and studied in works [6], [7].

[Musayev K.M., Gasanova T.Kh.]

As known, all generalized analytic functions $W(z) \in U_{p,2}(A, B, G)$ are represented in the form (see [1], p.156)

$$W(z) = \Phi(z)e^{\omega(z)}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is an analytic function in G

$$\omega(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_G \frac{A(\tau) + B(\tau) \frac{\overline{W(\tau)}}{W(\tau)}}{\tau - z} d\xi d\eta, \quad \tau = \xi + i\eta. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1. Generalized analytic functions $W(z) \in U_{p,2}(A, B, G)$ belongs to the class $E_\delta(A, B, G)$, $\delta > 0$ if the function Φ in the representation (2) belongs to the class E_δ .

Proof. First when $A, B \in L_p(G)$, $p > 2$ we study the behavior of function $\omega(z)$.

From $A, B \in L_p(G)$ follows that $A(z) + B(z) \frac{\overline{W(z)}}{W(z)} \in L_p(G)$, $p > 2$

$$\text{since } \left| A(z) + B(z) \frac{\overline{W(z)}}{W(z)} \right| \leq |A(z)| + |B(z)|$$

$$\text{that is } \int_1 \left| A(z) + B(z) \frac{\overline{W(z)}}{W(z)} \right|^p |dz| \leq C_1 < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let's consider the function $\omega(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_G \frac{A(\tau) + B(\tau) \frac{\overline{W(\tau)}}{W(\tau)}}{\tau - z} d\xi d\eta$. We have

$$|\omega(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_G \frac{\left(A + B \frac{\overline{W}}{W} \right)}{\tau - z} d\xi d\eta \right| \leq \quad (5)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\iint_G \left| A + B \frac{\overline{W}}{W} \right|^p |d\xi| |d\eta| \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\iint_G \frac{1}{|\tau - z|^q} |d\xi| |d\eta| \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

The first factor in (5) is bounded by virtue of (4), but the second factor is bounded by virtue of that $q < 2$ (since $p > 2$).

That is

$$|\omega(z)| < C_2 < \infty, \quad (6)$$

where C_R depends only on domain G .

Also estimating the difference $|\omega(z_1) - \omega(z_2)|$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{G}$ we are convinced that $\omega(z) \in C_\alpha(\overline{G})$, $\alpha = \frac{p-2}{p}$ (C_α is a Hölder class with the index $\alpha = \frac{p-2}{p} < 1$).

We obtain that when $A, B \in L_p(G)$, $p > 2$, $|\omega(z)| < C_2 < \infty$ and $\omega(z) \in C_\alpha(\overline{G})$. It means that

$$\begin{cases} |e^{\omega(z)}| \leq C_4 < \infty, & e^{\omega(z)} \in C(\overline{G}); \\ |e^{-\omega(z)}| \leq C_5 < \infty, & e^{-\omega(z)} \in C(\overline{G}). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

From (2) we have

$$\Phi(z) = e^{-\omega(z)} W(z). \quad (8)$$

Let now $\Phi(z) \in E_\delta(G)$. Then according to the work [4]

$$\sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |\Phi(z)|^\delta |dz| \leq C_6 < \infty. \quad (9)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |W(z)|^\delta |dz| &= \sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |\Phi(z) e^{\omega(z)}|^\delta |dz| = \\ &= \sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |\Phi(z)|^\delta |e^{\omega(z)}|^\delta |dz| = C_4^\delta \sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |\Phi(z)|^\delta |dz| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(we used [7] and [9]).

According to the definition $E_\delta(A, B, G)$ means that $W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$.

Conversely, if $W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$ then

$$\sup_{\nu} \int_{\Gamma''} |W(z)|^\delta |dz| \leq C_7 < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Using the correlation (8) and inequality (10) we get that $\Phi(z) \in E_\delta(G)$.

Theorem is proved.

Property 1. If $W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$, then $W(z)$ has angular boundary values $W(t)$ and $W(t) \in L_\delta(\Gamma)$ almost everywhere on Γ .

Indeed, since $W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$, then in the representation (2) analytic in G function $\Phi(z)$ belongs to the class $E_\delta(G)$ (according to the theorem 1) and has angular boundary values almost everywhere on Γ (see [4]) and $\Phi(z) \in L_\delta(\Gamma)$.

Taking into account (7) that the function $e^{\omega(z)}$ is continuous on \overline{G} we get that $W(z)$ has angular boundary values almost everywhere on Γ . Therefore,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |W(t)|^\delta |dt| = \int_{\Gamma} |\Phi(t)|^\delta |e^{\omega(t)}|^\delta |dt| \leq C_8 \int_{\Gamma} |\Phi(t)|^\delta |dt| < \infty,$$

i.e.

$$W(t) \in L_\delta(\Gamma).$$

It is clear that the interior of γ_1 is single-connected domain (if disregard the curves $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$), but the exterior of every γ_i ($i \geq 2$) disregarding the other γ_j ($i \neq j$) is single-connected domain containing infinity. We denote them by G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n correspondingly.

Theorem 2. If $W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$, $\delta > 0$ then $W(z)$ is presented in the form

$$W(z) = W_1(z) + W_2(z) + \dots + W_n(z) \quad (11)$$

moreover, $W_i(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G_i)$.

Proof. Since

$$W(z) = \Phi(z) e^{\omega(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G)$$

then according to the theorem 1 $\Phi(z) \in E_\delta(G)$, then according to the paper [4].

[Musayev K.M., Gasanova T.Kh.]

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi_1(z) + \Phi_2(z) + \dots + \Phi_n(z), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Phi_i(z) \in E_\delta(G_i). \quad (13)$$

We have

$$W(z) = (\Phi_1(z) + \Phi_2(z) + \dots + \Phi_n(z))e^{\omega(z)}. \quad (14)$$

Taking into account that $e^{\omega(z)}$ is bounded in \bar{G} and

$$\int_{\Gamma'} |\Phi_i(z)|^\delta |dz| < \infty \quad (15)$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} |W(z)|^\delta &= |\Phi_1(z) + \Phi_2(z) + \dots + \Phi_n(z)|^\delta |e^{\delta\omega(z)}|^\delta \leq \\ &\leq C_n \left[|\Phi_1(z)|^\delta + |\Phi_2(z)|^\delta + \dots + |\Phi_n(z)|^\delta \right] \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_{\Gamma'} |W(z)|^\delta |dz| \leq C_n \int_{\Gamma'} \sum_{i=1}^n |\Phi_i(z)|^\delta |dz| = C_n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Gamma'} |\Phi_i(z)|^\delta |dz| < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$W(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G).$$

By virtue of (13)

$$\int_{\Gamma'} |\Phi_i(z)|^\delta |e^{\delta\omega(z)}|^\delta |dz| < +\infty, \text{ i.e. } \Phi_i(z)e^{\omega(z)} \in E_\delta(A, B, G_i).$$

In other words

$$W_i(z) \in E_\delta(A, B, G_i)$$

Theorem 3. Let the generalized analytic function $F_1(z) \in U_{\rho,2}(A, B, G)$ belongs to the class $E_1(A, B, G)$ and $F_2(z) \in U_{\rho,2}(A, \bar{B}, G)$ be bounded in G .

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\Gamma} F_1(z) F_2(z) dz \right) = 0.$$

Proof. Under the conditions of the theorem in the case when G is a single-connected domain in work [7] it is proved that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\Gamma} F_1(z) F_2(z) dz \right) = 0. \quad (16)$$

By virtue of theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(z) &= W_1(z) + W_2(z) + \dots + W_n(z), \text{ where} \\ W_i(z) &\in E_1(A, B, G_i) \quad (i = \overline{1, n}). \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account that the function $F_2(z)$ is bounded in G (and in G_i), applying (16) we have:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\Gamma} F_1(z) F_2(z) dz \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i} F_1(z) F_2(z) dz \right) =$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} F_1(z) F_2(z) dz \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\gamma_i} [W_1(z) + \dots + W_n(z)] F_2(z) dz \right) = 0$$

since with the opening of brackets we obtain the integrals of the form

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\gamma_i} W_k(z) F_2(z) dz \right), \quad k=1,2,\dots,n,$$

where $W_k(z)$ are functions from the class $E_1(A, B, G_k)$, and $F_2(z)$ is bounded in G and $F_2(z) \in U_{p,2}(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, G_k)$.

Theorem is proved.

Let's note that this theorem is a spreading to the more general case (with respect to the functions $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$) so called «Green's identity» proved by U.H.Vekua (1, [1], p. 179) about that if $W_1(z) \in C(A, B, \bar{G})$, $W_2(z) \in M(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, G)$ then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\Gamma} W_1(z) W_2(z) dz \right) = 0$$

since $E_1(A, B, G) \supset C(A, B, G)$

In the conclusion let's note that the considered case of n -connected domains prepares the ground for the investigation of external problems in a class of generalized analytic functions (as considered in the class of analytic functions [4]).

References

- [1]. Веква И.Н. *Обобщенные аналитические функции*. М., 1959, 628 с.
- [2]. Привалов И.И. *Граничные свойства аналитических функций*. М.Н., 1950, 336 с.
- [3]. Келдыш М.В., Лаврентьев *Sur la representation conforme des domaines limites par des courbes rectifiables*. Ann. J'Ecole Norm. Sup., 59, №1, 1957, p.1-38.
- [4]. Хавинсон С.Я. *Экстремальные задачи для некоторых классов аналитических функций в конечносвязных областях*. Матем. сборник, т.36(78), №3, 1955, с.444-478.
- [5]. Хавинсон С.Я. *Теория экстремальных задач для ограниченных аналитических функций*. Успехи мат. наук, 1963, т.VVIII, вып. 2(110), 25-98.
- [6]. Мусаев К.М. *О некоторых экстремальных свойствах обобщенных аналитических функций*. ДАН СССР, т.203, №2, 1972, с.289-292.
- [7]. Мусаев К.М. *Некоторые замечания к тождеству Грина*. Известия АН Азерб., 1971, №5,6, с.74-79.

Musayev K.M., Gasanova T.Kh.

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of AS Azerbaijan.

9, F.Agayev str., 370141, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: 39-47-20.

Received November 8, 2000; Revised February 2, 2001.

Translated by Mamedova V.A.